List of figures

Fig.1.1	:	*Schematic illustration of overall objectives of the present work*	7
Fig.2.1	:	*Crystal structure of hydroxyapatite*	14
Fig.2.2	:	*Schematic diagram, representing the direct piezoelectric effect due to (a) compressive and (b) tensile stresses*	20
Fig.2.3	:	*Schematic diagram representing the crack deflection*	23
Fig.2.4	:	*Variation of (a) fracture toughness and (b) bending strength of Al_2O_3 with $LiTaO_3$ content in Al_2O_3- $LiTaO_3$ composite .*	26
Fig.2.5	:	*Schematic diagram illustrating the crack deflection at domain boundaries in piezoelectric $LiTaO_3$ grain .*	28
Fig.2.6	:	*TEM micrographs demonstrating the crack deflections and branching in $LiTaO_3$ grains in Al_2O_3-15 $LiTaO_3$ ceramic composite: (a) Crack deflection of about 90° (b) crack deflection less than 90°and crack branching (c) crack deflection less than 90° and (d) crack deflection more than*	28
Fig.2.7	:	*Schematic diagram representing the (a) cubic to tetragonal phase transition, (b) 180° polarization switching induced by an externally applied electric field above the coercive field and (c) 90° polarization switching induced by a compressive stress .*	29
Fig.2.8	:	*Variation of bending strength of MgO as a function of $BaTiO_3$ content.*	31
Fig.2.9	:	*Schematic diagram representing sodium ion migration to interstitial position in sodium silicate glass*	33
Fig.2.10	:	*Schematic diagram representing the ROS generation on charged surface and consequently, bactericidal effect*	34
Fig.2.11	:	*Schematic diagram representing the ROS generation due to surface charge induced by the polarization of potassium sodium niobate (KNN) sample*	35
Fig.2.12	:	*Schematic diagram representing antibacterial action of Ag particle towards bacterial cells*	36
Fig.2.13	:	*Schematic diagram representing biofilm disintegration on HA-ZnO surface due to externally applied electric field*	37
Fig.2.14	:	*Cell viability of untreated and electric field treated (E-field strength: 1 V/cm) L929 cells, cultured on control disk, HA and HA–40 wt. % $BaTiO_3$ composite. Asterisk * shows the significant difference among the samples incubated for 5 and 7 days with respect to that incubated for 3 days ** and ***Significant differences among the samples of same incubation period with respect to untreated control disk and HA, respectively at $P < 0.05$.*	39
Fig.2.15	:	*Schematic diagram, illustrating the mechanism of cellular response on positively and negatively charged HA - 20 wt. % $BaTiO_3$ and HA - 40 wt. % $BaTiO_3$ composites.*	40
Fig.2.16	:	*Schematic diagram representing the mineralization of HA in SBF*	41
Fig. 2.17	:	*Diagram, representing the novelty of present work*	43

