LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Figure description	Page No.
Figure 1.1	(A) Structural organization of a skeletal muscle starting from muscle fiber to a muscle as a whole attached to bones via tendons. (B) Structural organization of a sarcomere with the arrangement of thick myosin and thin actin myofilaments in the form of protein rich bands (Ostrovidov et al. 2014).	**02**
Figure 1.2	(A) Structure of thin actin filament and thick myosin filament. (B) Structural changes observed in sarcomeres during relaxation and contraction cycles of myofilaments actin and myosin (Karp 2009; Barrett et al. 2009).	**04**
Figure 1.3	Power stroke of myosin on actin filament. (A) In the resting state ADP bound myosin unable to bind with actin due to troponin and tropomyosin cover. (B) In the presence of Ca2+ions structural changes in troponin tropomyosin complex allowing bond between myosin head and actin filament. (C) Myosin head rotates and moves the actin filament inwards releasing ADP. (D) Binding of ATP over the myosin head detaching it from actin filament. (E) Hydrolysis of ATP to ADP and Pi in order to reattach myosin head to actin (Barrett et al. 2009).	**05**
Figure 1.4	Adult muscle regeneration process (Abmayr and Pavlath 2012).	**08**
Figure 1.5	The conventional tissue engineering process along with the modifications being carried out to enhance the process (Maleiner et al. 2018).	**15**
Figure 2.1	Representative images show (A) The structural components of a custom-designed bioprinting system and (B) Bioprinting of a multi-layered tissue construct in Petri dish using the same setup. Labelled numbers indicate: 1- PCB control board, 2- Z-axis stepper motor,	**44**

3- Extruder temperature sensor, 4- X-axis stepper motor, 5- Syringe plunger cap, 6- Syringe holder, 7- Belt and pulley-based extrusion drive, 8- Stepper motor for extrusion, 9- Modified X-axis carriage, 10- Printing bed, 11- Y-Axis stepper motor, 12- Power supply, 13- Syringe needle extruding the material, 14- Printed construct and 15- Petri plate.

Figure 2.2 SEM photomicrographs showing the pores present within the various alginate: gelatin bioink compositions. **47**

Figure 2.3 Pore size distribution analysis results of printed constructs using alginate: gelatin bioink compositions. **48**

Figure 2.4 Swelling studies results of the 3D printed constructs using various alginate:gelatin bioink compositions. **48**

Figure 2.5 Rheological study results showing the variation in viscosity with respect to shear rate for the chosen 1:5 composition bioink at various temperatures. **49**

Figure 2.6 Images of bioprinted constructs with a cuboidal shape with dimensions of 25 x 25 x 1.2 mm3 (A) Represents the image of a just bioprinted construct (B) Represents the image of C2C12 cell laden bioprinted construct in cell culture media. **50**

Figure 2.7 (A) Bright-field images of C2C12 mouse myoblast cells cultured on standard Petri dish, C2C12 stained by calcein-AM for assessing the live cells (green in color), and C2C12 stained by ethidium homodimer for assessing the dead cells (red in color). (B) Represents the visualization of actin filaments stained with rhodamine phalloidin (red in color) and nuclei with DAPI (blue in color) in C2C12 cells. (C) Representative image on the right reveals the morphology of the cellular networks formed within the printed **52**

tissue constructs after 5th day of culture. Image on the left is Z stacked image of printed tissue constructs after 5th day of culture.

Figure 2.8 (A) Representative images show the live (green in color) and dead (red in color) cells (i.e., mouse myoblast C2C12 cells) of the printed tissue constructs at different time points (B) Quantitative analysis of live cells after 3 h, 1st day, 7th day and 14th day of incubation of the printed tissue constructs. Significance levels are presented as ***p<0.001, **p<0.01. (C) The graph showing percentage of viable cells within the constructs after 3 hours of incubation printed at different instances. This shows the consistency of the printing process for achieving similar viability of cells within the printed constructs. **53**

Figure 2.9 Fluorescent images showing the filamentous actin (red in color) and nuclei (blue in color) of cells embedded within the printed constructs after 14 days of incubation. **54**

Figure 3.1 (A) Streak plate culture of *E. Coli* XL 10 gold bacteria on Luria bertani (LB) agar plate, showing isolated colonies of bacteria after incubation at 37°C for 20 hours in bacteriological incubator. (B) Agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmid DNA AAV-CAG-ChR2-GFP. Numbers 1-7 indicate the well numbers. White arrows indicating the AAV-CAG-ChR2-GFP plasmid bands isolated by alkaline lysis method from *E. Coli* XL-10 gold bacteria. **64**

Figure 3.2 Shows transfected NIH 3T3 cells observed after 3 days of transfection**.** (A) Bright field image showing NIH 3T3 cells after 3 days of transfection, (B) Fluorescent image of A showing the NIH 3T3 cells which are transfected (visible in the inset). (C) Merged image of (A) and (B) showing localized maximum intensity regions **67**

of fluorescence indicating the cell surfaces with expression of membrane bound channelrhodopsin proteins on NIH 3T3 cells (visible in the inset).

