

LIST OF FIGURES

S.NO	Figure	Page No.
3.1	TLC separation of phenolics compounds in different seed extracts such as ethanol (a) acetone (b) methanol (c) and (d) chloroform seed extract (d) standard (s) gallic acid in the chloroform, ethyl acetate, and formic acid as mobile phase in the ratio of 5:4:1	30
3.2	FTIR analysis of <i>Vicia faba</i> seed extract in different solvents (acetone, methanol, aqueous) with compare to gallic acid	31
3.3	Negative ESI associated HPLC chromatogram represents four major peaks at RT 1.6959 minute (gallic acid), 2.632 minute ((epigallocatechin(ellagic acid)), 4.872 minutes (catechin), 5.696-minute epigallocatechin gallate) and 6.677 minutes (epicatechin monogallate) with acetonic seed extract of <i>Vicia faba</i> beans	33
3.4	Negative ion electrospray tandem mass spectra represents polyphenols (a) gallic acid ($m/z[M-H]=169.0124, C_7H_6O_5$) (b) epigallocatechin ($m/z[M-H]=305.0644, C_{15}H_{14}O_7$), (c) catechin ($m/z[M-H]=289.0656, C_{15}H_{14}O_6$) (d) epigallocatechin gallate ($m/z[M-H]=457.0578, C_{22}H_{18}O_{11}$) (e) epigallocatechin monogallate ($m/z[M-H]=441.081, C_{22}H_{18}O_{10}$) in acetonic extract of seed	36
3.5	Molecular structure predicted by HR-LCMS (a) gallic acid (b) epigallocatechin (ellagic acid) (c) catechin (d) epigallocatechin gallate (e) epigallocatechin monogallate in an acetonic fraction of seed extract	34
3.6	HPLC analysis of acetone seed extract fraction and polyphenols standard	37

3.7	Mass spectra of fraction 5 (acetone seed extract) represent fragmentation pattern of gallic-acid (169.1 → 125.1) in negative ESI mode	38
3.8	Mass spectra of fraction 8 as molecular weight represents fragmentation pattern of catechin (288.3 → 269.3 → 246.3 → 241.1 → 213.3 → 203 187.2 → 115.2) in negative ESI mode.	39
3.9	Structure of (a) gallic acid (b) catechin	40
4.1	Showing minimized energy structure (a) porcine alpha- amylase (b) acarbose (c) gallic acid (d) catechin (e) epicatechin (f) ellagic acid	44
4.2	The inhibitory potency of alpha-glucosidase against different seed extracts at various concentrations	46
4.3	Mode of inhibition of alpha-amylase by acetone seed extract (Lineweaver-Burk plot).	47
4.4	Lig plot showing hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interaction in different ligands (a) acarbose (b) epicatechin (c) gallic acid (d) ellagic acid (e) catechin with porcine alpha-amylase	49
4.5	Showing autodock tool analysis of different types of interaction in different ligands (a) catechin (b) epicatechin (c) acarbose (d) ellagic acid (e) gallic acid with porcine alpha-amylase.	50
4.6	Demonstration of Root mean square deviation (RMSD) of protein backbone atoms for Cat:α-amylase complex with respect to the initial structure	52
4.7	Cluster distribution of complex Cat:α-amylase at cut-off 0.15 nm. The life span of the largest cluster was noted from ~2 ns to ~14 ns	53
4.8	Initial (T = 0 ns) of Cat:alpha-amylase complex. The magnifying views of	54

	confirmations are provided to highlight the binding residues and position of Catechin during simulation.	
4.9	Initial (T =20 ns) structure of Cat:alpha-amylase complex represents the catalytic and another crucial residue	54
4.10	Final structure (T = 20 ns) of Cat:alpha-amylase complex. The magnifying views of confirmations are provided to highlight the binding residues and position of Catechin during simulation	55
4.11	last structure (T = 20 ns) of Cat:alpha-amylase complex represents catalytic and other crucial amino acid residue	56
5.1	Effect of faba bean (<i>Vicia faba</i> L.) seed extract on alpha-glucosidase activity at a temperature (30°C) and pH 6.3 (ANOVA at p<0.05 *,** (statistically significant value).	63
5.2	Mode of inhibition of α -glucosidase by ethanol seed extract of <i>Vicia faba</i> (Lineweaver–Burk plot).	64
5.3	Visualization of catalytic amino acid residues, Asp326, Glu233, Asp 198 Arg197, Asn324 and Tyr 63 of alpha-glucosidase with (a) gallic acid (b) ellagic acid (c) catechin (d) epicatechin. Representations were visualized by Autodock tool. Circles show crucial residues interacted with respective compound	65
5.4	Lig plot analysis shows hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interaction of alpha-glucosidase with (a) gallic acid (b) ellagic acid (c) catechin (d) epicatechin (e) acarbose	66

