List of Figure

Figure 1.1 Schematic illustration of the orientation of magnetic moments in materials [source http://electrons.wikidot.com/magnetism-iron-oxide-magnetite] 34

Figure 1.2 Schematic illustrations of multiferroics defined from the combination of ferroelectric and magnetic properties[1]. 36

Figure 1.3 Classification of “ferroic” orders according to symmetry [9]. 37

Figure 1.4 Origin and microscopic mechanism of ferroelectricity in type-I multiferroics (a) In mixed perovskite, a d^0 ion (green circles) cause for ferroelectricity and magnetic d^n ions (red circles) responsible for magnetism. (b) Ferroelectricity due to lone pairs (c) Charged-ordered ferroelectricity in multiferroics and (d) Geometrically frustrated ferroelectricity [1]. 40

Figure 1.5 Different types of spin structures relevant for type-II multiferroics. (a) Sinusoidal spin wave, in which spins have to point along one direction but vary in magnitude. This structure is centrosymmetric, and consequently, the value of ferroelectricity is zero. (b) The cycloidal spiral with the wave vector $Q = Q_x$ and spins rotating in the (x,z)-plane. It is in this case where one finds non-zero polarization, $P_Z \neq 0$. (c) In a so-called proper screw; the spins rotate in a plane perpendicular to Q. Here, the inversion symmetry is broken, but most often it does not produce polarization although in some instances it might [1]. 42

Figure 1.6 Co/Mn Ising chain with two states of spin ordering in Ca_3CoMnO_6. Ions are displaced from ideal positions (broken circles) leading to an electric polarization [21]. 44

Figure 1.7 (a) Magnetoelectric coupling. (b) Direct magnetoelectric effect[24]......................... 45

Figure 1.8 ABX_3 perovskite structure. (**Source:** https://nptel.ac.in/courses/113104005/lecture4/4_2.htm) 47

Figure 1.9 Schematic diagram of crystal structure of BFO unit cell. The unit cell can be pictured as a pseudo-cubic structure which is outlined in blue. Two pseudo-cubic structures are needed to describe the rhombohedral unit cell.(b) Schematic diagram of the magnetic lattice of $BiFeO_3$ showing G-type antiferromagnetic cell where the nearest neighbor spins are antiparallel. The Fe spin resides in pseudo-cubic. (c) Schematic diagram of the arrangement of the macroscopic order parameter (P, L , and M) of the thin film [24]. 49

Figure 1.10 Evolution of the tolerance factor and density of the RMnO3 phase as a function of ionic radius [41]. 52

Figure 1.11 Phenomenological picture of exchange bias for and AF-FM bilayer [50] 55

Figure 2.1 Flow chart of solid state method. 68

Figure 2.2 The phase formation of prepared samples has been studied by using Rigaku-MiniFlex-II DESKTOP powder X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ= 1.54 Å) at 30 kV and 15 mA. Crystal structures of each composition were recorded at 2 Θ angle between 20 and 80º with a step size of 0.02. X-ray diffraction analysis revealed all the important parameters such as crystalline structure, lattice parameters, unit cell volume, atomic packing fraction etc. 70

Figure 2.3 **(a)**Energy level diagram showing states involved in Raman signal. The line thickness is roughly proportional to the signal strength from the different transitions. (b) Renishaw micro Raman spectroscope........ 73

Figure 2.4 UV-Vis.set up**.** 75

Figure 2.5 MPMS3 set up. 77

Figure 2.6 A Sawyer-Tower circuit for the measurement of ferroelectric hysteresis loop........ 78

Figure 2.7 Transitions that contribute to XAS edges. 80

Figure 2.8 Typical components of modern XAS beamline. 81

Figure 3.1 Rietveld refinement profiles of X-ray diffraction patterns of $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3$ and $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_3$ samples. The dots represent the observed data while solid line through dots s the calculated profile, vertical tics below curves represent allowed Bragg-reflections for the wurtzite phase. The difference is given below the vertical tics...................... 93

Figure 3.2 Room-temperature micro-Raman spectra of (a) $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3$ and (b) $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_3$ samples. 95

Figure 3.3. Magnetization vs. applied magnetic field variation of (a) $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3$ and (b) $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_3$. 96

