## List of Tables

1.1	Average reduct size using state-of-the-art methods	18
2.1	Parameters used in Elitist Genetic Algorithm (eGA)	24
2.2	Description of used benchmark datasets	25
2.3	Result for Ecoli dataset for 10 independent runs	26
2.4	Result for Glass dataset for 10 independent runs	28
2.5	Result for Wisconsin dataset for 10 independent runs $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	29
2.6	Result for Cleveland dataset for 10 independent runs $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	30
2.7	Average Reduct sizes using different methods	32
2.8	MAPE for representative weeks with and without feature selection	34
2.9	Comparison of proposed results with other methods $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	35
3.1	Sample dataset 1	38
3.2	Sample Dataset 2: discretized version of sample dataset 1	38
3.3	Rough Dependency Measure	41
3.4	Rough and Fuzzy Rough Dependency Measure	45
4.1	Initialization of solutions for random and proposed DS-initialization method	54
4.2	Description of benchmark datasets	56
4.3	PSO-DS with RST measure: Comparison of reduct size and classification	
	accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy	
	is denoted as 'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is	
	denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	59

4.4	IDS-DS with RST measure: Comparison of reduct size and classification	
	accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy	
	is denoted as 'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is	
	denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	60
4.5	Statistical analysis of number of generations taken by the dataset for con-	
	verging to a solution for PSO with RST measure	62
4.6	Statistical analysis of number of generations taken by the dataset for con-	
	verging to a solution for IDS with RST measure	62
4.7	Friedman ranking with RST measure	63
4.8	PSO-DS with L-FRFS measure: Comparison of reduct size and classifi-	
	cation accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification	
	accuracy is denoted as 'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon	
	test is denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.] $\ . \ . \ .$	65
4.9	IDS-DS with L-FRFS measure: Comparison of reduct size and classification	
	accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy	
	is denoted as 'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is	
	denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	66
4.10	Statistical analysis of number of generations taken by the dataset for con-	
	verging to a solution for PSO with L-FRFS measure	68
4.11	Statistical analysis of number of generations taken by the dataset for con-	
	verging to a solution for IDS with L-FRFS measure	69
4.12	Friedman ranking with L-FRFS measure	69
51	Hybrid P with BST massure: Comparison of reduct size and classification	
0.1	accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy	
	is denoted as 'CA' Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S' Wilcoxon test is	
	denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	78
5.2	Hybrid-S with RST measure: Comparison of reduct size and classification	
	accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy	
	is denoted as 'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is	
	denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	79
5.3	Friedman ranking with RST measure	80

5.4	Hybrid-P with L-FRFS measure: Comparison of reduct size and classifi- cation accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification	
	accuracy is denoted as 'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	83
5.5	Hybrid-S with L-FRFS measure: Comparison of reduct size and classifi- cation accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification	
	test is denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	84
5.6	Friedman ranking with L-FRFS measure	86
6.1	BO with RST measure: Comparison of reduct size with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	96
6.2	BO with RST measure: Comparison of classification accuracy with statis- tical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy is denoted as 'CA'. Statistical t test is denoted as 'S', Wilcoxon test is denoted as 'WT'.]	07
63	Friedman ranking for BO and hybrid methods with BST measure	97
6.4	BO with L-FRFS measure: Comparison of reduct size with statistical t- test, and Wilcoxon test. [Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is denoted as 'WT' Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS' ]	00
6.5	BO with L-FRFS measure: Comparison of classification accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy is denoted as 'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is denoted as 'WT'.]	100
6.6	Friedman ranking with L-FRFS measure	101
7.1	AGA-MO with RST measure: Comparison of reduct size with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon	1
	test is denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]	107
7.2	AGA-MO with RST measure: Comparison of classification accuracy with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy is denoted as	
	'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is denoted as 'WT'.]	108
7.3	Friedman ranking with RST measure	109

 $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}$ 

7.4	AGA-MO with L-FRFS measure: Comparison of reduct size with statistical
	t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test
	is denoted as 'WT'. Mean Subset Size is denoted as 'MSS'.]
7.5	AGA-MO with L-FRFS measure: Comparison of classification accuracy
	with statistical t-test, and Wilcoxon test. [Classification accuracy is de-
	noted as 'CA'. Statistical t-test is denoted as 'S'. Wilcoxon test is denoted
	as 'WT'.]
7.6	Friedman ranking with L-FRFS measure
8.1	Best known result for each dataset along with their description