

List of Figures

FIGURES

		Page No.
Chapter-I	Introduction and Literature Review	1-31
Fig.1.1	A schematic image of metal ceramic crown	2
Fig.1.2	Dental restorations. (a) In-Ceram crown with standard crown design. (b). Lateral view of In-Ceram crown demonstrating underlying tooth structures. (c) Lateral view of ceramic crown on a titanium implant. d. Porcelain veneer resin bonded to tooth enamel	4
Fig. 1.3	Single leucite crystal (left) and crystal structure of tetragonal leucite (right); spheres- K^+ ions, tetrahedral- $[SiO_4]^{4-}$. $[AlO_4]^{5-}$	20
Fig. 1.4	The relationship among three polymorphs of the kalsilite	24
Chapter III	Experimental Techniques	35-62
Fig. 3.1	Flow chart of the preparation of leucite	36
Fig. 3.2	Flow chart for synthesis of LTF	38
Fig. 3.3	Flow chart for the preparation of kalsilite	39
Fig. 3.4	Flow chart for preparation of bioglass	41
Fig. 3.5	Overall flow chart	45
Fig. 3.6	Schematic diagram of X-ray diffractometer	46
Fig. 3.7	Image of pushrod dilatometer	48
Fig. 3.8	Working principle of SEM	49
Fig. 3.9	Image of Inspect FEI SEM	50
Fig. 3.10	Image of 3-point bend UTM	51
Fig. 3.11	A schematic representation of flame photometer	53
Fig. 3.12	Working principle of flame photometer	54

Fig. 3.13	Image of a flame photometer	56
Fig. 3.14	Image of FTIR	57
Chapter IV	Results and Discussion	
4.1	Mechanochemically Synthesized Leucite for Dental Veneering Glass Ceramics	63-80
Fig. 4.1	X-ray diffraction patterns of 6 h milled leucite samples heat treated at different temperatures	64
Fig. 4.2	X-ray diffraction patterns of leucite with 2 wt% of CaF ₂ heat treated at different temperatures	65
Fig. 4.3	Variation of crystallite size of the leucite samples with & without CaF ₂ with temperature	66
Fig. 4.4	CTE curves of the compositions, LTF, MCL ₁₀₀₀ , MCL ₁₁₀₀ , MCL-C ₁₀₀₀ and MCL-C ₁₁₀₀	68
Fig. 4.5	SEM micrographs of samples fired at 800 °C at different magnifications (A, B) MCL ₁₁₀₀ and (C, D) MCL-C ₁₁₀₀	69
Fig. 4.6	Flexural strength of MCL and MCL-C samples heated at different temperatures	71
Fig. 4.7	Weight loss of the MCL and MCL-C samples after immersion in 4% acetic acid and pineapple juice	73
Fig. 4.8	SEM micrograph of the leucite samples before immersion in acidic medium a) MCL _{20/80} ; b) MCL _{30/70} ; c) MCL-C _{20/80} and d) MCL-C _{30/70}	76
Fig. 4.9	SEM micrograph of the leucite samples after immersion in 4% acetic acid for 168 hours at 80°C, medium a) MCL _{20/80} ;b) MCL _{30/70} ; c) MCL-C _{20/80} and d) MCL-C _{30/70}	77
Fig. 4.10	SEM micrograph of the leucite samples after immersion in pineapple juice for 168 hours, a) MCL _{20/80} ; b) MCL _{30/70} ; c) MCL-C _{20/80} and d) MCL-C _{30/70}	78

4.2	Mechanochemically Synthesized Kalsilite: Implementation as Dental Porcelain with Low Temperature Frit	77-93
Fig. 4.11	XRD patterns of the kalsilite samples milled for 3h and heat treated at different temperatures	82
Fig. 4.12	XRD patterns of kalsilite with 2 wt% of MgF ₂ samples milled for 3h and heat treated at different temperatures	83
Fig. 4.13	XRD patterns of kalsilite samples milled for 6 h and heat treated at different temperatures	84
Fig. 4.14	XRD patterns of kalsilite with MgF ₂ samples milled for 6 h and heat treated at different temperatures	85
Fig. 4.15	CTE curves of the MKL samples containing different wt% of kalsilite and LTF	87
Fig. 4.16	CTE curves of the samples having different wt% of kalsilite and LTF and 2 wt% of MgF ₂	88
Fig. 4.17	Flexural strength of the MKL and MKL-M samples having different wt% of kalsilite and LTF	89
Fig. 4.18	BD and AP of samples contains different wt% of kalsilite and LTF	90
Fig. 4.19	SEM micrographs of the samples, MKL-M _{1000 25/75} (A, B), MKL-M _{1000 30/70} (C, D) at different magnification	91
Fig. 4.20	SEM micrographs of the samples, MKL _{1000 25/75} (E, F) and MKL _{1000 30/70} (G, H) at different magnification	92
Fig. 4.21	Weight loss of MKL and MKL-M samples with different wt% of LTF after immersion in 4% acetic acid and pineapple juice	93
Fig. 4.22	SEM micrograph of the kalsilite samples before immersion in acidic medium a) MKL _{20/80} ; b) MKL _{30/70} ; c) MKL-M _{20/80} and d) MKL-M _{30/70}	95
Fig. 4.23	SEM micrograph of the kalsilite samples after immersion in 4% acetic acid for 168 hours at 80 °C, a) MKL _{20/80} ; b) MKL _{30/70} ; c) MKL-M _{20/80} and d) MKL-M _{30/70}	96

