List of Tables

Chapter 2

2.1 Power Flow (PF) solution of ill-conditioned version of CASE13 system using CINR 34
2.2 PF solution of ill-conditioned version of CASE13 test system using LMPF 35
2.3 PF solution of ill-conditioned version of CASE13 system using RK4PF . . 35
2.4 PF solution of ill-conditioned version of CASE28 test system using CINR . 37
2.5 PF solution of ill-conditioned version of CASE28 test system using LMPF 38
2.6 PF solution of ill-conditioned version of CASE28 test system using RK4PF 39
2.7 Obtained results of CINR, LMPF, RK4PF, BFS, TCIM, and iTCIM over several test systems. (NC: Not Converged) 41
2.8 Obtained results of CINR, LMPF, RK4PF, BFS, TCIM, and iTCIM over large test systems. (NC: Not Converged) 41
2.9 Execuation time (in sec) of CINR, LMPF, RK4PF, BFS, TCIM, and iTCIM. (NC: Not Converged) 41
2.10 Total Number of iterations required for different PF algorithms in heavily loaded ill-conditioned systems.(LF: Loading Factor, NC: Not Converged) . 42
2.11 Total Number of iterations required for different PF algorithms in ill-conditioned systems with high r/x ratios.(NC: Not Converged) 43

3.1 Mean and SD of best error value obtained by algorthms on 30-D CEC2014 problem suite. 55
3.2 Mean and SD of best error value obtained by algorithms on 30-D CEC2014 problem suite. 57
3.3 Mean and SD of best error value obtained by algorithms on 30-D CEC2014 problem suite. 59

3.4 Mean and SD of best error value obtained by algorithms on 30-D CEC2014 problem suite. 61
3.5 Initial seed obtained by SS for CASE25 test system 62
3.6 Power Flow solution obtained using SSTCIM for CASE25 test system . . . 63
3.7 Total Number of iterations required for different Power Flow algorithms. . 64
3.8 Total Number of iterations required for different Power Flow algorithms. . 65
3.9 Mean and SD of best error value obtained by algorithms on 30-D CEC2014 problem suite. 67
3.10 Ranking of Algorithm according to Friedman ranking 68
3.11 Properties of benchmark problems given in CEC 2006. 74
3.12 Error Values achieved when FEs are 5000, 50000, and 500000 for test function $g01 - g06$ 75
3.13 Error Values achieved when FEs are 5000, 50000, and 500000 for test function $g07 - g12$ 75
3.14 Error Values achieved when FEs are 5000, 50000, and 500000 for test function $g13 - g18$ 76
3.15 Error Values achieved when FEs are 5000, 50000, and 500000 for test function $g19 - g24$ 76
3.16 Best, Median, worst, mean, and standard deviation of NFES to achieve the fixed accuarcy level. 77
3.17 Mean NFES to schieve the accuracy level and SR on the CEC 2006 78
3.18 Ranking based on the SP of all algorithm on the CEC 2006. 79
3.19 Average ranking of the Friedman test 80
3.20 Multiple solution obtained using BCO for ill-conditioned CASE13 82

Chapter 4

4.1 Component modelling of microgrid in Power Flow algorithm 92
4.2 Representation of elements of Jacobian Matrix 97
4.3 Data required for modeling of the six bus test system in time-domain . . . 100
4.4 Validation of results obtained for six-bus test system. 101

4.5 Computation time required by different algorithms to solve power flow for different cases . . . 102
4.6 Validation of obtained result of the six-bus test system . . . 110
4.7 NBFS algorithm versus DBFS, MBFS, and PSCAD/EMTDC results for CASE33 system. . . . 111
4.8 Droop gains, nominal values and operative mode of DGs and Q_{max} limit for the 22-bus, 38-bus, and 69-bus test system. . . . 116
4.9 Computation time required by algorithms to solve power flow for 22-bus, 38-bus, and 69-bus systems. . . . 116
4.10 computation time required by algorithms to solve power flow problem of CASE160 test system. . . . 118
4.11 Number of iteration and computation time (in second) required to solve power flow problem of CASE38 test system for different value of λ. . . . 120
4.12 Computation time required by algorithms to solve power flow for different cases. . . . 122
4.13 Computational effort of different algorithms for solving different test cases. 123

Chapter 5

5.1 Default value of parameters of MA-ES [1] . . . 148
5.2 Validation of obtained result of the six-bus test system . . . 150
5.3 Comparison of results on 33-bus system . . . 150
5.4 Droop control settings of DGs in CASE6 test system. . . . 151
5.5 Outcomes of proposed load flow algorithm for a CASE6 test system compared with other methods. . . . 153
5.6 Droop control settings of DGs in CASE69 test system. . . . 154
5.7 Voltage profile obtained from ϵDE-GN for CASE69 distribution system operated as an islanded microgrid. . . . 154
5.8 Voltage profile obtained from υMAESbm for CASE69 distribution system operated as an islanded microgrid. . . . 155
5.9 Droop control settings of DGs in CASE33 system. . . . 157
5.10 Load exponents of different loads . . . 157

5.11 Voltage profile obtained by ϵDE-GN for CASE33 distribution system operated as an islanded microgrid. 157
5.12 Voltage profile obtained by υMAESbm for CASE33 distribution system operated as an islanded microgrid. 158
5.13 Droop control settings of DGs in CASE25 test system. 158
5.14 Power flow result obtained by ϵDE-GN for CASE25 unbalanced distribution system operated as an islanded microgrid. 160
5.15 Power flow result obtained by υMAESbm for CASE25 unbalanced distribution system operated as an islanded microgrid. 161

