

List of Tables

2.1	The Comparison of the Characteristics of the Diffusion Models [1]	21
2.2	The Comparison of the Characteristics of The Existing IM Algorithms – I	41
2.3	The Comparison of the Characteristics of the Existing IM Algorithms – II	42
2.4	The Comparison of the Characteristics of the Existing Context-aware IM Algorithms – I	59
2.5	The Comparison of the Characteristics of the Existing Context-aware IM Algorithms – II	60
2.6	The Comparison of the Performance of the Existing IM Algorithms	61
2.7	The Statistical Information of the Real-world Network Datasets	65
3.1	The Working of Update Phase of LAPSO-IM in Running Example	97

3.2	The Comparison of Influence Spread (represented in terms of percentage of nodes in original social networks) and Running Time (in terms of seconds) under IC Diffusion Model in Various Datasets at $ S = 50$	108
3.3	The Comparison of Influence Spread (represented in terms of percentage of nodes in original social networks) and Running Time (in terms of seconds) under WC Diffusion Model in Various Datasets at $ S = 50$	108
3.4	The Comparison of Influence Spread (represented in terms of percentage of nodes in original social networks) and Running Time (in terms of seconds) under LT Diffusion Model in Various Datasets at $ S = 50$	108
3.5	The Friedman Test on Average Influence Spread under IC Diffusion Model	109
3.6	The Estimation of p-value based on the Holland Procedure for Post-hoc Analysis on Average Influence Spread under IC Diffusion Model	113
3.7	The Estimation of p-value based on the Holland Procedure for Post-hoc Analysis on Average Influence Spread under WC Diffusion Model	113
3.8	The Estimation of p-value based on the Holland Procedure for Post-hoc Analysis on Average Influence Spread under LT Diffusion Model	113

3.9	The Estimation of p-value based on the Holm procedure for Post-hoc Analysis on Average Influence Spread under IC Diffusion Model	113
3.10	The Estimation of p-value based on the Holm Procedure for Post-hoc Analysis on Average Influence Spread under WC Diffusion Model	114
3.11	The Estimation of p-value based on the Holm Procedure for Post-hoc Analysis on Average Influence Spread under LT Diffusion Model	114
4.1	Estimation of Diffusion Degree based on SSA in Running Example	137
4.2	Speedup % of Proposed Algorithms against the State-of-the-art Algorithms in Different Datasets regarding Influence Spread	145
4.3	Speedup % of Proposed Algorithms against the State-of-the-art Algorithms in Different Datasets regarding Running Time	146
5.1	The Computation of Accumulated Influence Acc based on Algorithm NextSeedA for Product Diffusion Graph G^1 . . .	174
5.2	The Influence Spread Comparison of Algorithm under IM2 Framework for Higgs Twitter Dataset at $k = 50$	178
5.3	The Influence Spread Comparison of Algorithm under IM2 Framework for Co-author Dataset at $k = 50$	178

5.4	The Influence Spread Comparison of Compared Algorithms under MIM Framework for Different m at $k = 50$	180
6.1	The Computation of Overall Index $OI(x,y)$ of Each Existing Links (x,y) based on CLP-ID Algorithm	202
6.2	The Comparison of the Complexity of CLP-ID with the State-of-the-art Algorithms	203
6.3	Comparison of CLP-ID with the State-of-the-art Algorithms in terms of Accuracy Quantified by AUPR . . .	230
6.4	Comparison of CLP-ID with the State-of-the-art Algorithms in terms of Accuracy Quantified by Recall . . .	231
6.5	Comparison of CLP-ID with the State-of-the-art Algorithms in terms of Accuracy Quantified by AUC	232
6.6	Comparison of CLP-ID with the State-of-the-art Algorithms in terms of Accuracy Quantified by Precision . .	233
6.7	The Friedman Test on AUPR	237
6.8	The Posthoc Friedman Conover Test (Control Method = CLP-ID) Corresponding Different Accuracy Metrics	238