Fig. 4.24	SEM micrograph of the kalsilite samples after immersion in pineapple juice for 168 hours, a) MKL _{20/80} ; b) MKL _{30/70} ; c) MKL-M _{20/80} and d) MKL-M _{30/70}	97
4.3	Mechanochemically synthesized leucite/kalsilite based bioactive glass ceramic composite for dental veneering	99-116
Fig. 4.25	XRD pattern of COMP-1 before and after heat treatment up to 960°C	99
Fig. 4.26	XRD pattern of COMP-2 before and after heat treatment up to 960°C	100
Fig.4.27	XRD pattern of COMP-3 before and after heat treatment up to 960°C	100
Fig. 4.28	XRD pattern of COMP-4 before and after heat treatment up to 960°C	101
Fig. 4.29	CTE curves of COMP-1, COMP-2, COMP-3 and COMP-4 along with commercial Dentine A2 and substrate	102
Fig. 4.30	Flexural strength of COMP-1, COMP-2, COMP-3, COMP-4 and commercial dentine	104
Fig. 4.31	SEM image showing the surface morphology of interface layer between the composites and the substrate	105
Fig. 4.32	SEM images of the coated specimens of COMP-1 (a) before (b) after COMP-2 (c) before and (d) after immersion COMP-3 (e) before and (f) after immersion COMP-4 (g) before and (h) after immersion for 7 days in SBF showing HAp formation	106&107
Fig. 4.33	FTIR absorbance bands of COMP-1 composite before and after immersion in SBF for 0, 7 and 14 days	108
Fig. 4.34	FTIR absorbance bands of COMP-2 composite before and after immersion in SBF for 0, 7 and 14 days	108
Fig. 4.35	FTIR absorbance bands of COMP-3 composite before and after immersion in SBF for 0 and 7 days	109
Fig. 4.36	FTIR absorbance bands of COMP-4 composite before and after immersion in SBF for 0 and 7 days	110

Fig. 4.37	Higher concentration of composite materials retards growth of SCC-25 cells. (A) Viability of SCC-25 cells in presence of COMP-1, COMP-2, COMP-3 and COMP-4 glass–ceramic composites (B&C) Graphs show concentration response of leucite and kalsilite glass–ceramic compositematerials on tumor cell proliferation and growth (D) Direct cellular cytotoxicity by composite materials against SCC-25 cells. Data presented as mean \pm SD, n = 4. * p<0.5, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001	112
Fig. 4.38	Microscopic analysis of induction of apoptosis SCC-25 cells were given indicated treatment with COMP-1, COMP-2, COMP-3 and COMP-4 glass–ceramic composites materials at a concentration of 50mg/ml in complete RPMI 1640 medium for 8h at 37°C. FITC-conjugated Annexin V and Propidium iodide (PI) stained apoptotic cells were visualized under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse 80i, Nikon, Japan) with Plan Fluor, 40X, NA 0.75 objective equipped with green and red filters for FITC and PI, respectively. n=3	113
Fig. 4.39	SEM images of the surface of COMP-1 showing the proliferation and spreading of SCC-25 cells after 10 days of culture on COMP-1 glass–ceramic composites (image at same magnification and scale bar represent 30 μ m (inset)	114
Fig. 4.40	SEM images of the surface of COMP-2 showing the proliferation and spreading of SCC-25 cells after 10 days of culture on COMP-2 (image at same magnification and scale bar represent 30 μ m (inset)	115
Fig. 4.41	SEM images of the surface of COMP-3 showing the proliferation and spreading of SCC-25 cells after 10 days of culture on COMP-3 (image at same magnification and scale bar represent 30 μ m (inset)	116
Fig. 4.42	SEM images of the surface of COMP-4 showing the proliferation and spreading of SCC-25 cells after 10 days of culture on COMP-4 (image at same magnification and scale bar represent 30 μ m (inset)	116
Fig. 4.43	SEM images of the surface of commercial dentine showing proliferation and spreading of SCC-25 cells after 10 days of culture on dentine (image at same magnification and scale bar represent 30 μ m (inset)	117

4.4	Effect of Al₂O₃ on leucite based bioactive glass ceramic composite for dental veneering	118-131
Fig. 4.44	XRD pattern of the composites containing different wt% of alumina before firing.	118
Fig. 4.45	XRD pattern of the composites containing different wt% of alumina after heat treatment at 960 °C.	119
Fig. 4.46	SEM morphology of the composite Al-0 after heat treatment	120
Fig. 4.47	SEM morphology of the composite Al-4 after heat treatment	121
Fig. 4.48	SEM morphology of the composite Al-8 after heat treatment	121
Fig. 4.49	SEM and EDS spectrum of the composition Al-0	122
Fig. 4.50	SEM and EDS spectrum of the composition Al-8	122
Fig. 4.51	CTE curves of the composites along with commercial dentine (VITA VMK 2M2)	123
Fig. 4.52	BD and AP of the bioactive glass ceramic composites with different wt. % of alumina	124
Fig. 4.53	Flexural strength of composites and commercial dentine	125
Fig. 4.54	SEM image of the surface of (a) Al-0, (b) Al-2, (c) Al-4 and (d) Al-8 composites. Showing the proliferation and spreading of SCC-25 cells after 10 days of culture on composites (all images at same magnification and scale bars represent 30µm (inset	127-130