Figure 3.4 Temperature dependence of the in-phase component of ac susceptibility measured under a field of 500 Oe under the field-cooled condition for $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3$ and $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_3$. 97

Figure 3.5. (a) Survey scan (b) Bi4f, (c) Fe2p, (c) Fe3s and Mn3s, (d) Mn2p, (f) Fe2p and (g) La3d core level X-ray photoemission spectra for Bi0.5La0.5Fe0.5Mn0.5O3 at 300 K.............. 99

Figure 3.6 (a) Survey scan, (b) Bi4f, (c) Fe2p, (d) Fe3s and Mn3s, (e) La3d, (f) Mn2p, and (g) Ti2p and Bi4d core level X-ray photoemission spectra for Bi0.5La0.5Fe0.5Mn0.45Ti0.05O3 at 300 K.. 100

Figure 3.7. Variation of ε′ and tan(δ) with respect to temperature at 1kHz , 10khz , 50kHz , 100kHz,1MHz and 2MHz for (a)$Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3$ and (b) $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_3$. . 103

Figure 4.1 Rietveld refinement profiles of X-ray diffraction patterns of $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3$ and $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.40}Al_{0.1}O_3$ samples.. 109

Figure 4.2. Room-temperature micro-Raman spectra of $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3$ and Bi $_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.40}Al_{0.1}O_3$ samples. 110

Figure 4.3. Variation of ε’ and tan(δ) values with respect to temperature at 1KHz,10KHz,50KHz,100KHz,1MHz and 2MHz for (a)$Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3$ and (b) $Bi_{0.5}La_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.40}Al_{0.1}O_3$. 112

Figure 5.1. Rietveld refinement of the XRD data of the composite 0.7BFO-0.3TMO. Inset shows the Williamson-Hall plot to calculate the microstrain. 123

Figure 5.2. AFM (left) and MFM (right) image of the 0.7BFO-0.3TMO Composite. 125

Figure 5.3. ZFC and FC magnetization vs. temperature plots for **(a)** 0.7BFO-0.3TMO and **(b)** 0.8BFO-0.2TMO composites under an applied magnetic field of 1000 Oe. Inset: the dM/dT vs T plots for the respective sample. The arrows in the insets point towards the spin reorientation transition temperature T*. 128

Figure 5.4. The M-H loop of **(a)** 0.7BFO-0.3TMO and composite measured at different temperature across 5-300 K after field cooling (1 T) from a higher temperature. Insets **(a)** and **(b)** show close view of the M-H loops around zero field measured at 275 K and 80 K respectively. 129

Figure 5.5 The temperature dependence of exchange bias field (H_{SEB}) and coercivity of 0.7BFO-0.3TMO. 130

Figure 5.6 Isothermal remnant magnetization (IRM) and the virgin loop of magnetization vs. magnetic field of the composite 0.7BFO-0.3TMO. 134

Figure 5.7 Fe $L_{2,3}$ XAS and XMCD spectra of **(a)** 0.7BFO-0.3TMO at 300 K **(b)** 0.8BFO-0.2TMO at 300 K **(c)** 0.7BFO-0.3TMO at 180 K **(d)** 0.8BFO-0.2TMO at 180 K. XMCD signal was multiplied by 5 in all the cases for better visualization. Inset in **(a)** and **(b)** shows the comparison of t_{2g} peak of L_3 edge and L_2 edge of the composites with standard α-Fe_2O_3 respectively. 138

Figure 5.8 Mn $L_{2,3}$ XAS and XMCD spectra of **(a)** 0.7BFO-0.3TMO at 300 K **(b)** 0.8BFO-0.2TMO at 300 K **(c)** 0.7BFO-0.3TMO at 180 K **(d)** 0.8BFO-0.2TMO at 180 K. XMCD signal was multiplied by 5 in case of **(a)** and **(b)** for better visualization. Inset in **(a)** shows the comparison between XAS spectra from 0.7BFO-0.3TMO, 0.8BFO-0.2TMO and standard α-Fe_2O_3 sample. 142

Figure 6.1 **(a)** temperature dependent Raman spectra of the BFO-TMO composite. **(b)** Variation of Raman shift of the stretching vibration with temperature. The red line shows the fitting according to **eq. 6.1.** Inset in figure 6.1(a) shows the x-ray diffraction pattern of the sample 0.7BFO-0.3TMO**.** Inset in figure 6.1(b) shows the variation of δω and $[-M^2/M_0^2]$ with temperature. 153