Figure 3.3 Shows no transfection in HepG2 and C2C12 cells after 3 days of transfection. (A) Bright field image showing HepG2 cells after 3 days of transfection, (B) Fluorescent image of A showing the HepG2 cells which are not transfected. (C) Bright field image showing C2C12 cells after 3 days of transfection, (D) Fluorescent image of C showing the C2C12 cells which are not transfected. **67**

Figure 3.4 Shows transfected HepG2 cells observed after 6 days of transfection**.** (A) Bright field image showing HepG2 cells after 6 days of transfection, (B) Fluorescent image of (A) showing the HepG2 cells which are transfected (visible in the inset), (C) Merged image of (A) and (B) showing localized maximum intensity regions of fluorescence indicating the cell surfaces with expression of membrane bound channel rhodopsin proteins on HepG2 cells (visible in the inset), (D) A bright field image showing HepG2 control cells after 6 days of transfection, (E) Fluorescent image showing HepG2 control cells after 6 days of transfection. **68**

Figure 3.5 Shows no transfection in C2C12 cells after 6 days of transfection. (A) Bright field image showing C2C12 cells after 6 days of transfection, (B) Fluorescent image of A showing the C2C12 cells which are not transfected. **69**

Figure 3.6 Shows transfected C2C12 cells observed after 9 days of transfection. (A) Bright field image showing C2C12 cells after 9 days of transfection, (B) Fluorescent image of (A) showing the **69**

C2C12 cells which are transfected (visible in the inset), (C) Merged image of (A) and (B) showing localized maximum intensity regions of fluorescence indicating the cell surfaces with expression of membrane bound channel rhodopsin proteins on C2C12 cells (visible in the inset), (D) A bright field image showing C2C12 control cells after 9 days of transfection, (E) Fluorescent image showing C2C12 control cells after 9 days of transfection.

Figure 3.7 (A) Graph depicting the difference in the fluorescence intensity of the NIH 3T3 transfected cells and the control. Asterisk indicates the level of significant difference ($p < 0.05$). (B) Graph depicting the difference in the fluorescence intensity of the transfected HepG2 cells and their control. Asterisk indicates the level of significant difference ($p < 0.05$). (C) Graph depicting the difference in fluorescent intensity of transfected C2C12 cells and their control. Asterisk indicates the level of significant difference ($p < 0.05$). **71**

Figure 3.8 Graph depicting the various cell types used for liposomal transfection and their respective percentage of transfected cells. **71**

Figure 4.1 Schematic illustration of micropatterning by selective surface modification of glass substrates. (A) Conformal contact of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp on OTS modified glass coverslip with terminal $-CH_3$ groups (B) Plasma treatment through the microchannels of PDMS stamps (C) Modification of surface terminal functional groups from –CH3 to polar groups like –OH, -CHO, -COOH (D) Grafting of APTES layer on the modified layer of OTS. **81**

Figure 4.2 Surface characterisation of OTS/APTES coated glass coverslips using FITC showing micropatterns of different widths: (A) 20 µm (B) 200 µm (C) 1000 µm. **84**

Figure 4.3 Bright-field images of C2C12 skeletal myoblast cells cultured on cell adhesive width of 20 µm for 2, 5 and 8 days. **85**

Figure 4.4 Bright-field images of C2C12 skeletal myoblast cells cultured on cell adhesive width of 200 µm for 2, 5 and 8 days. **86**

Figure 4.5 Bright-field images of C2C12 skeletal myoblast cells cultured on cell adhesive width of 1000 µm for 2, 5 and 8 days. **86**

Figure 4.6 Graph showing the orientation order parameter of C2C12 myoblasts and primary skeletal muscle cells cultured on cell adhesive widths of 20 µm, 200 µm and 1000 µm respectively on 2, 5 and 8 days respectively. Symbols for C20, C200 and C1000 denote C2C12 cell cultured on micropattern widths of 20 µm, 200 µm and 1000 µm respectively. **87**

