

# List of Figures

---

Figure 1.1: Capsule length and components [5][6].....	2
Figure 1.2: Capsule endoscopy system [7] .....	2
Figure 2.1: Images of Various Abnormalities (a) Bleeding (b) Ulcer (c) Angioectasia.....	8
Figure 2.2: Hierarchical representation of the feature set.....	9
Figure 2.3: Sample CE image and HOG descriptor visualization .....	11
Figure 2.4: CE video summarised into multiple classes [18] .....	20
Figure 2.5: Successive redundant image generation [19] .....	21
Figure 2.6: Surface map (a) [23] and 3-D reconstruction of protrusion (b) [25].....	24
Figure 2.7: Images from left to right in each row shows the original image, intermediate segmentation stage and final segmented image [30] .....	26
Figure 2.8: Diagrammatic representation of entire process .....	28
Figure 2.9: Overview of a computer-aided abnormality detection system.....	30
Figure 3.1: Sample of noisy CE images from (a) to (f) .....	42
Figure 3.2: A framework for restoration and enhancement of capsule endoscopy images .....	44
Figure 3.3: Graphical analysis of the result of Entropy .....	52
Figure 3.4: Graphical analysis of the result of MSE.....	52
Figure 3.5: Graphical analysis of the result of PSNR.....	53
Figure 3.6: Graphical analysis of the result of SSIM.....	53
Figure 3.7: Graphical analysis of the result of MSSIM .....	54
Figure 3.8: Graphical analysis of the result of EPI.....	54
Figure 3.9: Graphical analysis of the performance of a CAD system .....	55
Figure 4.1: Schematic diagram of the entire proposed system .....	58
Figure 4.2: Stepwise visual results of algorithm 4.1 from (a) to (f) .....	63

Figure 4.3: Visual results of different CE image segmentation methods .....	66
Figure 4.4: Graphical representation of GCI results .....	66
Figure 4.5: Graphical representation of PRI and VoI results.....	67
Figure 4.6: A comparative performance analysis of CAD system in graphical form.....	70
Figure 5.1: Overview of proposed CAD system for ulcer detection in CE images.....	75
Figure 5.2: Input image and corresponding enhanced image .....	77
Figure 5.3: Performance comparison of feature selection techniques .....	82
Figure 5.4: Performance comparison of CAD systems .....	82
Figure 5.5: Illustration of misclassifications.....	83
Figure 6.1: The workflow of the (a) conventional machine learning system and (b) deep learning system .....	87
Figure 6.2: Overview of the proposed system .....	89
Figure 6.3 Workflow of deep learning-based system .....	93
Figure 6.4: Confusion matrix of the proposed system.....	94
Figure 6.5: Graphical representation of results.....	95