Fig.3.1	:	Flow chart representing the synthesis of Hydroxyapatite (HA)	63
Fig.3.2	:	Flow chart for the synthesis of 45S5 bioglass (BG)	65
Fig.3.3	:	Flow chart for the synthesis of 1393 bioglass (BG)	67
Fig.3.4	:	Digital pictographs of compact samples using cold isostatic pressing (CIP)	68
Fig.3.5	:	Schematic diagram for indentation on the specimen at 1 kgf load with 10 s dwell time	71
Fig.3.6	:	Schematic diagram for crack generation on the specimen at 5 kgf load for 10 s dwell time	72
Fig. 3.7	:	Schematic diagram for rectangular specimen for three point flexural test	73
Fig. 3.8	:	Schematic diagram for cylindrical specimen for compressive strength measurement	73
Fig. 3.9	:	Schematic diagram for polarization of disc shaped samples at 20 kV for 30 min using corona poling unit	75
Fig. 3.10	:	Schematic representing the electrical stimulation sequence, adopted during osteogenic cell culture experiments.	78
Fig.4.1	:	Densification behavior for HA, NKN and HA – (10 – 30) NKN composites, synthesized using uniaxial as well as cold isostatic pressings (CIP)	82
Fig.4.2	:	X-Ray diffraction patterns for HA, NKN and HA – (10 – 30) NKN composite samples.	83
Fig.4.3	:	Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR) spectra for HA, NKN and HA – (10 – 30) NKN composite samples.	84
Fig.4.4	:	SEM micrographs of (a) HA, (b) HA – 10 NKN, (c) HA – 20 NKN and (d) HA – 30 NKN composites .	85
Fig.4.5	:	Variation of mechanical properties of HA with addition of NKN (a) Hardness and fracture toughness, (b) Compressive strength and flexural strength	86
Fig.4.6	:	SEM micrographs revealing crack deflection for (a) monolithic HA, (b) HA – 10 NKN, (c) HA – 20 NKN and (d) HA – 30 NKN composites after indentation fracture	87
Fig.4.7	:	Variation of dielectric constant and loss with temperature for (a) HA, (b) NKN, (c) HA-10 NKN, (d) HA-20 NKN and (e) HA-30 NKN composites at few selected frequencies..	90
Fig.4.8	:	Variation of dielectric constant and loss with frequency for (a) HA, (b) NKN, (c) HA-10 NKN, (d) HA-20 NKN and (e) HA-30 NKN at few selected temperatures.	91
Fig.4.9	:	Comparison of dielectric constant between parallel and series Wiener bounds, log mixture rule and present study at frequencies of (a) 10 kHz, (b) 100 kHz and (c) 1 MHz .	95
Fig.4.10	:	Variation of log σ_{ac} with inverse of temperature at few selected frequencies for (a) HA, (b) NKN, (c) HA – 10 NKN, (d) HA – 20 NKN and (d) HA – 30 NKN composites	97
Fig.4.11	:	Variation of log σac with log f at few selected temperatures for (a) HA, (b) NKN, (c) HA – 10 NKN, (d) HA – 20 NKN and (d) HA – 30 NKN composites.	98
Fig.4.12	:	Complex plane impedance plots for HA, NKN, HA-10 NKN, HA- 20	100

NKN and HA-30 NKN composites at temperatures (a) 100°C, (b) 200°C, (c) 300°C, (d) 400°C, (e) 500°C; (f), (g) and (h) represent the variation of log (R_g, R_{gb}) vs 1000/T

Fig.4.13 : *Impedance and modulus spectroscopic plots for (a) HA, (b) NKN, (c) HA-10 NKN, (d) HA-20 NKN and (e) HA-30 NKN composites* 102

Fig.4.14 : *Polarization induced antibacterial response of HA, HA-10 NKN, HA-20 NKN and HA-30 NKN composites for (a) gram positive (S. aureus) and (b) gram negative (E. coli) bacteria. Asterisk (*), (**) and (***) mark represent the significant difference in optical density of the bacterial cells for the uncharged, negatively and positively charged samples at $p < 0.05$, with respect to the monolithic HA* 104

Fig.4.15 : *Fluorescence microscopy images of live (green) and dead (red) S. aureus bacterial cells, cultured on unpolarized, positively and negatively polarized HA and HA-(10-30) NKN composite samples. Scale bar corresponds to 100 μm.* 106

Fig.4.16 : *Fluorescence microscopy images of live (green) and dead (red) E. coli bacterial cells, cultured on unpolarized, positively and negatively polarized HA and HA - (10 – 30) NKN composite samples. Scale bar corresponds to 100 μm.* 107

Fig.4.17 : *Superoxide production (ROS generation) on unpolarized and polarized HA, HA-10 NKN, HA-20 NKN and HA-30 NKN composites for (a) gram positive (S. aureus) and (b) gram negative (E. coli) bacteria. Asterisk (*), (**) and (***) marks represent the significant difference in superoxide production by the bacterial cells for the uncharged, negatively and positively charged samples at $p < 0.05$, with respect to the uncharged, negatively and positively monolithic HA.* 108

