

	Page No.
Table 2.1 <i>Some important polysaccharides and their versatile applications</i>	7-9
Table 2.2 <i>Summarized merits and demerits of some scaffold fabrication techniques</i>	17-18
Table 2.3 <i>Classification of wound dressings</i>	24
Table 3.1 <i>Percentage porosity of SF_{sc}, GG_{sc}, GG_b, SF-GG, and SF-GG_b-SF calculated through liquid displacement method</i>	45
Table 3.2 <i>Kinetic parameters for cpr release from SF_{sc}, GG_{sc}, GG_b, SF-GG, and SF-GG_b-SF</i>	48
Table 3.3 <i>Comparison table for the selection of best scaffold</i>	52
Table 4.1 <i>Name of screened plants with the reported results on their potency to synthesize AgNPs from their herbal extracts</i>	62
Table 4.2 <i>Antimicrobial activity of Salvinia molesta (AES), AgNO₃ solution and AgNPs against E. coli and S. aureus. Phosphate buffer saline was used as negative control</i>	83
Table 5.1 <i>Antimicrobial activity of AET, AgNO₃ solution and AgNPs against E. coli and S. aureus. Phosphate buffer saline was used as negative control</i>	98
Table 6.1 <i>Experimental design of invivo study & details of experimental groups</i>	109
Table 6.2 <i>Kinetic parameters of cpr release from different scaffolds</i>	118
Table 6.3 <i>Characteristic bands and their assignments in controls viz. SF_{sc}, CS_{sc}, cpr and AgNPs</i>	120
Table 6.4 <i>Comparison table; designed on five star grade scale for evaluation of the relative performances of scaffolds</i>	123