Figure 4.7 Fluorescence images of micropatterned C2C12 cells stained with DAPI (nuclei), Rhodamine phalloidin (actin) and merged images along with the graph of actin orientation distribution analysis in the bottom (moving from left to right) on different cell adhesive widths of 20 µm, 200 µm and 1000 µm (moving from top to bottom) respectively. The region of interest indicated in the actin image denotes the area used for orientation distribution analysis. **90**

Figure 5.1 Figure depicts the sequence of steps involved in dissection of rat hind limb skeletal muscles tibialis anterior (a-f) and gastrocnemius muscle (g-k). (l) Represents the isolated skeletal muscles tibialis anterior (left) and gastrocnemius (right). **103**

Figure 5.2 Figure depicts the scheme adopted for isolation of primary myoblasts from rat hind limb muscles. The general steps involve dissection of muscles, enzymatic digestion, trituration, pre-plating of cells for separation of non-myogenic cells and plating of myogenic cells. **105**

Figure 5.3 Figure depicts the scheme adopted for determination of principal twitch frequency for spontaneous contractions in myotubes. **107**

Figure 5.4 Figure depicts bright field images of primary skeletal muscle derived cells cultured on 2% gelatin surface. The cells over the course of the culture adhere, fuse and form myotubes (A) various stages of cell morphology during myogenesis at different time points on gelatin-coated standard culture plates (Scale bar: 100 µm) (B) morphology-based cell population dynamics of skeletal muscle-derived cells over a period of 12 days. Significance levels are presented as ***p<0.001, **p<0.01 and *p<0.05. **110**

Figure 5.5 Figure depicts merged fluorescence image of isolated cells cultured on 2% gelatin surface stained by using anti PAX7 antibody (green-PAX7 transcription factor, DAPI (nucleus-blue) respectively. This confirms the presence of myogenic cells with satellite cell origin. **110**

Figure 5.6 Figure depicts the proliferating nature of isolated myoblasts on 2% gelatin surface. Time lapse images of the cell division process acquired by cultured live cell imaging after 6 days of culture on standard tissue culture plates (Scale bar: 25 µm). **111**

Figure 5.7 Figure depicts a time lapse sequence of images showing myoblast-premyotube fusion process acquired by live cell imaging on 9 DIV of culture on a standard tissue culture plate (Scale bar: 50 µm). **111**

Figure 5.8 Figure depicts fluorescence images of myoblast cells stained for actin filaments (red) and nuclei (blue) presenting a group of aligned myoblasts before fusion (Scale bar: 50 µm); right side panel image shows closely apposed myoblasts with sarcomeric patterned actin filaments in (red) and nuclei (blue) (Scale bar: 6 µm). **113**

Figure 5.9 Figure depicts the merged fluorescence images of multinuclear differentiated primary skeletal muscle myotubes cultured on 2% **113**

gelatin surface under DAPI (nucleus) and TRITC (actin filaments) channels.

Figure 5.10 Figure depicts the calcium dynamics occurring within a (A) myoblast and (B) myotube under standard culture conditions (Scale: 25 µm). **116**

Figure 5.11 Figure depicts the results of kymograph-based approach for representing dynamics of spontaneous myotube twitching (A) amplitude vs time results (B) Principal twitch frequency determination after application of fast Fourier transform. **117**

Figure 6.1 Analysis of myotube alignment using two dimensional fast Fourier transform. The step-by-step process of analysing the alignment of myotubes using fluorescent images in ImageJ (Scale bar: 100 µm). **127**

Figure 6.2 Figure depicts merged fluorescence image of isolated myoblasts cultured on 2% gelatin surface stained by using anti PAX7 antibody (green-PAX7 transcription factor) /anti myogenin antibody (green-myogenin myogenic regulatory factor), DAPI (nucleus-blue) respectively. This confirms the presence of proliferating myoblasts at 6 DIV. **129**

Figure 6.3 Schematic of Microchannel flowed plasma (µCFP) based micropatterning using OTS (hydrophobic) and APTES (hydrophilic) on glass substrates. (i) Plasma treatment of glass substrate, (ii) OTS coating, (iii) a monolayer of OTS coated on the glass surface, (iv) etching of OTS-modified surface using plasma treatment. (v) etched glass surface (vi) APTES coating (vii) glass surface with alternate OTS (hydrophobic) and APTES (hydrophilic) regions. Analysis of myotube alignment using two dimensional fast Fourier transform (A) Step-by-step process of **130**

analysing the alignment of myotubes using fluorescent images in ImageJ (Scale bar: 100 µm).

