LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Sample images from BrainWeb Database
Figure 1.2: Sample images from Real Databases
Figure 3.1: Simulated T1 weighted MR image with Rician noise (a) Original image (b)
10% noisy image (c) BM3D (d) MF (e) AWF (f) NLM (g) Fuzzy filter (h) Proposed43
Figure 3.2: PSNR based comparison of various methods: (a) T1-weighted modality
(b) T2-weighted modality (c) PD-weighted modality and SSIM based comparison of
various methods: (d) T1-weighted modality (e) T2-weighted modality (f) PD-weighted
modality47
Figure 3.3: Simulated T1 weighted MR image with Rician noise (a) Original image (b)
10% noisy image (c) BM3D (d) MF (e) AWF (f) NLM (g) Fuzzy filter (h) Proposed54
Figure 3.4: PSNR based comparison of various methods: (a) T1-weighted modality
(b) T2-weighted modality (c) PD-weighted modality and SSIM based comparison of
various methods: (d) T1-weighted modality (e) T2-weighted modality (f) PD-weighted
modality57
Figure 4.1: Simulated T1 weighted MR image (a) Noise free MR image (b) 15% noisy
MR image (c) Rayleigh (green), Rician (Blue) and Gaussian (Red) noise at different
SNR level in MRI63
Figure 4.2: Restoration of MRI for Gaussian and Rician noise distribution with
ODAAFD prior
Figure 4.3: Selection of prior term69
Figure 4.4: Simulated T1 weighted MR image with noise (a) Gaussian and Rician noise
corrupted MR image (b) Restored image with proposed method from Gaussian noise (c)
Restored image with proposed method from Rician noise74

Figure 4.5: PSNR based comparison of various methods: (a) T1-weighted modality
(b) T2-weighted modality (c) PD-weighted modality and RMSE based comparison of
various methods: (d) T1-weighted modality (e) T2-weighted modality (f) PD-weighted
modality
Figure 5.1: Restoration of MRI for different noise distribution with different priors86
Figure 5.2: Selection of prior terms
Figure 5.3: Simulated T1 weighted MR image with Rician noise (a) Original image (b)
10% noisy image (c) RLMMSE (d) RSNLMMSE (e) LMMSE (f) Proposed with TV (g)
Proposed with AD (h) Proposed with CD93
Figure 5.4: Simulated T1 weighted MR image with Gaussian and Rayleigh noise (a)
Gaussian noise corrupted MR image (b) Restored image with proposed method from
Gaussian noise corrupted MR image (c) Rayleigh noise corrupted MR image (d)
Restored image with proposed method from Rayleigh noise corrupted MR image94
Figure 5.5: SSIM based comparison of various methods: (a) T1-weighted modality (b)
T2-weighted modality (c) PD-weighted modality and MSE based comparison of various
methods: (d) T1-weighted modality (e) T2-weighted modality (f) PD-weighted
modality97