List of Figures

3.1	Simulation model for unique performance model of Varian Clinic 600 separated i	nto
	three parts using BEAMnrc-DOSXYZnrc code	47
3.2	Comparison of the MC calculated and the measured central-axis depth-dose data	
	Using a 0.2 cm FWHM, 5.7 MeV Electron Beam for different field sizes.	49
3.3	Comparison of the Monte Carlo calculated and the measured profile data for a	
	field size of 10×10 cm ² at different depths.	51
3.4	Comparison of the Monte Carlo calculated and the measured profile data for a	
	field size of 20 \times 20 cm ² at different depths.	52
3.5	Central axis Photon fluence spectra calculated for radius 0 <r<2.25 &="" bin<="" energy="" th=""><th></th></r<2.25>	
	of 0.25 MeV for different field sizes .	53
3.6	photon average energies distribution for large open fields at SSD 100 cm, scored	
	in annular bins.	56
3.7	Central axis photon energy fluence spectra for a field size of 10×10 cm ² with	
	radius 0 <r<2.25 &="" 0.25="" bin="" cm="" energy="" mev.<="" size="" th="" used=""><th>58</th></r<2.25>	58
3.8	Central axis electron fluence spectrum calculated for radius 0 <r<2.25 &="" energy<="" th=""><th></th></r<2.25>	
	bin of 0.25 MeV beam for different field sizes.	60
4.1	Simulation model for Varian Linac split into three parts.	70
4.2	Comparison of relative depth dose curves calculated for MLC blocked and jaw de	fine
	open fields for 6MV photon beams for different field sizes.	73
4.3	Photon fluences per initial electron on the target, at the top of the water phantom	

	as a function of energy (MeV) for field size 20×20 cm ² .	74
4.4	Photon average energy distribution for the MLC blocked and jaw define open fiel	ds as a
	function of off axis distance for a field size of 20×20 cm ² and SSD of 100 cm.	75
4.5	Electron fluences per initial electron on target, at the top of the water phantom as	a
	function of off axis distance for 20×20 cm ² field size calculated for both MLC and	Jaw
	define field.	76
5.1 6	MV Varian Linac simulation model separated into three parts	85
5.2	A comparison of measured and calculated depth doses curves of the 6MV photon	beam
	for 20×20, 10×10 and 5×5 cm ² field sizes .	86
5.3	A comparison of measured and calculated beam profiles of the 6MV photon beam	n at a
	depth of 10 cm for 20×20, 10×10 and 5×5 cm ² field sizes.	88
5.4	Comparison of the MC calculated Percentage depth Dose for flattened and unflatt	ened
	Beam for different Field sizes	91
5.5	Photon fluences per initial electron on the target, at the top of the water phantom a	as a
	function of Photon energy E (MeV) for 20×20 cm ² field size calculated for with a	nd
	without a flattening filter in beam line .	93
5.6	Photon fluences per initial electron on the target at the top of the water phantom a	s a
	function of the off-axis distance for a field size of 20×20 cm ² calculated with an	d
	without a flattening filter in the beam line .	94
5.7	Photon average energy distribution of the filtered and unfiltered 6-MV beams as a	l
	function of the off axis distance for 20×20 cm ² field size.	95
5.8	Electron fluences per initial electron on target, at the top of the water phantom as	a
	function of energy E (MeV) for 20×20 cm ² field size calculated for with and with	out a

xvi

flattening filter in beam line .

5.9	Electron fluences per initial electron on target at the top of the water phantom as a	a
	function of the off-axis distance for a field size of 20×20 cm ² calculated with and	d
	without a flattening filter in the beam line .	97
5.10	Comparison of lateral profile for 6MV photon beams delivered with and without	a
	flattening filter in beam line at a depth of 10 cm for field size of 10×10 cm ² .	100
5 .11	Comparison of lateral profile for 6MV photon beams delivered for with and with	out a
	flattening filter in beam line at a depth 10 cm for different field sizes.	102
5.12	Comparison of Lateral dose profiles for a 5×5 cm ² field size at a depth of 5 cm.	103
5.13	Comparison of the lateral profiles for 6-MV photon beams with and without a	
	flattening filter at a depth of 10 cm for different field sizes.	110
6.1	Varian Linac simulation model.	121
6.2	Lateral profile for 6MV photon beams delivered with and without a flattening	
	filter in beam line at a depth of 10 cm for different field sizes	123
6.3	Lateral profile comparison for 6MV photon beam for a field size of 20×20 cm ² a	t 10 cm
	depth .	126
6.4	Lateral profile comparison for 6MV photon beam for a field size of 10×10 cm ² a	t 10 cm
	depth .	127
6.5	Lateral profile comparison for 6MV photon beam for a field size of 5×5 cm ² at 5	5 cm
	depth.	128
6.6	Photon fluences per initial electron on the target, at the top of the water phantom as a fun	ction of
	energy (MeV) for 20×20 cm ² field size calculated for with and without a flattening filte	r in
	beam line.	129

- 6.7 Photon energy fluences per initial electron on the target, at the top of the water phantom as a function of off axis distance for a field size of 20×20 cm² calculated for flattened and unflattened beam. 130
- 6.8 Photon average energy distribution of the filtered and unfiltered beams as a function of off-axis distance for 20×20 cm² field size and 100 cm source to surface distance. 132
- 6.9 Electron fluences spectra per initial electron on target, at the top of the water phantom as a function of energy for a field size of 20×20 cm² for both flattened and unflattened beam . 133
- 6.10 Electron energy fluences per initial electron on target, at the top of the water phantom as a function of off axis distance calculated for a field size of 20×20 cm². 134
- 6.11 Comparison of relative depth dose curves calculated for with and without flattening filter for different field sizes.136