Chapter 6

6.1 The detail of experimental setup 180
6.2 Simulation results for CASE13 test system. 181
6.3 Results for CASE13 for scenario-4 181
6.4 Value of main parameters of system for different cases of CASE13 181
6.5 Simulation results for CASE25 test system for scenario-4 182
6.6 Obtained results for CASE25 183
6.7 Value of main parameters of system for different cases of CASE25 183
6.8 Simulation results for CASE37 test system of scenario-4 184
6.9 Obtained results for CASE37 184
6.10 Value of main parameters of system for different cases of CASE37 184

Chapter 7

7.1 Results of optimal power flow problem of CASE6 201
7.2 Results of optimal power flow problem of CASE22 202
7.3 Results of optimal power flow problem of CASE38 203

Appendix I

I.1 General Data of CASE13 209
I.2 Topology of CASE13 209

I.3 Line Parameter of CASE13 . . . 210
I.4 Load Data of CASE13 . . . 210
I.5 Load Data of ill-conditioned CASE13 . . . 211
I.6 General Data of CASE25 . . . 211
I.7 Topology of CASE25 . . . 212
I.8 Line Parameters of CASE25 . . . 212
I.9 Load Data of CASE25 . . . 213
I.10 General Data of CASE37 . . . 213
I.11 Tolology of CASE37 . . . 214
I.12 Line Parameters of CASE37 . . . 215
I.13 Load Data of CASE37 . . . 216
I.14 General Data of CASE28 . . . 216
I.15 Topology of CASE28 . . . 217
I.16 Line Parameters of CASE28 . . . 217
I.17 Load Data of CASE28 . . . 218
I.18 Load and Voltage Data of CASE28 . . . 218

Appendix II

II.1 Line Data of CASE6 . . . 219
II.2 Active Load Data of CASE6 . . . 219
II.3 Reactive Load Data of CASE6 . . . 219
II.4 DG's Data of CASE6 . . . 220
II.5 Line Data of CASE22 . . . 220
II.6 Active Load Data of CASE22 . . . 221
II.7 Reactive Load Data of CASE22 . . . 222
II.8 DG's Data of CASE22 . . . 222
II.9 Line Data of CASE38 . . . 223
II.10 Active Load Data of CASE38 . . . 224
II.11 Reactive Load Data of CASE38 . . . 225
II.12 DG's Data of CASE38 . . . 226
II.13 Line Data of CASE33 . . . 226
II.14 Active Load Data of CASE33 . . . 227

II.15 Reactive Load Data of CASE33 228
II.16 DG's Data of CASE33 228

Appendix III

III.1 Experimental Results of ϵDE-GN on CEC-2006 Benchmark Suite. 229
III.2 Comparison of algorithms on CEC-2006 benchmark problems 230
III.3 Experimental results of υMA-ESbm over 25 independent run on 18 test problems with 10D of IEEE CEC 2010. 231
III.4 Experimental results of υMA-ESbm over 25 independent run on 18 test problems with 30D of IEEE CEC 2010. 232
III.5 Experimental results of algorithms on 18 test problems with $10D$ of IEEE CEC 2010 233
III.6 Experimental results of algorithms on 18 test problems with $30D$ of IEEE CEC 2010 234
III.7 number of trials of ESHADE in a run of 50 that found correct digits . . . 235
III.8 Score achieved by HSES, EBOwithCMAR and ESHADE for problem suite of 100-Digit Challenge. 236

Appendix IV

IV.1 Power Flow solution of CASE22 test system operating in conventional droop.237
IV.2 Power Flow solution of CASE22 test system operating in inverse droop. . 238
IV.3 Power Flow solution of CASE22 test system operating in mixed droop. . . 238
IV.4 Power Flow solution of CASE22 test system operating in isochronous mode.239
IV.5 Power Flow solution of CASE38 test system operating in conventional mode.239
IV.6 Power Flow solution of CASE38 test system operating in inverse mode. . . 240
IV.7 Power Flow solution of CASE38 test system operating in mixed mode. . . 241
IV.8 Power Flow solution of CASE38 test system operating in isochronous mode.242
IV.9 Power Flow solution of CASE69 test system operating in conventional mode.243
IV.10 Power Flow solution of CASE69 test system operating in inverse mode. . . 244
IV.11 Power Flow solution of CASE69 test system operating in mixed mode. . . 245
IV.12 Power Flow solution of CASE69 test system operating in isochronous mode.246
IV.13 Power Flow solution of CASE6 test system operating in islanded mode. . . 247

IV.14 Power Flow solution of CASE22 test system in case of without optimization condition. 247
IV.15 Power Flow solution of CASE22 test system in case of minimization of $\mathbf{P}_{loss}$. 248
IV.16 Power Flow solution of CASE22 test system in case of minimization of $\mathbf{Q}_{loss}$. 249
IV.17 Power Flow solution of CASE22 test system in case of minimization of $(0.5 * \mathbf{P}_{loss} + 0.5 * \mathbf{Q}_{loss})$. 249
IV.18 Power Flow solution of CASE38 test system in without optimization condition. 250
IV.19 Power Flow solution of CASE38 test system in case of minimization of $\mathbf{P}_{loss}$. 251
IV.20 Power Flow solution of CASE38 test system in case of minimization of $\mathbf{Q}_{loss}$. 252
IV.21 Power Flow solution of CASE38 test system in case of minimization of $(0.5 * \mathbf{P}_{loss} + 0.5 * \mathbf{Q}_{loss})$. 253