

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Share of aluminum and its alloys in various industries (Global demand for semi-finished aluminum products in 2016, by sector, 2018)	2
Figure 1.2 Production of various vehicle components by different manufacturing techniques (Drucker worldwide ‘Aluminum content in cars: summary report’, June 2016)	3
Figure 2.1 Block diagram of the experimental work	21
Figure 2.2 Impression die set-ups (a) dimension of the die impression, (b) schematic diagram, and (c) photograph of die set-ups and guiding arrangement	22
Figure 2.3 Converging die set-ups (a) dimension of the die, (b) schematic diagram and (c) photograph of die set-ups and guiding arrangement	23
Figure 2.4 Photograph of undeformed test samples for impression forging	25
Figure 2.5 Photograph of undeformed test samples for converging die forging	26
Figure 2.6 Photograph of the Pneumatic Power Hammer with (a) impression die set-ups, and (b) converging die set-ups	27
Figure 2.7 Schematic diagram of a forged billet in (a) impression die, (b) converging die, and (c) tensile specimen	29
Figure 3.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the as-cast alloy	33
Figure 3.2 EDAX analysis of the as-cast alloy	34
Figure 3.3 Optical microstructure of the as-cast alloy	35
Figure 3.4 Three regimes of silicon-intermetallic particles distribution with aluminum matrix during open die forging of the complex Al-Si alloy	35
Figure 3.5 Open die forged products under different working condition (a) room temperature, (b) 300°C	36

Figure 3.6 Photograph forged preform under interfacial frictional conditions with different aspect ratios (a) lubricated, and (b) unlubricated	38
Figure 3.7 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at lubricated and lubricated conditions	39
Figure 3.8 Photograph of impression die forged products under lubricated condition at elevated processing temperature (a) 300°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	41
Figure 3.9 Photograph of impression die forged products under unlubricated condition at elevated processing temperature (a) 300°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	42
Figure 3.10 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at different working temperatures under lubricated condition	43
Figure 3.11 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at different working temperatures under unlubricated condition	44
Figure 3.12 Variation of forging load with percentage reduction in height for different aspect ratios during impression die forging at 400°C under lubricated condition	44
Figure 3.13 Variation of forging load with percentage reduction in height for different aspect ratios during impression die forging at 400°C under unlubricated condition	45
Figure 3.14 Forging load plotted against percentage reduction in height for complete die closing under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	46
Figure 3.15 Three regimes of Si particles and intermetallic phase distribution with Al matrix during forging of complex hypereutectic Al-Si alloy through converging die	47
Figure 3.16 Photographs of forged products at various elevated working temperatures (a) 300°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	48
Figure 3.17 Forging load plotted against working temperatures for different reduction ratios	49
Figure 3.18 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 1.20 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	50

Figure 3.19 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 1.0 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	51
Figure 3.20 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 0.80 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	52
Figure 3.21 Optical micrographs of the forged billets (a) R=1.5, T=300°C, (b) R=2.0, T=300°C, (c) R=1.5, T=400°C, (d) R=2.0, T=400°C, (e) R=1.5, T=500°C, and (f) R=2.0, T=500°C	54
Figure 3.22 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (h/d=1.20)	57
Figure 3.23 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (h/d=1.00)	58
Figure 3.24 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (h/d=0.80)	58
Figure 3.25 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (R=1.5)	59
Figure 3.26 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (R=2.0)	59
Figure 3.27 Ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the forged samples shown against different h/d ratios and working temperatures (°C) under lubricated condition	60
Figure 3.28 Vicker's microhardness of the forged samples shown against different h/d ratios and forging temperatures (°C) under lubricated condition	62
Figure 3.29 Vicker's microhardness of the samples forged to different reduction ratios (R) of 1.5 and 2.0 at different forging temperatures	63
Figure 3.30 SEM image of the as-cast tensile fractured surface	64
Figure 3.31 SEM images of the fractured surface at 400°C working temperature for aspect ratios (a) 1.20 and (b) 0.80	65
Figure 3.32 SEM images of the fractured surface of the samples forged at 400°C and reduction ratios (a) R=1.50, and (b) R=2.0	65
Figure 3.33 Cumulative weight loss of the as-cast and forged samples (R=1.5) under different conditions	67

