LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Captions	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Two typical view of the cubic perovskite structure (a) cation 'A' sits on the cubic corner position (0, 0, 0) (shown by big yellow sphere), B cation sits on the (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) position (shown by small red sphere) and O anion sits on the face diagonal (1/2, 1/2, 0) positions (shown by medium size green sphere) (b) perovskite structure represented in the form of connected BO_6 octahedra, A cation is shown by the big yellow sphere, B cation is shown by the small red sphere while the O anion is shown by the green spheres.	4
Figure 1.2	Typical M-H hysteresis loop for a ferromagnetic material.	6
Figure 1.3	Temperature dependence of the magnetization (M) and inverse of the magnetic susceptibility (χ^{-1}) for (a) ferromagnetic and (b) antiferromagnetic material. AF = Antiferromagnetic and P = Paramagnetic.	7
Figure 1.4	Bethe-Slater curve (schematic). 'a' is the radius of an atom and "r' the radius of its 3d shell of electrons.	9
Figure 1.5	Double exchange mechanism gives ferromagnetic coupling between Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+} ions participating in electron transfer.	11
Figure 1.6	Consequences of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction. Weak ferromagnetism in antiferromagnet La_2CuO_4 layers results from the alternating Dzyaloshinskii vector.	12
Figure 1.7	Typical hysteresis loop for (a) ferroelectric and (b) antiferroelectric materials.	14
Figure 1.8	Weak ferroelectricity induced by the exchange-striction effect in the magnetic spiral state, which forces to shift the oxygen anion in one direction normal to the spin chain constituted by the magnetic ions.	22
Figure 1.9	Crystal structure of bulk $BiFeO_3$ at room temperature: Two simple perovskite unit cell are shown to illustrate that the successive oxygen octahedron along the polar [111] axis rotate in opposite sense. Arrows on Fe atoms	23

indicate the orientation of the magnetic moments in the (111) plane.

Figure 1.10 Ferroelectric hysteresis loop measured for bulk single crystal (top) and thin film (bottom) grown on (100) oriented substrate $SrTiO_3$. 24

Figure 1.11 $BiFeO_3$ lattice with bismuth (large circles), iron (large circles with arrow) and oxygen ions (small circles) shown in hexagonal settings. The arrow at the Fe sites indicates the direction for magnetic moments. The magnetic cell (dashed lines) is shown for a G-type antiferromagnetic structure. The propagation wave vector of the incommensurate spiral spin structure **k** is along the $[110]_h$ direction and lies in the plane of spin rotation $(1\text{-}10)_h$. 26

Figure 1.12 Magnetization curve versus applied magnetic field of the powder sample measured at room temperature. 27

Figure 1.13 Schematic of the planes of spiral rotation and cycloids **k** vector for polarization domains separated by a domain wall. 28

Figure 1.14 (a) Electric polarization (P) induced by magnetic field in $BiFeO_3$ at 10 K. At low fields, P is proportional to H^2, (quadratic ME coupling). Above H_c = 200 kOe, P is linearly dependent on H. (b) Magnetization as a function of the magnetic field oriented along the $[001]_c$ (cubic) direction for $BiFeO_3$ at 10 K. 29

Figure 1.15 Characteristic magnetic satellite reflections for $BiMn_xFe_{1-x}O_3$ measured using OSIRIS diffractometer at ISIS. 31

Figure 1.16 Saturation magnetization Ms of $BiFeO_3$ films for various film thickness. Black squares, on $SrTiO_3$ substrate; red circles, $BiFeO_3$/ $SrRuO_3$ (50 nm)/ $SrTiO_3$; blue triangle, $BiFeO_3$/Nb-$SrTiO_3$. The inset shows a typical hysteresis loop. Magnetometer axis in-plane and parallel to $SrTiO_3$ [100]. 33