Figure 6.2 Temperature dependent real part of dielectric constant of BFO-TMO. Inset shows Variation dielectric loss with temperature. 155

Figure 6.3. Variation of **(a)** ε' **(b)** ε'' and **(c)** tanδ with frequency of the composite BFO-TMO. **(d)** Variation of real part of dielectric constant with and without magnetic field**.** Inset in**(b)** shows ε'' variation of frequency fitted with an equation consisting both Debye and Maxwell-Wagner contribution. Inset in **(d)** shows Variation of % MD with magnetic field at 250 K and measuring frequency 1 kHz**.** 159

Figure 6.4. Typical impedance plot (Cole-Cole plot) of BFO-TMO composite at **(a)** 300 K **(b)** 275 K **(c)** 225 K and **(d)** 200 K. The red lines in the plots show the fitting of the data as per **eq. 5.** The equivalent circuit is shown in **(b).** 162

Figure 7.1 **(a)-(c):** FESEM images from fractured surfaces of the single phase BFO, TMO and composite 0.7BFO-0.3TMO respectively and insets shows the corresponding calculation of grains size bye image j software. **(d)** EDXA graph of the composite 0.7BFO-0.3TMO with its chemical composition in the insets. 171

Figure 7.2 FTIR spectrum of the composite 0.7BFO-0.3TMO recorded at 300 K. 172

Figure 7.3 **(a)** XPS survey scan of 0.7BFO-0.3TMO at 300 K. Deconvoluted detail spectra of **(b)** Fe 2p **(c)** O 1s**(d)** Mn 2pof composite 0.7BFO-0.3TMO.Detail spectra of **(e)** Tb 3d and **(f)** Bi 4f. 175

Figure 7.4. Tauc plot for the determination of the optical band gap of the composite 0.7BFO-0.3TMO at room temperature. The black dashed arrow is guide to the eye, showing the extracted bad gap. The inset shows the absorption spectrum of the composite from which the Tauc plot has been estimated.. 177

Figure 7.5 **(a)** Schematic diagram of the band structure. Inset shows the XPAS valence spectra of the composite0.7BFO-0.3TMO. The red line is guide to the eye showing the position of the edge of the valence band. **(b)** Valence band XPS spectrum of the composite at 300 K. **(c)** UPS spectrum of the composite at room temperature. 180

Figure 7.6 Temperature dependent resistivity of the composite0.7BFO-0.3TMO. Inset (a) lnρ vs. 1/T plot showing Arrhenius plot. Inset (b) lnρ Vs. $(1/T)^{1/4}$ showing the plot for variable range hopping. 182

Figure 7.7 Room temperature M-H loop of the composite 0.7BFO-0.3TMO. Inset shows the room temperature M-H loop of pure $BiFeO_3$... 184

Figure 8.1 Room temperature X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Rietveld refinement pattern of BLFMCO sample. The hollow dots correspond to the observed data (Yobs.), solid red lines pass through these dots represents the calculated data (Ycal.), and solid blue lines represent the difference between these two, i.e. (Yobs. – Ycal). 192

Figure 8.2 Characteristics Raman spectra measured at room temperature for polycrystalline (a) BLFMO (b) BLFMCO samples. 194

Figure 8.3 (a)Temperature dependence of magnetic moment (M-T curves) of BLFMCO sample measured under an applied field of 500Oe under field cooling (FC) and Zero-field cooling (ZFC) conditions. 195

Figure 8.4 Magnetization(M) vs. magnetic field(H) hysteresis loops measurement of sample BLFMCO obtained at different temperatures (i) 300K (ii) 200K (iii) 80K () 2K. (Insets shows the enlarged view of M-H curves) 196

Figure 8.5. Spontaneous Wasp-waisted Magnetic hysteresis loop of sample BLFMCO at 80K. 197

Figure 8.6 Dielectric constant vs. temperature plots of BLFMCO samples. (The corresponding loss tangent is presented in the inset of Figure 8.6) 199

Figure 8.7 Dielectric constant vs. temperature variation of BLFMCO sample under the magnetic field of 1T. (The corresponding loss tangent is presented in the inset of Figure 8.5. 201