LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	A basic block diagram of a radar system.	**5**
Figure 1.2:	Different types of radar.	**7**
Figure 1.3:	Pulsed radar waveform.	**9**
Figure 1.4:	SFCW waveform (a) Frequency versus Time plot; (b) Amplitude versus Time plot.	**11**
Figure 1.5:	Human target detection study.	**13**
Figure 2.1:	(a) Simplified block diagram of stepped frequency radar; (b) Simplified block diagram of a two-port VNA connected to a DUT.	**30**
Figure 2.2:	Schematic diagram of the experimental setup for target localization within the anechoic chamber.	**35**
Figure 2.3:	Region of sensing for omnidirectional antenna.	**36**
Figure 2.4:	Common region of sensing (CROS).	**38**
Figure 2.5:	Determination of target localization for (a) Acute angle case; (b) Obtuse angle case.	**39**
Figure 2.6:	Flowchart of the processing methodology involved in the target localization.	**40**
Figure 2.7:	Algorithm for decision making for a subregion within the CROS.	**41**
Figure 2.8:	Target localization of the object using two antenna systems for (a) Free space and; (b) Through the wall environments.	**42**
Figure 2.9:	Generated range profiles for node position (3, 2) on the rectangular grid with and without target from (a) Antenna A and; (b) Antenna B.	**43**
Figure 2.10:	Actual target range generated for node position (3, 2) on the rectangular grid by subtraction of reference for (a) Antenna A and; (b) Antenna B.	**43**
Figure 2.11:	Detected location of the target using two antenna based system.	**44**
Figure 2.12:	(a) Determination of positions of the target without wall correction; (b) Correction involved to eliminate the positional error for a 1.1 cm thick wall.	**46**
Figure 2.13:	(a) Determination of positions of the target with wall correction; (b) Correction involved to eliminate the positional error for a 1.1 cm thick wall.	**47**
Figure 2.14:	(a) Determination of positions of the target without wall correction; (b) Correction involved to eliminate the positional error for a 2.2 cm thick wall.	**48**
Figure 2.15:	(a) Determination of positions of the target with wall correction; (b) Correction involved to eliminate the positional error for a 2.2 cm thick wall.	**48**

Figure 3.1:	Time-frequency plot of the SFCW system for multiple consecutive sweeps.	**55**
Figure 3.2:	Command and data flow chart.	**57**
Figure 3.3:	Data arrangement and vital sign frequency extraction process.	**59**
Figure 3.4:	Radar return power spectral density (PSD).	**61**
Figure 3.5:	(a) Frequency response of an MTI filter; (b) Output from an MTI filter.	**62**
Figure 3.6:	(a) Single delay line canceler; (b) Double delay line canceler and; (c) Delay line canceler with feedback.	**63**
Figure 3.7:	Experimental setup schematic placed within the anechoic chamber along with the human subject.	**65**
Figure 3.8:	(a) Range profile generated from a randomly selected single sweep data; (b) Stacked data image by arranging the 400 range profiles.	**66**
Figure 3.9:	(a) Range profile generated from a single sweep data after clutter reduction; (b) Stacked data image by arranging the 400 range profiles after clutter reduction.	**67**
Figure 3.10:	Detected human target location and heartbeat frequency in the range- Doppler (RD) plane.	**69**
Figure 4.1:	Micro-Doppler classification process.	**75**
Figure 4.2:	Adopted methodology.	**77**
Figure 4.3:	(a) Experimental setup synthesized for micro- Doppler experiments; (b) Five different human movement classes under consideration of the experiment.	**78**
Figure 4.4:	(a) Support vector machine hyper plane; (b) One versus all classification.	**84**
Figure 4.5:	Generated spectrograms for one set of data from all the five experimental classes.	**87**
Figure 4.6:	Background-clipped spectrogram velocity-time representation for all the human movements.	**88**
Figure 4.7:	(a) Variation of feature 1 (entropy) with collected data; (b) Variation of feature 2 (mean) and feature 3 (variance) with collected data; (c) Variation of feature 4 (skewness) and feature 5 (kurtosis) with collected data.	**90**
Figure 4.8:	(a) Classification accuracy of the proposed model with individual features; (b) Training model validation accuracy with increase training features.	**92**
Figure 5.1:	(a) Network layer details; (b) Adopted methodology.	**98**
Figure 5.2:	Used fully connected DNN regression model.	**100**
Figure 5.3:	Two different types of movers present in the single channel.	**107**
Figure 5.4:	The decomposition of mix micro-Doppler data of a rotating table fan (TF) and forward moving human (FH) (a) Spectrogram of mixed signal in single channel; (b) Separated spectrogram of	**108**

rotating table fan from the mixed micro-Doppler information; (c) Separated spectrogram of forward moving human target.

Figure 5.5: Time domain target responses actual and estimated after separation algorithm (a) Forward moving human; (b) Table fan target. **109**

Figure 5.6: Field test spectrogram of (a) Time domain response when TF and FH two target classes were present; (b) Separated TF class; (c) Separated FH class targets. **110**

Figure 5.7: Three different types of movers present in the single channel. **111**

Figure 5.8: (a) The spectrogram of the mixed three targets (FH, BH and TF) response; (b) Extracted desired target FH response; (c) Undesired or noise remaining response. **113**