Figure 1.17 Hysteresis loops at 300 K for $BiFeO_3$ nanoparticles with different particle sizes. The inset shows the variation of magnetization of $BiFeO_3$ nano-particles as a function of size (diameter d) at 50 kOe. 34

Figure 1.18(a)	(Electric field (E) dependence of polarization components obtained for E parallel to [001].	36
Figure1.18(b)	Electric field (E) dependence of antiferrodistortive vector (ω) components obtained for E parallel to [001].	37
Figure 1.19	The magnetic entropy change versus temperature for polycrystalline $BiFeO_3$ sample. Inset shows magnetization Vs magnetic field of $BiFeO_3$ at high magnetic field (8T) from 15 to 280 K in steps of 5K.	38
Figure 1.20	Discharge current anomalies in $BiFeO_3$ single crystals. (Left) pristine samples show two clear anomalies at ~ 140 and ~ 200 K, though in subsequent runs (right) only the 140 K anomaly is clear, although the 200 K anomaly is still visible for field-cooled samples. The field-cooling dependence of the peak temperature for the 140 K anomaly indicates that this pyroelectric like current is due to the sudden carrier emission from trap levels triggered by the surface phase transition.	40
Figure 1.21	Alpha parameter reflecting the asymmetry of the EPR curves for $BiFeO_3$ nanotubes.	41
Figure 1.22	Variation of the unit cell volume with temperature for $0.80BiFeO_3$-$0.20BaTiO_3$ ceramic from XRD (▲) and neutron diffraction (●) data. Solid line (-) is fit for Debye Grüneisen equation. Inset (a) shows the zoomed view around 140 K. Inset (b) depicts the variation of volume strain (ΔV /V) against square of magnetization $(M_S)^2$ obtained by M-H measurements.	42
Figure 1.23	Temperature-dependent variation of the spontaneous polarization for $0.80BiFeO_3$-$0.20BaTiO_3$ ceramic calculated from the positional coordinates obtained from Rietveld structure refinement.	43
Figure 1.24	Rietveld fit for the x-ray diffraction pattern of $Sr(Fe_{1/2}Nb_{1/2})O_3$ ceramics using orthorhombic crystal structure with *Pbnm* space group.	44
Figure 1.25	Rietveld fit for the x-ray diffraction pattern of $SrFe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}O_3$; crosses are observed intensities, the red line represents calculated pattern and the lower curve is the difference between observed and calculated XRD patterns. Vertical bars show the reflection positions.	45

Inset highlights fit for $65° \leq 2\theta \leq 100°$.

Figure 1.26 Temperature dependence of dielectric constant and dielectric loss for as-sintered $Sr(Fe_{1/2}Nb_{1/2}O_3)$ ceramics at different frequencies. 46

Figure 1.27 Comparison of temperature dependence of dielectric permittivity and dielectric loss for as-sintered and O_2-annealed $Sr(Fe_{1/2}Nb_{1/2})O_3$ ceramics. 48

Figure 1.28 Temperature dependence of the ZFC and FC magnetic susceptibilities for Sr_2FeNbO_6. The temperature dependence of the thermal remnant magnetization (TRM) is also shown. 50

Figure 1.29 Left panel: Magnetization curves of Ba_2FeNbO_6, Sr_2FeNbO_6, $BaSrFeNbO_6$, and Ba_2MnNbO_6. The arrow points to temperature T_N. Right panel: corresponding 1/x vs T plots. The arrow indicates the magnetic correlations above T_N. Solid lines represent the fits to Curie-Weiss law. 51

Figure 1.30 Composition dependent variation of (a) refined pseudocubic lattice parameter, (b) pseudocubic unit cell volume (c) isotropic thermal parameters for the Bi^{3+}/Pb^{2+}, O^{2-}andFe^{3+}/Nb^{5+} ions in $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xPb(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. 53

Figure 1.31 Variation of (a) unit cell parameters, (b) pseudo tetragonality (c/a), (c) unit cell volume and (d) thermal parameters in $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xBaTiO_3$ as a function of composition (x) at room temperature. 54

