

---

# List of Figures

---

Figure 1.1: In 1987, LOT Polish Airlines Flight 5055 Il-62M crashed because of failed bearings in one engine, killing all 183 people on the plane .....	2
Figure 1.2: Offshore wind turbines .....	2
Figure 1.3: A rotary machine monitored using vibration signals analysis .....	8
Figure 1.4: Neural network model .....	13
Figure 1.5: Fault diagnosis techniques .....	20
Figure 2.1: Classification of data acquired for RUL prediction.....	40
Figure 3.1: Flow chart of Proposed Method .....	49
Figure 3.2: 2D CNN configuration.....	50
Figure 3.3: The convolution layers of the 1D CNN configuration.....	50
Figure 3.4: The experiment set-up .....	53
Figure 3.5: The impeller and bearing of centrifugal pump .....	58
Figure 3.6: The Epochs vs. Training accuracy of CNN.....	59
Figure 3.7: The Epochs vs. Training accuracy of ANN.....	59
Figure 3.8: CNN-2D performance after different amount of data enhancements. ....	60
Figure 3.9: ANN performance after different amount of data enhancements. ....	60
Figure 3.10: Precision-Recall curves for SVC and MLR for centrifugal pump.....	61
Figure 3.11: Precision-Recall curves for ANN and CNN-1D for centrifugal pump .....	62
Figure 3.12: Precision-Recall curves for CNN-2D for centrifugal pump .....	63
Figure 4.1: Flow chart of EMD .....	69
Figure 4.2: Flow chart of CMF .....	71

<b>Figure 4.3: First layer of a convolutional neural network with pooling .....</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>Figure 4.4: The experiment set-up .....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Figure 4.5: The compound fault signal .....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Figure 4.6: The two CMFs after merging IMFs.....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>Figure 4.7: The IMFs obtained by decomposition of signal .....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Figure 4.8: The faults observed at roller (1.0) and outer race (3.0) by the proposed method.....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>Figure 4.9: The faults observed at roller (1.0) and outer race (3.0) by the proposed method.....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>Figure 5.1; Supervised learning model .....</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Figure 5.2: Boxplot of RULs for test dataset 4 .....</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Figure 5.3: Histogram of RULs for test dataset 4 .....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Figure 5.4: True RUL vs. median RUL vs. linear model prediction of dataset-1 .....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Figure 5.5: True RUL vs. median RUL vs. linear model prediction for dataset- 4.....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Figure 5.6: Dataset-1 Plot of operating setting values .....</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>Figure 5.7: Dataset- 4 Plot of operating setting values .....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Figure 5.8: Plot of features for Dataset-1.....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Figure 5.9: MLP neural network output versus linear target output .....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Figure 5.10: MLP neural network output versus piece-wise linear target output .....</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Figure 5.11: Piece-wise linear RUL target function.....</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Figure 5.12: Feature importance with colsample=0.25 .....</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Figure 5.13: Feature importance with colsample=1 .....</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Figure 5.14: The Gradient Boosted Trees Prediction on training system for largest unit. ....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>Figure 5.15: The Gradient Boosted Trees Prediction on training system for meant unit. ....</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>Figure 5.16: Gradient Boosted Trees predictions on test systems .....</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>Figure 5.17: Stacking model diagram .....</b>	<b>116</b>