

## List of Tables

<b>Table no.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page no.</b>
<b>Table 2.1</b>	List of biofilm components and their % composition	<b>12</b>
<b>Table 5.1</b>	List chemicals utilized while development of SSD-SLNs	<b>46</b>
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Identified independent variables with their levels used in Box–Behnken experimental design and the predicted and experimental response obtained.	<b>48</b>
<b>Table 5.3</b>	Complete experiment batches generated by software with their response	<b>58</b>
<b>Table 5.4</b>	Statistically predicted and empirically obtained PS and %EE of optimized SSD-SLNs.	<b>58</b>
<b>Table 5.5</b>	MIC value of different formulations against <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and biofilm thickness treated with different formulations.	<b>66</b>
<b>Table 5.6</b>	The MBEC value of different formulation against the <i>P. aeruginosa</i> biofilm	<b>68</b>
<b>Table 5.7</b>	Mechanical properties of different gel formulations	<b>71</b>
<b>Table 5.8</b>	Percent wound area retraction of rats in different groups with or without treatment. Value represents the average (mean $\pm$ SD) of % wound area of all animal in individual group.	<b>72</b>
<b>Table 6.1</b>	List of the chemicals and reagents used during the study	<b>74</b>
<b>Table 6.2</b>	Quality attributes of different formulations in terms of Particle size, polydispersity index, zeta potential and entrapment efficiency	<b>89</b>
<b>Table 6.3</b>	MIC and MBEC of different formulations	<b>96</b>
<b>Table 6.4</b>	Minimum biofilm eradication concentration of the various formulations.	<b>99</b>
<b>Table 6.5</b>	Thickness and Biomass of biofilm treated with different formulation	<b>101</b>