5.5	Demonstration of Root mean square deviation (RMSD) of protein backbone atoms for Apo (Black; 25ns), Cat:alpha-glucosidase (Red) and Gal: alpha-glucosidase complex (Green) with respect to the initial structure and Gal: alpha-glucosidase complex (Green) with respect to the initial structure. Value changes are initiated at the same time pattern, however, both complexes show different fluctuation pattern during simulation. Interestingly, RMSD values of both complexes occur in between 0.15 nm to 0.35 nm throughout the simulation and reach a plateau at the end.	68
5.6	Superimposition of initial (T = 0 ns) and last structure (T = 50 ns) of Cat:alpha-glucosidase complex. Color code blue in shadow and orange denotes the initial and last structure respectively. The magnifying views of both confirmations are provided to highlight the binding residues and position of Catechin during simulation.	70
5.7	Superimposition of initial (T = 0 ns) and last structure (T = 50 ns) of Gal:alpha-glucosidase complex. Color code blue in shadow and orange denotes the initial and last structure respectively. The magnifying views of both confirmations are provided to highlight the binding residues and position of gallic acid during simulation	71
6.1	Total phenolic content of <i>Vicia faba</i> seed extracts (mg/dry weight) in a different solvent (acetone, methanol, ethanol, water, chloroform)	81
6.2	Total flavonoid content of <i>Vicia faba</i> seed extracts (mg/dry weight) in a different solvent (acetone, methanol, ethanol, chloroform, water)	82
6.3	Free radical scavenging activity in terms of percentage inhibition of acetone and methanol extract extracts with respect to standard (ascorbic acid)	83
6.4	The absorbance of various concentrations ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) of standard (ascorbic acid)	84

	and seed extracts in ferric reducing power	
6.5	Lineweaver–Burk plot showing mixed inhibition of acetone extract with respect to control	85
6.6	Lig plot showing the interaction between (a) allopurinol and xanthine oxidase, (b) catechin and xanthine oxidase (c) epicatechin and xanthine oxidase (d) ellagic acid and xanthine oxidase (e) gallic acid and xanthine oxidase	87
6.7	Backbone atom Root mean square deviation calculations (RMSDs) of catechin and gallic-acid compound (red and blue respectively) are approximated. Further, the RMSDs of each complex system is also depicted in the subplot. Green, red and blue color code is assigned for apo (10-ns), Cat: XO and Gal: XO respectively.	89
6.8	The size distribution of the clusters of the both complex, simulated for 20 ns. The temporal distribution is presented for each complex for backbone atoms, using the same cut-off value (0.15 nm). The arrow marks the number of clusters that encompass 95% of all structures. Cartoon visualization of representative structure (upper panel: cat: XO and lower: gal: XO), magnifying view depicts interacting residues within catechin (lime) and gallic-acid (yellow) surrounded by a surface of the electrostatic potential, depicting as red (negative potential) and blue (positive potential). Cyan line shows hydrogen bonding	90
7.1	The glucose adsorption capacity of acetone extract at different glucose concentration(mM), (n=3,mean±S.D)	101
7.2	Figure 7.2. Glucose uptake by yeast cells by different seed extracts(0.1 (n=3,mean±S.D)	102

- 7.3 Figure 7.3. MTT assay of different extract (0.1mg/ml) with H₂O₂ as compared to control(n=3,mean±S.D, ANOVA at p<0.05,*** Control vs. treated (statistically extremely significant value). 103
- 7.4 SRB assay of different extract (0.1mg/ml) with H₂O₂ as compared to control (n=3, mean±S.D, ANOVA at p<0.05),*** Control vs. treated (statistically extremely significant value) 103
- 7.5 Morphological analysis of yeast cells by atomic force microscopy at different concentration of hydrogen peroxide (a) 0% H₂O₂ (b) extract (c) 0.5 % H₂O₂ (d) 1 % H₂O₂ (e) 2 % H₂O₂ (f) 3 % H₂O₂ (g) 1 % H₂O₂+extract (h) 2 % H₂O₂+extract (i) 3% H₂O₂+ extract (n=3,mean±S.D). 106
- 7.6 ROS measurement by DCF-DA (2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate) assay with respect to control and extract (0.1 mg/ml),*** Control vs. H₂O₂ (extremely significant value) , ** Control vs. H₂O₂ +extract and **Extract vs. H₂O₂ (significant value). 107
- 7.7 (a) Treated cell showing band fragmentation and smear formation with respect to control (b) band intensity quantification (n=3,mean±S.D ,One way ANOVA at P<0.05 *Control vs. T1 (Extract +1 % H₂O₂) and *Control vs. T2 (Extract +2%H₂O₂) are significant values , ***Control vs. T3 (H₂O₂) is extremely significant value. 108
- 7.8 PI staining (Confocal microscopy) showing oxidative stress effect of seed extract and H₂O₂ on yeast cell population (a) Control (b) extract (c) H₂O₂ (d) H₂O₂ +extract 110
- 7.9 DAPI(Confocal microscopy) showing oxidative stress effect of seed extract 111

