LIST OF FIGURES

=

Fig. No.	DESCRIPTION	Page No.
1.1	Potential energy diagrams for catalyzed and non-catalyzed reaction	4
1.2	General mechanism of heterogeneous catalysis	6
1.3	Schematic of Langmuir Hinshelwood mechanism for heterogeneous catalysis	7
1.4	Schematic of Eley-Rideal mechanism for heterogeneous catalysis	8
1.5	Comparison of Eley-Rideal (a) and Mars-Van Krevelen mechanism for heterogeneous catalysis	9
1.6	Different shapes of nanoparticles possible with respect to dimension in nano regime, 0D, 1D, 2D and 3D	14
1.7	Different possibilities of bimetallic nanostructures observed. (a) Ordered alloy; (b) Random alloy (c) Janus-like; and (d) Core shell	15
1.8	Figure represents stabilization of nanoparticles by three different ways: Electrostatic (a), Steric (b) and Ligand stabilization (c)	25
1.9	Schematic diagrams demonstrating localized surface plasmon resonance	29
1.10	Mechanism of semiconductor photocatalysis	32
1.11	Structure of methyl orange in acidic and basic medium	41
2.1	Schematic ray diagram of the working of FT-IR instrument	54
2.2	Schematic of components in NMR instrument	55
2.3	Schematics of Bragg's Diffraction	57

3.1	X-ray powder diffraction patterns of C0, C1, C2, C3 and C4 AgNPs	68
3.2	TEM images of C0, C1, C2, C3 and C4 AgNPs shown as 2(a), 2(b), 2(c), 2(d) and 2(e) respectively (particle size distributions are shown in inset of their corresponding TEM micrograph).	69
3.3	The UV-Vis absorption spectra of aqueous dispersions of C0, C1, C2, C3 and C4 AgNPs sols	71
3.4	UV absorbance of Nip and p -nitrophenolate ion formed after the addition of NaBH ₄	72
3.5	Variation of absorbance maximum of <i>p</i> -phenolate ion (401 nm) with NaBH ₄ reductant in absence 5 (a) and presence 5 (b) of catalyst. Variation in the absorbance maximum of <i>p</i> -phenolate ion (406 nm) with Gly as reductant in absence 5 (c) and presence 5 (d) of AgNPs catalyst.	73
3.6	NMR spectrum of product of Nip reduction with glycerol in presence of C1 AgNPs.	74
3.7	Variation of $\ln(A/A_0)$ [absorbance (A) measured at 401 nm]Vs time with NaBH ₄ reductant 7 (a) . Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (A) measured at 406 nm] Vs time with Gly as reductant in presence of all AgNPs catalyst 7(b) . The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	76
3.8	Arrhenius plot for Nip reduction reaction catalyzed by C0, C1, C2, C3 and C4 AgNPs samples with reducing agents NaBH ₄ 8 (a) and Gly 8 (b). Error bars are very small in most of the cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98.	78
3.9	Percentage of nanoparticles with anisotropic shapes in all four AgNPs samples (C1 to C4) 9 (a) and average particle size for all four AgNPs samples (C1 toC4) 9 (b) versus their corresponding activation energies (Ea).	79
3.10	Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (A) measured at 406 nm] Vs time in	83

xxi

	photocatalytic Nip reduction with Gly. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	
3.11	Proposed mechanism for electron-induced N-O dissociation on a photo-excited plasmonic metal. 1. Initially ground-state2. The energetic electron helps in dissociation of N-O bond. 3. Electron decays back to the metal Fermi level	86
3.12	Variation of absorbance maximum of MO (506 nm) with H_2O_2 oxidizing agent in absence 12 (a) and presence 12 (b) of catalyst C1 AgNPs catalyst.	87
3.13	Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 506 nm] versus time for thermal 13 (a) and photocatalytic 13 (b) MO degradation in presence of C0, C1, C2, C3 and C4 AgNPs catalyst. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.97	88
3.14	Arrhenius plot for MO degradation catalyzed by C0, C1, C2, C3 and C4 AgNPs samples. Error bars are very s7mall in most cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	90
3.15	Percentage photocatalytic MO degradation without scavenger (WS) and in presence of KI, PBQ and iPA scavengers	91
3.16	Proposed mechanism for the oxidative degradation of MO with H_2O_2 in presence of AgNPs catalyst. Complete degradation of MO gives carbon dioxide and water through intermediates: (A) N,N-dimethyl-4-nitrobenzeneamine, (B) Sodium 4-aminobenzenesulonate, (C) Hydroquinone, (D) Cyclohexa-2,5-diene-1,4-dione, (E) Fumaric acid, (F) Maleic acid and (G) 2-hydroxysuccinic acid	92
4.1	The UV-visible absorption spectra of aqueous dispersions of samples A1(10 times diluted) and A2 (as prepared) c-AgNPs sols	100
4.2	X-ray powder diffraction patterns of A1 and A2c-AgNPs samples TEM image of samples A1 and A2 of c-AgNPs (2 (a), 2(c)), and the corresponding size distributions (2(b), 2(d)), respectively	101
4.3	TEM image of samples A1 and A2 of c-AgNPs (3(a), 3(c)), and	102