Fig.4.18 : *The catalase activity/ sec. of (a) S.aureus and (b) E. coli bacteria, cultured on unpolarized and polarized HA, HA – 10 NKN, HA – 20 NKN and HA – 30 NKN composites. Asterisk (*) mark represents the significant difference among all composite samples with respect to unpolarized HA at $p < 0.05$. Asterisk marks (**) and (***) represents the significant difference among all composite samples with respect to negatively polarized and positively polarized HA, respectively, at $p < 0.05$.* 110

Fig.4.19 : *Lipid peroxidation in terms of MDA equivalent for (a) S.aureus and (b) E. coli bacteria, cultured on polarized and unpolarized HA, HA-10 NKN, HA-20 NKN and HA-30 NKN composites. Asterisk (*) mark represents the significant difference among all the composite samples with respect to unpolarized HA at $p < 0.05$. Asterisk marks (**) and (***) represent the significant difference among all composite samples with respect to negatively and positively polarized HA, respectively, at $p < 0.05$* 112

Fig.4.20 : *The concentration of protein for (a) S. aureus and (b) E. coli bacteria cultured on polarized and unpolarized HA, HA – 10 NKN, HA – 20 NKN and HA – 30 NKN composites. Asterisk (*) mark represents the significant difference among all the composite samples with respect to unpolarized HA at $p < 0.05$. Asterisk marks (**) and (***) represent the significant difference among all composite samples with respect to negatively and positively polarized HA, respectively, at $p < 0.05$.* 114

Fig.4.21 : *Schematic representing the antibacterial activity due to the ROS generation* 116

Fig.4.22 : *Combined effect of surface polarization and electric field treatment on in vitro cytocompatibility of HA and HA – 30 NKN composites using MG-63 cells. (*) and (#) represent the significant difference in optical density between uncharged HA and HA – 30 NKN. (**) and (##) show the statistically significant difference in optical density for electric field treated positively polarized HA and HA – 30 NKN composite with respect to positively polarized HA and HA – 30 NKN composite and (***) and (###) show statistically significant difference in optical density for electric field treated negatively polarized HA and HA – 30 NKN with respect to negatively polarized HA and HA – 30 NKN composite* 117

Fig.4.23 : *Fluorescence images, demonstrating the morphology of osteogenic MG63 cells, while cultured for 72 h on (a) uncharged HA, (b) negatively polarized HA, (c) positively polarized HA, (d) E-field treated negatively polarized HA, (e) E-field treated positively polarized HA (f) uncharged HA – 30 NKN composite, (g) negatively polarized HA – 30 NKN composite, (h) positively polarized HA – 30 NKN composite, (i) E-field treated negatively polarized HA – 30 NKN composite and (j) E-field treated positively polarized HA – 30 NKN composite. The E-field strength was 1 V/cm. The scale bars correspond to 100 μm.* 120

Fig.5.1 : *X-Ray diffraction patterns for sintered (a) 45S5 BG, (b) NKN, (c) BG - 10 NKN, (d) BG - 20 NKN and (e) BG - 30 NKN composite samples.* 133

Fig.5.2 : *Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR) spectra for sintered (a) 45S5 BG, (b) NKN, (c) BG - 10 NKN, (d) BG - 20 NKN and (e) BG - 30 NKN composite samples* 133

Fig.5.3 : *Variation of mechanical properties of BG with addition of NKN (a) Hardness and Fracture toughness (K_{IC}), (b) Compressive and flexural strengths* 135

Fig.5.4 : *Variation of dielectric constant and loss with temperature for (a) BG, (b) BG -10 NKN (c) BG - 20 NKN and (d) BG - 30 NKN at frequencies of 10 KHz, 100 kHz and 1 MHz, respectively.* 138

Fig.5.5 : *Comparison of dielectric constant between parallel and series Wiener bounds, log mixture rule and present study at frequencies of (a) 10 kHz, (b) 100 kHz and (c) 1 MHz.* 140

Fig.5.6 : *Variation of log σac with frequency at few temperatures for composites (a) BG, (b) BG - 10 NKN, (c) BG - 20 NKN and (d) BG - 30 NKN composites.* 142

