List of Figures

Fig 1.1	Flow diagram of carpet manufacturing process and photo of a carpet industry effluent.	1
Fig 1.2	Appearance and structure of Direct Blue 199 dye.	2
Fig 2.1	Crystal structures of TiO_2 rutile (tetragonal), brookite (orthorhombic) and anatase (tetragonal) polymorphs.	15
Fig 3.1	Schematic diagram of UV-photochemical reactor.	32
Fig 3.2	Open Pan Reactor (OPR).	33
Fig 3.3	Calibration curve for the dye (Direct Blue 199) determination.	38
Fig 3.4	Synthesis process of undoped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	39
Fig 3.5	Synthesis process of Fe doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	41
Fig 3.6	Synthesis process of I doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	43
Fig 3.7	Regeneration process of Fe doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	45
Fig 3.8	Adsorption study of dye on the synthesized photocatalysts.	51
Fig 3.9	Self-degradation study of the dye in open sunlight.	52
Fig 3.10	Self-degradation study of the dye in UV-PCR.	53
Fig 3.11	Kinetic study of dye degradation with synthesized photocatalysts.	54
Fig 4.1	FTIR analysis of collected wastewater.	59
Fig 4.2	XRD pattern of undoped and Fe doped (1-10%) TiO_2 photocatalyst.	60
Fig 4.3	Intensity variation of the peak (101) and shifting of angle with doping.	61
Fig 4.4	Effect of Fe concentration on crystallite size.	62
Fig 4.5	UV-vis diffuse spectra undoped and (1-10% Fe doped TiO_2).	64
Fig 4.6	Plots of $[F(R_\alpha)h\upsilon]^{1/2}$ vs. photon energy.	65
Fig 4.7	Variation of band gap energy with Fe concentration.	66
Fig 4.8	FTIR spectra of undoped and Fe doped (1-10%) TiO_2 photocatalysts.	67

Fig 4.9	XPS survey spectrum and resolution scan over (a) Ti 2p (b) O 1s (c) and Fe 2p (d) of 4% Fe doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	70-71
Fig 4.10	XPS survey spectrum and resolution scan over (a) Ti 2p (b) O 1s (c) and Fe 2p (d) of 5% Fe doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	72-73
Fig 4.11	TEM image of undoped TiO_2 photocatalyst.	74
Fig 4.12	SAED image of undoped TiO_2 photocatalyst.	75
Fig 4.13	Particle size distribution of undoped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	75
Fig 4.14	TEM image of $Ti_{0.96}Fe_{0.04}O_2$ photocatalyst.	76
Fig 4.15	SAED image of $Ti_{0.96}Fe_{0.04}O_2$ photocatalyst.	76
Fig 4.16	Particle size distribution of $Ti_{0.96}Fe_{0.04}O_2$ photocatalysts.	77
Fig 4.17	EDX analysis of undoped and (1-10%) Fe doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	80-82
Fig 4.18	Pictorial representation of dye degradation mechanism by synthesized Fe doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	85
Fig 4.19	FTIR analysis of photodegraded simulated dye solution.	86
Fig 4.20	Kinetic study of dye degradation for system 16.	88
Fig 4.21	Performance of regenerated $Ti_{0.96}Fe_{0.04}O_2$ photocatalyst for photodegradation of wastewater (Direct Blue 199) in photocatalyst reactor with quartz tube.	90
Fig 4.22	XRD spectra of regenerated $Ti_{0.96}Fe_{0.04}O_2$ photocatalysts.	90
Fig 4.23	Digital Coloured pictures show the photodegradation of industrial wastewater in the photochemical reactor in quartz tube using (a) fresh $Ti_{0.96}Fe_{0.04}O_2$ photocatalyst (b) regenerated $Ti_{0.96}Fe_{0.04}O_2$ photocatalysts.	91
Fig 4.24	Comparison for best photocatalyst among synthesized undoped TiO_2, $Ti_{0.96}Fe_{0.04}O_2$ and P-25 for photodegradation of industrial wastewater.	92
Fig 4.25	XRD spectra of undoped and 1-10% Fe doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	94
Fig 4.26	Characteristics peak (101) of anatase of TiO_2.	95
Fig 4.27	Effect of I concentration on crystallite size.	96
Fig 4.28	UV-vis diffuse spectra of undoped and 1-10% I doped TiO_2.	97
Fig 4.29	Plots of $[F(R_\alpha)h\upsilon]^{1/2}$ vs. photon energy.	98
Fig 4.30	Variation of band gap energy with I concentration.	99

Fig 4.31	FTIR spectra of undoped and I doped (0-10%) TiO_2 photocatalysts.	100
Fig 4.32	XPS survey spectrum and resolution scan over (a) Ti 2p (b) O1s (c) and I 3d (d) of 3% I doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	102-103
Fig 4.33	XPS survey spectrum and resolution scan over (a) Ti 2p (b) O1s (c) and I 3d (d) of 4% I doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	104-105
Fig 4.34	TEM image of $Ti_{0.97}I_{0.03}O_2$ photocatalyst.	107
Fig 4.35	SAED image of $Ti_{0.97}I_{0.03}O_2$ photocatalyst.	108
Fig 4.36	Particle size distribution of $Ti_{0.97}I_{0.03}O_2$ photocatalyst.	108
Fig 4.37	EDX analysis of (1-10%) I doped TiO_2 photocatalyst.	111-113
Fig 4.38	Pictorial representation of dye degradation mechanism by synthesized I doped TiO_2 photocatalysts.	114
Fig. 4.39	FTIR analysis of treated industrial wastewater.	116
Fig 4.40	Kinetic study of dye degradation for system 46.	117
Fig 4.41	Performance of regenerated $Ti_{0.96}I_{0.03}O_2$ photocatalyst for photodegradation of wastewater.	119
Fig 4.42	XRD spectrum of the regenerated $Ti_{0.97}I_{0.03}O_2$ photocatalyst.	120
Fig 4.43	Digital Coloured pictures show the photodegradation of wastewater (Direct Blue 199) in the photochemical reactor in quartz tube using regenerated $Ti_{0.97}I_{0.03}O_2$ photocatalyst.	121
Fig 4.44	Comparison for best photocatalyst among synthesized undoped TiO_2, $Ti_{0.97}I_{0.03}O_2$ and P-25 for photodegradation of wastewater (Direct Blue 199).	122