	the corresponding size distributions (3(b),3(d), respectively	
4.4	FT-IR spectra of (a) pure curcumin and (b) curcumin stabilized AgNPs (sample A2 c-AgNPs)	103
4.5	Variation of absorbance maximum of <i>p</i> -nitrophenolate (401 nm) with NaBH ₄ 5 (a) and absorbance maximum of <i>p</i> -nitrophenolate (406 nm) with Gly 5 (b) as reductant in presence of c-AgNPs catalyst	104
4.6	NMR spectrum of product of Nip reduction with glycerol in presence of A1 c-AgNPs.	105
4.7	Variation of $ln(A/A_0)$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 401 nm] Vs time with NaBH ₄ reductant 7(a). Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 406 nm] Vs time with Gly as reductant in presence of both c-AgNPs catalyst 7(b). The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	106
4.8	Arrhenius plot for Nip reduction reaction catalyzed by A1 and A2 c-AgNPs samples with reducing agents NaBH ₄ 8(a) and Gly 8(b). Error bars are very small in most of the cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	107
4.9	Variation of A/A_0 [absorbance (A) measured at 406 nm] versus time in photocatalytic Nip reduction with Gly. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	111
4.10	Variation of absorbance maximum of MO (506 nm) with H_2O_2 oxidizing agent in presence of catalyst A2 c-AgNPs catalyst	113
4.11	Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 506 nm] versus time for thermal 11(a) and photocatalytic 11(b) MO degradation in presence of A1 and A2 c-AgNPs catalyst. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.97	114

4.12 Arrhenius plot for MO degradation catalyzed by A1and A2 c- 114

	AgNPs samples. Error bars are very small in most cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	
4.13	Percentage photocatalytic MO degradation without scavenger (WS) and in presence of KI, PBQ and iPA scavengers	116
5.1	Freshly preparedorange (B1) andreddish-brown (B2) CuNPs sols	122
5.2	FT-IR spectra of pure PVP (a) and B2 CuNPs stabilized by PVP(b)	124
5.3	X-ray powder diffraction patterns of B1 and B2 CuNPs	125
5.4	TEM images of the two CuNPs sols samples: B1 (a), B2 (c), and their corresponding electron diffraction patterns: B1 (b), B2 (d), respectively	126
5.5	The UV–Vis absorption spectra of B1and B2 CuNPs obtained by varying the amounts of AHH	127
5.6	Variation of absorbance maximum of <i>p</i> -nitrophenolate (401 nm) with NaBH ₄ $6(a)$ and absorbance maximum of <i>p</i> -nitrophenolate (406 nm) with Gly $6(b)$ as reductant in presence of CuNPs catalyst	129
5.7	¹ HNMR spectrum of product of Nip reduction with glycerol in presence of B2 CuNPs	130
5.8	Variation of $ln(A/A_0)$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 401 nm] Vs time with NaBH ₄ reductant 8(a). Variation of <i>A</i> /A0 [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 406 nm] Vs time with Gly as reductant in presence of both CuNPs catalyst 8(b). The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	131
5.9	Arrhenius plot for Nip reduction reaction catalyzed by B1 and B2 CuNPs samples with reducing agents NaBH ₄ 9(a) and Gly 9(b). Error bars are very small in most of the cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	132
5.10	Variation of A/A0 [absorbance (A) measured at 406 nm] Vs time	134