Fig.5.7 : *Complex plane impedance plot for pure BG, BG - 10 NKN, BG - 20 NKN, BG - 30 NKN composites at temperature (a) 100°C, (b) 200°C, (c) 300°C; (d), (e) and (f) represent the variation of log (R_g, R_{gb}) vs 1000/T* 144

Fig.5.8 : *Impedance and modulus spectroscopic plots for (a) BG, (b) BG - 10 NKN, (c) BG - 20 NKN and (d) BG - 30 NKN composites.* 145

Fig.5.9 : *Antibacterial response of unpolarized, positively and negatively polarized BG, BG - 10 NKN, BG - 20 NKN, BG - 30 NKN* 147

composites, against (a) gram positive (S. aureus) and (b) gram negative (E. coli) bacteria. Asterisk (*), (**) and (***) marks represent the significant difference in optical density of bacterial cells for the unpolarized, negatively and positively charged composite samples at $p < 0.05$, with respect to the monolithic BG..

Fig.5.10 : Antibacterial response of unpolarized (U), negatively (N) and positively (P) polarized BG and BG-(10-30) NKN composite samples for S. aureus bacteria, observed using Kirby-Bauer method. (a), (b), (c) and (d) illustrate the cultured samples after 24 h of incubation in agar plate with S. aureus bacterial cells. (e), (f), (g) and (h) represent the area under the incubated samples. (i), (j), (k) and (l) represent the colony formation (bacterial growth after transferring the swab from the area under the samples to a new agar plate). 149

Fig.5.11 : Antibacterial response of unpolarized (U), negatively (N) and positively (P) polarized BG and BG-(10-30) NKN composite samples for E. coli bacteria, observed using Kirby-Bauer method. (a), (b), (c) and (d) illustrate the cultured samples after 24 h of incubation in agar plate with E. coli bacterial cells. (e), (f), (g) and (h) represent the area under the incubated samples. (i), (j), (k) and (l) represent the colony formation (bacterial growth after transferring the swab from the area under the samples to a new agar plate). 150

Fig.5.12 : Live/dead ratio for (a) gram positive (S. aureus) and (b) gram negative (E. coli) bacterial cells, cultured on unpolarized, negatively and positively polarized BG, BG - 10 NKN, BG - 20 NKN and BG - 30 NKN composites. Asterisk (*), (**) and (***) marks represent the significant difference in live/dead ratio for bacterial cells on the unpolarized, negatively and positively polarized composite samples at $p < 0.05$, with respect to the monolithic BG. 152

Fig.5.13 : Reactive oxygen species (superoxide), generated by (a) gram positive (S. aureus) and (b) gram negative (E. coli) bacterial cells, cultured on unpolarized, negatively and positively polarized BG, BG - 10 NKN, BG - 20 NKN, BG - 30 NKN composites. Asterisk (*), (**) and (***) marks represent the significant difference in superoxide production by the bacterial cells, cultured on the unpolarized, negatively and positively polarized composite samples at $p < 0.05$, with respect to pure BG 153

Fig.5.14 : Combined effect of polarization and electric field treatment on viability of MG 63 cells, cultured on BG and BG-30 NKN composite. (*) and (#) represent the statistically significant difference in optical density for negatively and positively polarized BG and BG – 30 NKN composite with respect to unpolarized BG and BG – 30 NKN composite, (**) and (##) show the statistically significant difference in optical density for electric field treated positively polarized BG and BG – 30 NKN composite with respect to positively polarized BG and BG – 30 NKN composite and (***) and (###) show statistically significant difference in optical density for electric field treated negatively polarized BG and BG – 30 NKN composite with respect to negatively polarized BG and BG – 30 NKN composite. 156

Fig. 6.1 X-Ray diffraction patterns for sintered (a) 1393 BG, (b) NKN, (c) 1393 BG - 10 NKN, (d) 1393 BG - 20 NKN and (e) 1393 BG - 30 168

