

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Illustration of difference between traditional classification and early classification approaches for time series. | 2 |
| 1.2 | Illustration of an early classification approach for MTS. | 3 |
| 3.1 | Illustration of the early classification for detection of the fog status on the road. | 22 |
| 3.2 | Illustration of the components of an MTD. | 27 |
| 3.3 | Block diagram of the proposed approach for building the classifier and predicting the class label of an incomplete MTD. | 29 |
| 3.4 | SensorTag location on the vehicles during experiment. | 40 |
| 3.5 | Illustration of the experimental results of the proposed approach for road surface dataset using $\alpha = 0.9$ | 41 |
| 3.6 | Impact of equal and different sampling rate components on the MRL. | 42 |
| 3.7 | Illustration of the tradeoff between accuracy and earliness for PEMS-SF and HHAR. | 46 |
| 3.8 | Impact of different sets of sampling rate on accuracy and earliness. | 47 |
| 3.9 | Comparison of the proposed approach with existing approaches using F_1 score metric. | 49 |
| 3.10 | Confusion matrices for HHAR dataset using Ω_1 | 50 |
| 3.11 | Impact of α on the earliness for PEMS-SF dataset using Ω_1 | 51 |
| 4.1 | Block diagram of the FECM. | 60 |
| 4.2 | Overview of learning phase of the FECM. | 61 |
| 4.3 | Illustration of Hasse diagram for a power set. Part (a) illustrates an example of Hasse diagram for a power set of $O = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Part (b) shows the coloring of the Hasse diagram based on relevancy of nodes. | 64 |
| 4.4 | Computation of class discriminating MRLs for the MTS. | 68 |
| 4.5 | Pruning of relevant sub-datasets using accuracy and earliness. | 69 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.6 | Identification of faulty components in a new MTF. | 71 |
| 4.7 | Overview of prediction of class label of an MTS $\mathbf{C}^{p,s}$ | 74 |
| 4.8 | Illustration of selected components for different datasets in FECM. . . | 79 |
| 4.9 | Performance evaluation of FECM on different activities at $\alpha = 0.9$. . . | 80 |
| 4.10 | Fault tolerance capability of the FECM with varying number of faulty components in the training data using different datasets. | 81 |
| 4.11 | Impact on the accuracy and earliness of the FECM with varying number of faulty components in the testing data. | 83 |
| 4.12 | Accuracy comparison between FECM and existing approaches along the progress of MTS. | 86 |
| 4.13 | Illustration of execution time difference for the different datasets. . . . | 87 |
| 5.1 | Overview of the traditional classifier with ZSL. | 93 |
| 5.2 | Block diagram of SECM. | 94 |
| 5.3 | Illustration of attribute learning for given single component dataset \mathcal{D} . . . | 97 |
| 5.4 | Experimental setup for data collection using NodeMCU and Raspberry Pi from washing machine. | 103 |
| 5.5 | Accuracy and earliness results using varying number of attributes in the time series. | 105 |
| 5.6 | Performance of SECM for seen classes (<i>i.e.</i> , faults). | 106 |
| 5.7 | Impact of unseen classes on accuracy and earliness of SECM. | 107 |
| 5.8 | Precision, recall, and F_1 score results for unseen class labels. | 108 |
| 5.9 | Performance results of sensitivity analysis of SECM. | 108 |
| 5.10 | Performance results of SECM on the existing datasets. | 110 |