	in photocatalytic Nip reduction with Gly. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	
5.11	Variation of absorbance maximum of MO (506 nm) with H_2O_2 oxidizing agent in presence of catalyst B2 CuNPs catalyst	136
5.12	Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 506 nm] versus time for thermal 12(a) and photocatalytic 12(b) MO degradation in presence of B1 and B2 CuNPs catalyst. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.97	137
5.13	Arrhenius plot for MO degradation catalyzed by B1 and B2 CuNPs samples. Error bars are very small in most cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	137
6.2.1	X-ray powder diffraction patterns of S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5 samples.	146
6.2.2	HR-SEM images of S2, S3 and S4 Ag-Cu BNPs samples (2(a), 2(b), 2(c)) and corresponding elemental mapping of particles (2(d), 2(e), 2(f)) respectively. Particle on which mapping was done is shown in the inset of corresponding SEM image. TEM images ofS1, S2, S3, S4 and S5 samples are represented as a, b, c, d and e respectively, their corresponding size distribution histograms are shown in the inset of each micrograph.	147
6.2.3	TEM images of S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5 samples are represented as a, b, c, d and e respectively, their corresponding size distribution histograms are shown in the inset of each micrograph	149
6.2.4	The UV-Vis absorbance spectra of aqueous dispersion of S1 (CuNPs), S2, S3 & S4 (Ag-Cu BNPs) and S5 (AgNPs) sol samples 4(a), Maximum LSPR absorbances are plotted against precursor composition BNPs composition 4(b). An almost linear variation is obtained	150
6.2.5	UV-Vis absorption spectra for p-nitrophenol reduction by NaBH4 5(a), UV-vis absorbance of p-nitrophenol reduction by glycerol versus time in presence of Ag-Cu BNPs catalyst 5(b)	152
6.2.6	NMR spectrum of AP, product of Nip reduction with glycerol in presence of S2 Ag-CuNPs .	152

6.2.7	Catalytic kinetics plots using S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5 Cu, Ag-Cu BNPs and Ag as catalysts, plot of $\ln(A/A_0)$ [absorbance (A) measured at λ_{max} 401 nm] versus time when NaBH ₄ is the reducing agent 7(a) and plot of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (A) measured at λ_{max} 406 nm] against time when glycerol is the hydrogen source 7(b)	153
6.2.8	Arrhenius plot for Nip reduction reaction catalyzed by S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5 catalyst, when $NaBH_4$ is used as hydrogen source 8(a) and when glycerol is used as hydrogen source 8(b). Please note that for most data points, error bars are smaller than the size of the symbol used.	155
6.2.9	Turnover frequency versus bimetallic NPs Ag:Cu composition when 9(a) NaBH ₄ and 9(b) Glycerol is the reducing agent	156
6.2.10	Thermal (T) and photocatalytic (P) rate plots between $1/A_t$ versus time for Nip reduction with glycerol in presence of S2 10(a), S3 10(b) and S4 10(c) Ag-Cu BNPs catalyst.	158
6.2.11	Variation of absorbance maximum of MO (506 nm) with H_2O_2 oxidizing agent in presence of catalyst S2 Ag-Cu BNPs catalyst	159
6.2.12	Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 506 nm] versus time for thermal 12(a) and photocatalytic 12(b) MO degradation in presence of S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5catalyst. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.97	160
6.2.13	Arrhenius plot for MO degradation catalyzed by S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5samples. Error bars are very small in most cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.95	162
6.2.14	Turnover frequency versus bimetallic NPs Ag:Cu composition when a) Thermal and b) Photocatalytic MO degradation was studied	163
6.3.1	The UV-Vis absorbance spectra of aqueous dispersion of core shell S6 and S7 BNPs sol samples	166
6.3.2	X-ray powder diffraction patterns of core shell S6 and S7 BNPs	167

6.3.3	samples HR-SEM images of S6 3(a) and S7 3(b) BNPs samples. TEM micrographs of S6 3(c) and S7 3(d) core shell BNPs sample, their corresponding particle size distribution histograms are shown in inset of respective TEM micrographs.	169
6.3.4	(a) UV-Vis absorption spectra for p-nitrophenol reduction by NaBH4, (b) UV-vis absorbance of p-nitrophenol reduction by glycerol versus time in presence of core shell BNPs catalyst	170
6.3.5	NMR spectrum of product of Nip reduction with glycerol in presence of S6 BNPs catalyst	171
6.3.6	Variation of $\ln(A/A_0)$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 401 nm] Vs time with NaBH ₄ reductant 6(a). Variation of A/A0 [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 406 nm] Vs time with Gly as reductant in presence of both core shell S6 and S7 catalyst 6(b). The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	172
6.3.7	Arrhenius plot for Nip reduction reaction catalyzed by S6 and S7 core shell catalyst samples with reducing agents NaBH ₄ 7(a) and Gly 7(b). Error bars are very small in most of the cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	173
6.3.8	Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 406 nm] Vs time with Gly as reductant in presence of both S6 and S7 catalyst. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.96	175
6.3.9	Variation of absorbance maximum of MO (506 nm) with H_2O_2 oxidizing agent in presence of catalyst S6 BNPs catalyst	176
6.3.10.	Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 506 nm] versus time for thermal 10(a) and Variation of A/A_0 [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 506 nm] versus time for photocatalytic 10(b) MO degradation in presence of S6 and S7 BNPs catalyst. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.97	177
6.3.11	Arrhenius plot for MO degradation catalyzed by S6 and S7 BNPs samples. Error bars are very small in most cases. The R^2 value of	178

