

## List of Figures

2.1	MIMO System . . . . .	16
2.2	Precoding and Postcoding with SVD decomposition . . . . .	18
2.3	MIMO SVD parallel channels . . . . .	18
2.4	Water filling analogy with water . . . . .	20
2.5	MU-MIMO System Model . . . . .	22
2.6	MIMO Beamforming . . . . .	24
2.7	Analog Beamforming . . . . .	26
2.8	Digital Beamforming . . . . .	28
2.9	Partially Connected Hybrid Beamforming . . . . .	29
2.10	Fully Connected Hybrid Beamforming . . . . .	31
2.11	mmWave MU-MIMO System . . . . .	35
2.12	mmWave Beamspace MU-MIMO System . . . . .	37
3.1	Sum-rate Performance Comparison . . . . .	51
3.2	Power Efficiency Comparison . . . . .	52
3.3	Beam selection as MWM over a bipartite graph . . . . .	57
3.4	Sum rate performance of different beam selection algorithms for a sparse system, with $N = 256$ , and $K = 32$ . . . . .	59
3.5	Sum rate performance of different beam selection algorithms for a sparse system, with $N = 64$ , and $K = 32$ . . . . .	60

4.1	Common memory (left) versus networked (right) systems . . . . .	64
4.2	mmWave beamspace MU-MIMO System with Feedback . . . . .	65
4.3	The sum rate performance against SNR (dB) for K=16 users . . . . .	73
4.4	The sum rate performance against SNR (dB) for K=20 users . . . . .	74
5.1	mmWave MU-MIMO-NOMA Communication System . . . . .	78
5.2	Sum-rate Performance Comparison . . . . .	89
5.3	Power Efficiency Comparison . . . . .	89