- and H₂O₂ on yeast cell nuclei. (a) Control (b) extract (c) H₂O₂ (d) H₂O₂ +extract
- 7.10 Apoptotic cell population study under a combination of different dye (Confocal microscopy) (a) Propidium iodide staining (B) DAPI staining (b) DCF staining (c) Merged image of PI, DAPI, and DCF. 111
- 7.11 (a) Control (b) extract (C) H₂O₂ (d) extract+H₂O₂ left side in the image represent lives cell and right side dead cells (Flow cytometry) 113
- 7.12 Measurement of ROS level by confocal microscopy (a) control (b)) H₂O₂ (C) extract (d) extract+H₂O₂ (right side of image relative fluorescence intensity measured by Image J software) 114
- 7.13 Measurement of glucose uptake by confocal microscopy in 3T3-L1. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates and treated with 100 nM insulin and 10 μM 2-NBDG in the presence (0.1mg/ml) or absence of sample (0.1 (A) 2-NBDG (B) Aqueous seed extract (C) acetone seed extract (d) methanol seed extract (right side of image relative fluorescence intensity measured by Image J software). 115
- 7.14 Evaluation of glucose uptake by flow cytometry in 3T3-L1. Cells were incubated within the presence or absence of samples (50 μM) for 1 hrs. After incubation, cells were washed with PBS and 2-NBDG uptake was calculated by a flow cytometer. (A) Control, (B) acetone extract (C) methanol seed extract. 116
- 8.1 Phase contrast microscopy observation (a) circle represents lipid droplet after differentiation assay (b) spherical red color shows the presence of oil or lipid droplet after 24 hrs 124

- 8.2 MTT assay represents the effect of different seed extract (0.1mg/ml) on cell viability with respect to control 125
- 8.3 (a) Control (b) extract (C) H₂O₂ (d) extract+H₂O₂ left side in the image represent lives cell and right side dead cells (Flow cytometry) 126
- 8.4 Measurement of ROS level by confocal microscopy (a) control (b) H₂O₂ (C) extract (d) extract+H₂O₂ (right side of image relative fluorescence intensity measured by Image J software) 127
- 8.5 DAPI staining (Confocal microscopy) showing oxidative stress effect of seed extract and H₂O₂ on 3T3-L1 cells nuclei. (a) Control (b) extract (c) H₂O₂ (d) H₂O₂ +extract 129
- 8.6 Propidium iodide staining (Confocal microscopy) showing oxidative stress effect of seed extract and H₂O₂ on 3T3-L1 cell population (a) Control (b) extract (c) H₂O₂ (d) H₂O₂ +extract 129
- 8.7 Apoptotic cell population study under a combination of different dye (Confocal microscopy) (a) Propidium iodide staining (B) DAPI staining (b) DCF staining (c) Merged image of PI, DAPI, and DCF 130
- 8.8 Apoptotic cell population study under a combination of different dye (Confocal microscopy) (a) Propidium iodide staining (b) DCF staining (c) Merged image of PI and DCF. 130
- 8.9 Atomic force microscopy shows cell roughness((nm) and mean cell volume (μm^3) Control (b) extract (0.1mg/ml) (C) H₂O₂ (d) extract+H₂O₂ (circle represents cavity) 132
- 8.10 SEM Showing surface morphology of 3T3-L1 cell (oxidative stress study) (a) Control (b) Extract (c) H₂O₂ (d) H₂O₂ +extract 134

- 8.11 Measurement of glucose uptake by confocal microscopy in 3T3-L1. Cells 135
were seeded in 6-well plates and treated with 100 nM insulin and 10 μ M 2-
NBDG in the presence (0.1mg/ml) or absence. (A) 2-NBDG (B) aqueous seed
extract (C) acetone seed extract (d) methanol seed extract (right side of image
relative fluorescence intensity measured by Image J software).
- 8.12 Evaluation of glucose uptake by flow cytometry in 3T3-L1. Cells were seeded 136
in 6-well plates and treated with 100 nM insulin and 10 μ M 2-NBDG in the
presence or absence of samples (50 μ M) for 24 h. After incubation, cells were
washed with PBS and 2-NBDG uptake was calculated by a flow cytometer
(A) Control, (B) aqueous seed extract (C) acetone seed extract