NKN composite samples. 16
Fig. 6.2 Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR) spectra for sintered (a) 1393 BG, (b) NKN, (c) 1393 BG - 10 NKN, (d) 1393 BG - 20 NKN and (e) 1393 BG - 30 NKN composite samples. 17
Fig. 6.3 Densification behavior of 1393 BG and 1393 BG – x NKN (10-30 vol. %) composites 17
Fig. 6.4 Variation of mechanical properties of 1393 BG with addition of NKN (a) Hardness and fracture toughness, (b) Compressive strength and Flexural strength 17
Fig. 6.5 Polarization induced antibacterial response of 1393 BG, 1393 BG - 10 NKN, 1393 BG - 20 NKN, 1393 BG - 30 NKN composites for (a) gram positive (S. aureus) and (b) gram negative (E. coli) bacteria. Asterisk (*), (**) and (***) marks represent the significant difference in optical density of bacterial cells for the uncharged, negatively and positively charged composite samples at $p < 0.05$, with respect to the monolithic 1393 BG. 17
Fig. 6.6 Superoxide production (ROS generation) on monolithic 1393 BG, 1393 BG -10 NKN, 1393 BG-20 NKN and 1393 BG-30 NKN composites for (a) gram positive (S. aureus) and (b) gram negative (E. coli) bacteria. Asterisk (*), (**) and (***) mark represent the significant difference in superoxide production by the bacterial cells for the uncharged, negatively and positively charged samples at $p < 0.05$, with respect to the monolithic HA. 17
Fig. 6.7 Digital camera images, demonstrating the viable bacterial colonies of (a) S. aureus and (b) E. coli bacteria, cultured for 8 h on unpolarized and polarized 1393 BG, 1393 BG – 10 NKN, 1393 BG – 20 NKN and 1393 BG – 30 NKN composites 17
Fig. 6.8 Antibacterial ratio for (a) gram positive (S. aureus) and (b) gram negative (E. coli) bacteria, cultured on monolithic 1393 BG, 1393 BG -10 NKN, 1393 BG-20 NKN and 1393 BG-30 NKN composites. Asterisk (*), (**) and (***) mark represent the significant difference of the uncharged, negatively and positively charged samples at $p < 0.05$, with respect to the monolithic 1393 BG. 17
Fig. 6.9 Time dependent cell viability of MG 63 cells, cultured on unpolarized and polarized surfaces of 1393 BG and 1393 BG-30 NKN composites along with electric field treatment. (*) and (#) represent the statistically significant difference in optical density for negatively and positively charged 1393 BG and 1393 BG – 30 NKN composite with respect to uncharged 1393 BG and 1393 BG-30 NKN composite, (**) and (##) show the statistically significant difference in optical density for electric field treated positively charged 1393 BG and 1393 BG-30 NKN composite with respect to positively charged 1393 BG and 1393 BG-30 NKN composite and (***) and (###) show statistically significant difference in optical density for electric field treated negatively charged 1393 BG and 1393 BG-30 NKN composite with respect to negatively charged 1393 BG and 1393 BG-30 NKN composite 18
Fig. 6.10 Fluorescence images,demonstrating the morphology of MG63 cells, while cultured for 72 h on (a) uncharged 1393 BG, (b) negatively polarized 1393 BG, (c) positively polarized 1393 BG, (d) E-field 18

treated negatively polarized 1393 BG, (e) E-field treated positively polarized 1393 BG (f) uncharged 1393 BG – 30 NKN composite, (g) negatively polarized 1393 BG – 30 NKN composite, (h) positively polarized 1393 BG – 30 NKN composite, (i) E-field treated negatively polarized 1393 BG – 30 NKN composite and (j) E-field treated positively polarized 1393 BG – 30 NKN composite. The E-field strength was 1 V/cm. The scale bars correspond to 100 μm

List of Tables

Table 3.1	*Composition and nomenclature used for (1-x) HA – x NKN composites*	*64*
Table 3.2	*Composition of 45S5 BG*	*65*
Table 3.3	*Composition and nomenclature used for (1-x) 45S5 BG-x NKN composites*	*66*
Table 3.4	*Composition of 1393 BG*	*67*
Table 3.5	*Composition and nomenclature used for (1-x) 1393 BG – x NKN composites*	*68*
Table 3.6	*Optimized sintering temperature for various composites*	*69*