Figure 1.32 Variation of lattice parameters with composition for $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xPbTiO_3$ solid solution. 56

Figure 2.1 X-ray diffraction patterns of the reactants used for synthesis of the $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ samples (a) Bi_2O_3 (b) Fe_2O_3 (c) Nb_2O_5 and (d) $SrCO_3$. 64

Figure 2.2 The XRD patterns of $0.90BiFeO_3$-$0.10Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ powders calcined at different temperatures for 6 hours. XRD Patterns are zoomed vertically to see the presence of impurity reflections if any. 66

Figure 2.3 XRD patterns of the calcined $(1-x)BiFeO_3$- 68

	$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ powders with x = 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40 and 0.50. The weak impurity peak at 29.5° is seen to be eliminated for higher SFN concentrations.	
Figure 2.4	XRD patterns of the calcined $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ powders with x = 0.60, 0.70, 0.80, 0.90, and 1.00 compositions.	69
Figure 2.5	XRD patterns of sintered $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ samples for compositions x = 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.33, 0.36 and 0.40. The letter 'S' represents the superlattice reflection.	72
Figure 2.6	XRD patterns of sintered $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ samples for the compositions x = 0.50, 0.60, 0.70, 0.75, 0.80, 0.85, 0.90 and 1.00. The letter 'S' represents the superlattice reflection.	73
Figure 2.7	Scanning electron micrographs and EDS spectra of $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramics for x = 0.10, 0.30 and x = 0.40.	75
Figure 2.8	Scanning electron micrographs and EDS spectra of $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramics for x = 0.50, 0.80 and 0.90.	76
Figure 2.9	Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) and EDX spectra of $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramics.	77
Figure 3.1	Powder XRD pattern of $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. A superlattice reflection at 37.5° is marked with arrow. Insets show the zoomed portion of the XRD pattern.	85
Figure 3.2	Rietveld fits for the selected XRD profiles of $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ using tetragonal (*I4/mcm* space group, upper panel) and orthorhombic (*Pbnm* space group, lower panel) structures. The positions of superlattice reflections along with the indices, expected for orthorhombic structure with *Pbnm* space group, are marked by arrows. All the indices are written with respect to double cubic perovskite cell.	86
Figure 3.3	Observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and difference (bottom curve) XRD profiles for $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic at RT using tetragonal *I4/mcm* space group.	89

Figure 3.4 Temperature dependent variation of (a) real part of permittivity (ε_r'), (b) loss tangent tan (δ) and (c) modulus (M'') for $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. Arrhenius fit for the dielectric relaxation is shown in (d). The insets to figure (a) shows zoomed portion of real part of permittivity (ε_r'). 92

Figure 3.5 Temperature dependence of **(a)** tanδ at frequencies 5MHz and 9MHz **(b)** zero field cooled (ZFC), field cooled cooling (FCC), field cooled warming (FCW) magnetization M(T) of $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic sample. Inset to figure (a) and (b) show the magnetization (M) versus magnetic field (H) hysteresis loop and first derivative (dM/dT) of magnetization curve, respectively. 94

Figure 3.6 The XPS spectra of the Fe-2p level and O-1s level for $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic showing presence of oxygen vacancies and Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} ions. Experimental data (Black dots), overall fitted curve (red curve overlapping to observed data) and deconvoluted peaks corresponding to various contributions. 96

Figure 3.7 Evolution of the pseudocubic 111_{pc}, 200_{pc} and 220_{pc} XRD profiles of $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic at various temperatures 300, 500, 600, 650, 675, 700 and 850 K showing structural phase transition near ~ 650 K. The superlattice reflection is marked by letter 'S'. 98