all the fittings is ~ 0.98

6.4.1	X-ray powder diffraction patterns of S8 and S9 Ag-Cu BNPs samples	181
6.4.2	TEM micrograph of Ag-Cu BNPs, S8 2(a) and S9 2(b) samples. The corresponding particle size histogram is shown in inset of each TEM micrograph	182
6.4.3	HR-SEM images of S8 and S9 Ag-Cu BNPs samples (a, b) and corresponding elemental mapping of particles (e, f) respectively. Particle on which mapping was done is shown in the inset of corresponding SEM image (c, d).	183
6.4.4	The UV-Vis absorbance spectra of aqueous dispersion of S8 & S9 BNPs sol samples	184
6.4.5	UV-Vis absorption spectra for <i>p</i> -nitrophenol reduction by NaBH ₄ 5(a), UV-vis absorbance of <i>p</i> -nitrophenol reduction by glycerol versus time in presence of S8 BNPs catalyst 5(b)	185
6.4.6.	NMR spectrum of product of Nip reduction with glycerol in presence of S8 BNPs	186
6.4.7	Variation of $\ln(A/A_0)$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 401 nm] Vs time with NaBH ₄ reductant 7(a). Variation of A/A_0 [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 406 nm] Vs time with Gly as reductant in presence of both S8 and S9 BNPs catalyst 7(b). The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	187
6.4.8	Arrhenius plot for Nip reduction reaction catalyzed by S8 and S9 BNPs samples with reducing agents NaBH ₄ 8(a) and Gly 8(b). Error bars are very small in most of the cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	188
6.4.9	Variation of A/A_0 [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 406 nm] versus time in photocatalytic Nip reduction with Gly. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	190
6.4.10	Variation of absorbance maximum of MO (506 nm) with H_2O_2	191

	oxidizing agent in presence of catalyst S8 BNPs catalyst.	
6.4.11	Variation of $1/A_t$ [absorbance (<i>A</i>) measured at 506 nm] Vs time thermal 11(a) and photocatalytic 11(b) MO degradation in presence of both S8 and S9 BNPs catalyst. The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	192
6.4.12	Arrhenius plot for MO degradation catalyzed by S8 and S9 BNPs samples. Error bars are very small in most cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	193
7.1	XRD of prepared GO sample	205
7.2	UV-visible absorption spectra of GO and rGO.	205
7.3	XRD pattern of G1, G2, G3 G4 and G5 powder samples (a) and diffuse rGO peak in all five samples (b)	206
7.4	UV-visible absorbance spectra of aqueous dispersion of G1, G2, G3, G4 and G5 sol samples	207
7.5	TEM images of G1, G2, G3, G4 and G5 samples are represented as 7(a), 7(b), 7(c), 7(d) and 7(e) respectively, their corresponding size distribution histograms are shown in the inset of each micrograph	208
7.6	HR-SEM images shows the area on which mapping was done for G2, G3 and G4 samples (a, b, c) and corresponding elemental mapping of particles (d, e, f) respectively	209
7.7	Variation of absorbance maximum of p-nitrophenolate (~ 401 nm) with NaBH ₄ (a) and absorbance maximum of p-nitrophenolate (~ 406 nm) with Gly (b) as reductant in presence of G3 catalyst	210
7.8	(a) Variation of $ln(A/A0)$ [absorbance (A) measured at ~ 401 nm] Vs time with NaBH ₄ reductant. (b) Arrhenius plot for Nip reduction reaction catalyzed by G1, G2, G3, G4 and G5 samples with reducing agents NaBH ₄ . The R ² value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	211

7.9	Variation of absorbance maximum of MO (~ 506 nm) with H_2O_2 oxidizing agent in presence of catalyst G3 catalyst	213
7.10	Variation of A/A ₀ [absorbance (A) measured at 506 nm] versus time for thermal (a) and photocatalytic (b) MO degradation in presence of G1, G2, G3, G4 and G5 catalyst. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.97	214
7.11	Arrhenius plot for MO degradation catalyzed by G1, G2, G3, G4 and G5 samples. Error bars are very small in most cases. The R^2 value of all the fittings is ~ 0.98	215