

## LIST OF TABLES

---

Table 2.1 Summary of Handcrafted Feature Based Human Activity Recognition Approach.....	21
Table 2.2 Summary of Deep Learning Based Human Activity Recognition Approach.....	33
Table 2.3: Evolution of early datasets .....	50
Table 2.4 Evolution of Modern datasets .....	51
Table 2.5 Evolution of Egocentric datasets .....	55
Table 2.6 Evolution of Image datasets.....	57
Table 2.7 Dataset used for Training and Evaluation in this thesis .....	57
Table 2.8 Four outcomes of a binary classifier.....	60
Table 3.1 Confusion matrices for the proposed and other methods over own dataset .....	82
Table 3.2 Recognition results over the Own dataset .....	83
Table 3.3 Confusion matrix for the proposed method over the KTH action recognition dataset .....	86
Table 3.4 Recognition results over the KTH action recognition dataset .....	87
Table 3.5 Confusion matrix for the proposed method over the i3DPost multi-view dataset .....	90
Table 3.6 Recognition results over the i3DPost multi-view dataset.....	90
Table 3.7 Confusion matrix for the proposed method over the MSR view-point action dataset.....	93
Table 3.8 Recognition results over the MSR view-point action dataset .....	94
Table 3.9 Confusion matrix for the proposed method and other methods over the WVU action recognition dataset.....	95

Table 3.10 Recognition results over the WVU action recognition dataset .....	96
Table 4.1 Max pool filter sizes and spatial (HxW) and temporal (T) size of output corresponding to each convolution layer.....	105
Table 4.2 Performance of proposed method on NTU-RGB+D dataset for different spatial and temporal dimensions.....	108
Table 4.3 Performance comparison of proposed method with other state-of-the-art methods on NTU-RGB+D dataset.....	110
Table 4.4 Performance of proposed method on MSRACTION3D dataset for different spatial and temporal dimensions.....	112
Table 4.5 Performance comparison of proposed method with other state-of-the-art methods on MSRACTION3D dataset.....	112
Table 4.6 Performance MSRDailyActivity3D dataset on different spatial and temporal dimensions .....	113
Table 4.7 Performance comparison of the proposed method with other state-of-the-art methods on MSRDailyActivity3D dataset .....	114
Table 5.1 Layer specification for different 3D residual networks .....	122
Table 5.2 Training / Testing details for 2D residual network.....	126
Table 5.3 Training / Testing details for 3D residual networks .....	126
Table 5.4 Results of Dual-stream model on UCF split-01 .....	131
Table 5.5 Results of Dual-stream model on HMDB-51 and NTU RGB Datasets .....	131
Table 5.6 Comparison of proposed Deep-dual stream model with state-of-art methods on on UCF-101 dataset.....	132
Table 5.7 Layer specification for 2D ResNet 152. ....	136
Table 5.8 Results of the proposed model on UCF 101 Dataset.....	139

Table 5.9 Comparison of the proposed CRNN model with state-of-the-art methods.....	140
Table 6.1 Recognition results over MSR Daily Activity Dataset.....	151
Table 6.2 Recognition results over UTD MHAD Dataset.....	152
Table 6.3 Recognition results over CAD 60 Dataset.....	154