Figure 3.8 Observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and difference (bottom curve) XRD profiles for some selected pseudocubic reflections 200, 220 and 222 obtained after full pattern structure refinements using various structural models, *I4/mcm* at 300 to 600 K and $Pm\bar{3}m$ at 650 K to 800 K for $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. Vertical bars are the Bragg's peak positions. 100

Figure 3.9 Observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and difference (bottom curve) XRD profiles obtained after full pattern Rietveld structure refinement of $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic using tetragonal *I4/mcm* space group at temperatures (a) 400 K, (b) 600 K and cubic $Pm\bar{3}m$ space group at temperatures (c) 650 K, (d) 800 K. Vertical bars are the Bragg's peak positions. 101

Figure 3.10 Temperature dependent variation of (a) lattice parameters (b) primitive unit cell volume and (c) Fe/Nb-O_6 octahedral tilt angle (φ) (d) Integrated intensity (I_{SL}) of superlattice reflection at 37.5° for $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. Insets to (c) and (d) show temperature variation of Fe/Nb-O-Fe/Nb bond angle (in degrees) and heat flow results respectively. A clear dip corresponding to phase transition is marked by arrow in the heat flow curve. 103

Figure 3.11 Temperature dependent variations of Fe/Nb-O1 and Fe/Nb-O2 bond lengths for $Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. The Fe/Nb-O bond lengths become equal in cubic phase. 105

Figure 4.1 Evolution of the x-ray powder diffraction profiles of the pseudocubic (111), (200), (220) and (222) reflections (considering pseudocubic unit cell) as a function of composition for the $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. 112

Figure 4.2 (a) A zoomed view of (222) XRD profile for x = 0.10. Arrows indicate the splitting in the XRD profile. (b) Composition dependent variation of the FWHM ratio of (200) to (111) profiles for $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramics. 114

Figure 4.3 Composition dependent variation of (a) x-ray diffraction pattern in the selected 2θ range of 36.8 to 40.2° for various compositions of $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic (b) composition dependence of integrated intensity of the strongest superlattice reflection around $2\theta \sim 37.5°$ for $0.10 \leq x \leq 0.30$. Asterisks in (a) indicate the presence of superlattice reflections, while the arrow in (b) indicates the composition at $x_c \sim 0.33$ for crystallographic phase transition. The inset to (b) shows zoomed view of the superlattice reflection for x=0.30. 115

Figure 4.4 Evolution of x-ray diffraction patterns in the selected 2θ range 34.25 to 42° for various compositions of $(1-x)BiFeO_3$-$xSr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic ($0.70 \leq x \leq 1.00$). Asterisks (*) mark the superlattice reflections. 117

Figure 4.5 The experimentally observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and difference XRD profiles (bottom curve) obtained after Rietveld structural 119

analysis by using (a) *Cc* space group and (b) *R*3*c* space group for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-xSr($Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}$)O_3 ceramic with x = 0.10. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile represent the position of Bragg's reflections. The inset to (a) and (b) represent the zoomed portion of Rietveld fits of respective diffraction patterns.

Figure 4.6 The experimentally observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and difference XRD patterns (bottom curve) obtained after Rietveld structural analysis by using *Cc* space group for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-xSr($Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}$)O_3 ceramic with x = 0.15. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile represent position of Bragg's reflections. The inset depicts the zoomed portion of diffraction pattern for Rietveld fits. 120

Figure 4.7 The experimentally observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and difference XRD profiles (bottom curve) obtained after Rietveld structural analysis by using *Cc* + *Pm*-3*m* space group for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-xSr($Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}$)O_3 ceramic with x=0.25. The lower panel shows the goodness of fits for zoomed pseudocubic perovskite peaks (111), (200) and (220) which clearly reveals the phase coexistence. The upper and lower vertical tick marks over the difference profile represent positions of Bragg's reflections corresponding to *Cc* and *Pm*-3*m* space group respectively. 122