Figure 6.4 Micropatterning of the isolated cells on varying widths and orientation order parameter based alignment analysis (A) Bright-field images of cells cultured on 20 µm width revealing their aligned morphology at DIV 3, DIV 6 and DIV 9 (Scale bar: 50 µm); a graph showing corresponding orientation order parameter values. (B) Bright-field images of cells cultured on 200 µm width revealing their aligned morphology at DIV 3 and DIV 6 and DIV 9 (Scale bar: 50 µm); a graph showing corresponding orientation order parameter values. **132**

Figure 6.5 Micropatterning of the isolated cells on 1000 µm width and its orientation order parameter-based alignment (A) Bright-field images of cells revealing the various stages of alignment on a micropatterned glass substrate having a 1000 µm width over a period of 14 days (Scale bar: 100 µm); (B) A graph showing corresponding orientation order parameter values. A significant difference was observed between OOP values for 14 DIV and 3 DIV at 0.05 level of significance. **133**

Figure 6.6 Morphology based cell population dynamics of isolated myoblasts on micropatterned surfaces: (A) 1000 µm micropatterns over a period of 12 days, (B) 200 µm micropatterns over a period of 9 days and (C) 20 µm micropatterns over a period of 9 days Significance levels are presented as ***p<0.001, **p<0.01 and *p<0.05. **134**

Figure 6.7 Characterization of myoblast differentiation on standard and micropatterned substrates. Fluorescent images of cells stained with anti-MHC (MHC, green) antibody and DAPI (nuclei, blue) of (A) **138**

standard Petri plate and (B) micropatterned (1000 µm) cultures after 14 days of in vitro culture (Scale bar: 50 µm).

Figure 6.8 (A) Schematic of the stages of nuclear positioning present within a developing muscle cell. (B) Fluorescent image of myotubes stained with myosin heavy chain antibody (MHC, green) and DAPI (nuclei, blue) after 14 days in vitro culture, the insets (a) centration, (b) alignment and (c) spreading represent three different stages of nuclear positioning (Scale bar: 50 µm). (C) Results of the proposed fusion index method based on nuclear positioning for quantifying differentiation in standard Petri plate and micropatterned (1000 µm) cultures after 14 DIV. (D) Results of fusion index for quantifying differentiation in various culture types including standard, 1000 µm and 200 µm. (E) Maturation index for quantifying differentiation after 14 days in culture. No significant differences were observed amongst the various fusion and maturation indices between standard and micropatterned culture conditions. **139**

Figure 6.9 Morphometric characterisation of the cells undergoing myogenesis in standard and micropatterned (1000 µm) culture conditions (A) Average myotube diameter after 14 days in culture, *p<0.05 level of significance. (B) The variation in the number of nuclei with respect to their myotube diameters in standard culture conditions after 14 days. (C) Variation in the number of nuclei with respect to myotube diameters in micropatterned culture conditions after 14 days. (D) The effective area of the nuclei involved in myotubes and unfused cells of the cultures after 14 DIV. **144**

Figure 6.10 Functional characterisation of myotubes in standard and micropatterned (1000 µm) culture conditions (A) Analysis of twitch frequency for a myotube in standard culture (i) plot to demonstrate **146**

the variation in amplitude with respect to time (ii) plot showing the power of twitch signals with regard to frequency (iii) Time series images for myotube twitching under standard conditions showing line region of interest marked within the first image (Scale: 10 µm). (B) Analysis of twitch frequency for a myotube in micropatterned culture (i) plot to demonstrate the variation in amplitude with respect to time (ii) plot showing the power of twitch signals with regard to frequency (iii) Time series images for myotube twitching under micropatterned conditions showing line region of interest marked within the first image (Scale: 10 µm).

Figure 6.11 Analysis of myotube alignment using two dimensional fast Fourier transform (A) Analysis of myotube alignment for fluorescence images stained with myosin heavy chain (green) obtained from standard culture (Scale bar: 100 µm). (B) Analysis of myotube alignment for fluorescence images stained with myosin heavy chain (green) obtained from micropatterned culture (Scale bar: 100 µm). **149**

Figure 6.12 Analysis of actin cytoskeleton alignment using two dimensional fast Fourier transform (A) Analysis of actin alignment for fluorescence images stained with rhodamine phalloidin (red) in relation to myotubes in Figure 6.11 (A) obtained from standard culture (Scale bar: 100 µm). (E) Analysis of actin alignment for fluorescence images stained with rhodamine phalloidin (red) in relation to myotubes in Figure 6.11 (B) obtained from micropatterned culture (Scale bar: 100 µm). **151**