

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Table Caption</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Table 3.1	Training and testing conditions considered on first test system	61
Table 3.2	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by K-NN technique based scheme	65
Table 3.3	Variation of obtained accuracy percentage with respect to change in 'K' values	66
Table 3.4	Confusion matrix for the KNN based scheme (First test system)	66
Table 3.5	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by SVM technique based scheme	67
Table 3.6	Confusion matrix for the SVM based scheme (First test system)	68
Table 3.7	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by PNN technique based scheme	69
Table 3.8	Time of response of different non-parametric ML scheme	74
Table 3.9	Comparative study of average classification accuracy achieved by proposed scheme with previously reported approaches	74
Table 3.10	Training and testing conditions considered on second test system	75
Table 3.11	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by K-NN technique based scheme	78
Table 3.12	Confusion matrix for KNN based scheme (second test system)	79
Table 3.13	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by SVM technique based scheme	80
Table 3.14	Confusion matrix for SVM based scheme (Second test system)	80
Table 3.15	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by PNN technique based scheme	81

Table 3.16	Training and testing conditions for assessing evolving fault events	84
Table 3.17	Identification of evolving fault events using KNN classifier based scheme	86
Table 3.18	Identification of evolving fault events using SVM classifier based scheme	86
Table 3.19	Evolving fault events classification percentage obtained by PNN based scheme	87
Table 3.20	Performance of proposed classifier models during saturation at three different burden impedances	91
Table 4.1	Training and testing condition considered on first test system	98
Table 4.2	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by K-NN technique based scheme	104
Table 4.3	Confusion matrix for the KNN based scheme (First test system)	105
Table 4.4	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by SVM technique based scheme	106
Table 4.5	Confusion matrix for the SVM based scheme (First test system)	106
Table 4.6	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by PNN technique based scheme	107
Table 4.7	Time of response of different non-parametric ML scheme	109
Table 4.8	Comparative study of average classification accuracy achieved by proposed scheme with previously reported approaches	109
Table 4.9	Training and testing conditions considered on second test system	111
Table 4.10	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by K-NN technique based scheme	116
Table 4.11	Confusion matrix for KNN based scheme (second test system)	116
Table 4.12	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by SVM	117

	technique based scheme	
Table 4.13	Confusion matrix for SVM based scheme (Second test system)	118
Table 4.14	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by PNN technique based scheme	118
Table 4.15	Training and testing conditions for assessing evolving fault events	121
Table 4.16	Identification of evolving fault events using KNN classifier based scheme	127
Table 4.17	Identification of evolving fault events using SVM classifier based scheme	127
Table 4.18	Evolving fault events classification percentage obtained by PNN based scheme	128
Table 5.1	Training and testing conditions considered on first test system	140
Table 5.2	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by ensemble learning (bagging) technique based scheme	144
Table 5.3	Confusion matrix for the ensemble learning based scheme (First test system)	145
Table 5.4	Time of response of ensemble learning based scheme	146
Table 5.5	Comparative analysis of average classification accuracy achieved by proposed scheme with previously reported approaches	146
Table 5.6	Training and testing conditions considered on second test system	147
Table 5.7	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by bagging technique based scheme	150
Table 5.8	Confusion matrix for ensemble learning based scheme (second test system)	151
Table 5.9	Training and testing conditions used on third test system	152
Table 5.10	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by ensemble learning based scheme	156
Table 5.11	Performance of proposed classifier models during	159

	saturation at three different burden impedances	
Table 5.12	Identification of CCF events using ensemble classifier models	162
Table 5.13	Identification of evolving fault events using bagging classifier model	164
Table 5.14	Training and testing conditions considered on first test system	177
Table 5.15	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by DNN based scheme	178
Table 5.16	Confusion matrix for the DNN based scheme (First test system)	180
Table 5.17	Time of response of DNN based events classification scheme	181
Table 5.18	Comparative analysis of average classification accuracy achieved by proposed DNN based scheme with previously reported approaches	181
Table 5.19	Faults classification accuracy percentage obtained by DNN based scheme	182
Table 5.20	Confusion matrix for DNN based scheme (second test system)	182
Table 5.21	Acquired accuracy percentage obtained by DNN technique based scheme	183
Table 5.22	Identification of CCF events in the network using DNN model based scheme	184
Table 5.23	Identification of evolving fault events using DNN model based scheme	185
Table 6.1	Fault events distance estimation using FFNN based distance estimator model	200
Table 6.2	Fault events distance estimation using LNN based distance estimator model	201
Table 6.3	Fault events distance estimation using GRNN based distance estimator model	202
Table 6.4	Fault events distance estimation using CFNN model on	205

	different fault inception angles at 35 % of line compensation	
Table 6.5	Fault events distance estimation using CFNN model on different fault inception angles at 45 % of line compensation	208
Table 6.6	Fault events distance estimation using CFNN based distance estimator model on second test system	214
Table 6.7	Fault events distance estimation using CFNN based distance estimator model on third test system	220
Table 6.8	Distance estimation of evolving faults using CFNN based distance estimator model (second test system)	224
Table 6.9	Distance estimation of evolving faults using CFNN based distance estimator model (third test system)	225
Table 6.10	Comparision of distance estimation error for locating EFE in the network	227