Figure 4.8 Experimentally observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and difference XRD profiles (bottom curve) obtained after Rietveld structural analysis using *Pm*-3*m* space group for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-xSr($Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}$)O_3 ceramic with (a) x = 0.30 and (b) x = 0.60 compositions. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile represent position of Bragg's reflections. The inset indicates the zoomed portion in the 2θ range of 70-120°. 125

Figure 4.9 The experimentally observed (dots), calculated (solid line) and difference profiles (bottom solid line) obtained after Rietveld structural analysis by using *I4/mcm* + *Pm*-3*m* space group for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-xSr($Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}$)O_3 ceramic with x=0.80. The lower panel shows the fits for zoomed perovskite peaks (111), (200) and (220). The upper and lower vertical tick marks over the difference profile represent position of Bragg's reflections 127

corresponding to *I*4/*mcm* and *Pm*-3*m* space groups, respectively.

Figure 4.10 The experimentally observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and difference XRD profiles (bottom curve) obtained after Rietveld structural analysis using *I*4/*mcm* space group for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-x$Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic with x=0.90. The lower panel show the zoomed Rietveld fits for the (222), (400) and (440) XRD profiles. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile represent positions of Bragg's reflections. 129

Figure 4.11 Composition variation of (a) refined pseudocubic lattice parameters a_p, b_p and c_p (b) pseudocubic unit cell volume (left axis scale) and ratio of c_p to a_p (right axis scale) for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-x$Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic (c) evolution of cubic fraction (*Pm*-3*m*) (%) with composition (x). Dots represent the experimental data while continuous lines are guide to eyes. 132

Figure 4.12 Composition dependent variation of dielectric permittivity (ε′) for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-x$Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic at room temperature. Dots are experimental values while line is guide to eyes. 134

Figure 4.13 Temperature dependence of magnetization for various compositions of (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-x$Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic in the range $0.1 \leq x \leq 1.0$ measured at 500 Oe magnetic fields. T_N and SPT stand for antiferromagnetic and spin-reorientation phase transition temperatures, respectively. 136

Figure 4.14 Composition dependence of (a) spin reorientation transition (SPT) temperature and (b) antiferromagnetic transition (T_N) temperature for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-x$Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. 138

Figure 4.15 Magnetization versus (M) magnetic field (H) plot for various compositions of (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-x$Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. 139

Figure 4.16 Composition dependence of remnant magnetization (M_r) for (1-x)$BiFeO_3$-x$Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic 142

Figure 5.1 Temperature dependence of ZFC, FCC and FCW magnetization for 0.90BF-0.10SFN ceramic. 149

Figure 5.2	Temperature dependence of (a) M-H hysteresis loop (b) coercive field H_c (c) remnant magnetization M_r for 0.90BF-0.10SFN ceramic.	150
Figure 5.3	Evolution of the XRD profiles of pseudocubic perovskite peaks (222), (400) and (440) and superlattice reflection at some selected temperatures 12, 50, 80, 100, 160, 200, 230, 250, 270 and 300 K for 0.90BF-0.10SFN ceramic, showing the absence of any crystallographic phase transition.	152
Figure 5.4	The experimentally observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot), and difference (bottom curve) XRD profiles for $0.9BiFeO_3$-$0.10Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic at various temperatures using *Cc* space group.	153
Figure 5.5	The experimentally observed (dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot), and difference (bottom curve) XRD profiles of pseudocubic perovskite peaks (222), (400) and (440) for 0.90BF-0.10SFN ceramic at temperatures 300K, 200K, 100K and 12K.	154
Figure 5.6	Temperature dependent variation of (a) unit cell volume (V), arrows indicate the temperature at which unit cell volume shows anomaly (b) static permittivity (dielectric permittivity in the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$), where dots are experimental data and dotted line shows extrapolation in the lower temperature region and (c) magnetic ac susceptibility $\chi(\omega, T)$, for $0.90BiFeO_3$-$0.10Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic.	158
Figure 5.7	Temperature dependent variation of monoclinic (*Cc*) unit cell parameters *a, b, c* and angle (β) (in degrees) for $0.90BiFeO_3$-$0.10Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic.	159
Figure 5.8	Temperature dependence of (a) real part $\varepsilon_r'(T)$ and (b) imaginary part $\varepsilon_r''(T)$ of dielectric permittivity. The insets of (a) and (b) represent corresponding Arrhenius fits for $0.90BiFeO_3$-$0.10Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. The data points and activation energy (E_a) shown in the inset (a) (in blue colour) are calculated from Cole-Cole analysis. The symbols, in each inset, are experimental data and solid lines are the least square fits.	161
Figure 5.9	Cole-Cole analysis plots for permittivity at four	163

different temperatures in the absence of magnetic field for $0.90BiFeO_3$-$0.10Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. Open circles (blue) are data points and continuous curve (red) is the fitted circle.

Figure 5.10 Temperature dependence of Magnetodielectric response calculated from static dielectric permittivity (ε_{rs}) for $0.90BiFeO_3$-$0.10Sr(Fe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})O_3$ ceramic. 165

Figure 6.1 Temperature dependent variation of (a) dc magnetization (M) and (b) its first derivative with respect to temperature (dM/dT). 170

Figure 6.2 Temperature dependent variation of (a) real part of permittivity (ε′) (b) imaginary part of permittivity (ε″) and (c) Arrhenius fit of relaxation time. The insets to figure (a) and (b) show zoomed portion of the respective plots. 173

Figure 6.3 The observed (red dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping black curve) and their difference profiles (bottom blue curve) obtained after Rietveld structure refinement of 0.1BF-0.9SFN using *I*4/*mcm* space group. The vertical bars above the difference plot mark the positions of Bragg's reflections. Insets show the quality of Rietveld fits for some selected XRD profiles. 175

Figure 6.4 Temperature evolution of the selected XRD profiles of 0.1BF-0.9SFN ceramics showing absence of any crystallographic phase transition. 176

Figure 6.5 The observed (red dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot), and their difference (bottom blue curve) XRD profiles obtained after Rietveld structure refinement of 0.1BF-0.9SFN ceramic using tetragonal *I*4/*mcm* space group at various temperatures. Vertical bars mark the Bragg's peak positions. 177

Figure 6.6 Observed (red dots), Rietveld calculated (overlapping continuous plot) and their difference (bottom blue curve) XRD profiles obtained after full pattern Rietveld structure refinement of 0.1BF-0.9SFN ceramic using tetragonal *I*4/*mcm* space group at temperatures (a) 250K, (b) 130K and (c) 30 K. Vertical bars mark the Bragg's peak positions. 178

Figure 6.7	Temperature dependent variation of (a) unit cell volume and dc magnetization (M), (b) lattice parameters, (c) tetragonality and real part of dielectric permittivity (ε′) at frequencies 90, 150, 200 and 250 kHz for 0.1BF-0.9SFN ceramic.	180
Figure 6.8	Temperature dependent variation of (a) Fe/Nb-O_6 octahedral tilt angle (φ) (b) Sr/Bi-O bond length and (c) Fe/Nb-O-Fe/Nb bond angle for 0.1BF-0.9SFN ceramic.	181
Figure 6.9	(a) polarization (P)-electric field (E) loop at 50Hz and (b) magnetization (M)-magnetic field (H) hysteresis loop at room temperature for 0.1BF-0.9SFN ceramic. The inset to figure (b) shows zoomed portion.	184
Figure 6.10	Magnetization (M)-Magnetic field (H) hysteresis loops of 0.1BF-0.9SFN ceramic at various temperatures. The inset shows a zoomed portion of M-H plots.	186
Figure 6.11	Temperature dependent variation of (a) remnant magnetization (M_r) and (b) exchange bias field (H_{EB}).	187