Figure 3.34 Cumulative weight loss of the as-cast and forged samples (R=2.0) under different conditions	68
Figure 3.35 Wear rate of the as-cast and forged samples at R=1.5 and 10, 20, 30 N normal loads	68
Figure 3.36 Wear rate of the as-cast and forged samples at R=2.0 and 10, 20, 30 N normal loads	69
Figure 3.37 Worn surface morphology of the as-cast and sample forged at 400°C, at constant applied normal load of 20 N, sliding velocity of 1.3 m /s for different sliding distances	70
Figure 3.38 Worn surface morphology of the as-cast and samples forged at 400°C, at constant sliding distance of 2260 m, sliding velocity of 1.3 m /s for different applied normal loads	71
Figure 3.39 SEM micrograph of the worn surface of the sample forged at R=2.0 and 400°C (a) at 30 N normal load and sliding distance 2260 m, and (b) corresponding EDS analysis	72
Figure 3.40 AFM morphology of worn surfaces at sliding distance of 2260 m, sliding velocity of 1.3, and applied normal load of 20 N for the different samples (a) as-cast, (b) forged R=1.5 at 400°C, and (c) forged R=2.0 at 400°C	75
Figure 4.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the as-cast alloy	80
Figure 4.2 EDAX analysis of the as-cast alloy	81
Figure 4.3 Optical microstructure of the as-cast alloy	82
Figure 4.4 Three regimes of silicon-intermetallic particles distribution with aluminum matrix during open die forging of the complex Al-Si alloy	83
Figure 4.5 Open die forged products under different working condition (a) room temperature, and (b) 300°C	84

Figure 4.6 Photograph cold forged preform under interfacial frictional conditions with different aspect ratios (a) lubricated, and (b) unlubricated	85
Figure 4.7 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at lubricated and lubricated conditions	86
Figure 4.8 Photograph of impression die forged products under lubricated condition at elevated processing temperature (a) 300°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	87
Figure 4.9 Photograph of impression die forged products under unlubricated condition at elevated processing temperature (a) 300°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	88
Figure 4.10 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at different working temperatures under lubricated condition	89
Figure 4.11 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at different working temperatures under unlubricated condition	89
Figure 4.12 Photographs of forged products at various elevated working temperatures (a) 300°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	90
Figure 4.13 Forging load plotted against working temperatures for different reduction ratios	91
Figure 4.14 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 1.20 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	93
Figure 4.15 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 1.0 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	94
Figure 4.16 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 0.80 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	95
Figure 4.17 Optical micrographs of the forged billets (a) R=1.5, T=300°C, (b) R=2.0, T=300°C, (c) R=1.5, T=400°C, (d) R=2.0, T=400°C, (e) R=1.5, T=500°C, and (f) R=2.0, T=500°C	96
Figure 4.18 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (h/d=1.20)	98

Figure 4.19 Ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the forged samples shown against different h/d ratios and working temperatures (°C) under lubricated condition	99
Figure 4.20 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (R=1.5)	99
Figure 4.21 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (R=2.0)	100
Figure 4.22 Vicker's microhardness of the forged samples shown against different h/d ratios and working temperatures (°C) under lubricated condition	102
Figure 4.23 Vicker's microhardness of the samples forged to different reduction ratios (R) of 1.5 and 2.0 at different working temperatures	102
Figure 4.24 SEM image of the as-cast tensile fractured surface	103
Figure 4.25 SEM images of the fractured surface at 400°C working temperature for aspect ratios (a) 1.20 and (b) 0.80	104
Figure 4.26 Cumulative weight loss of the as-cast and forged samples (R=1.5) under different conditions	106
Figure 4.27 Cumulative weight loss of the as-cast and forged samples (R=2.0) under different conditions	106
Figure 4.28 Wear rate of the as-cast and forged samples at R=1.5 and 10, 20, 30 N normal loads	107
Figure 4.29 Wear rate of the as-cast and forged samples at R=2.0 and 10, 20, 30 N normal loads	107
Figure 4.30 Worn surface morphology of the as-cast and sample forged at 400°C, at constant applied normal load of 20 N, sliding velocity of 1.3 m /s for different sliding distances	109
Figure 4.31 Worn surface morphology of the as-cast and samples forged at 400°C, at constant sliding distance of 2260 m, sliding velocity of 1.3 m /s for different applied normal loads	110

Figure 4.32 EDS analysis of the worn surface of the sample forged at R=2.0 and 400°C at 30 N normal load and sliding distance 2260 m	111
Figure 4.33 AFM morphology of worn surfaces at sliding distance of 2260 m, sliding velocity of 1.3, and applied normal load of 20 N for the different samples (a) as-cast, (b) forged R=1.5 at 400°C, and (c) forged R=2.0 at 400°C	114
Figure 5.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the as-cast alloy	118
Figure 5.2 EDS analysis of the as-cast alloy	119
Figure 5.3 Micrograph of the cast A356 aluminum alloy (a) optical, and (b) SEM	120
Figure 5.4 Three regimes of silicon-intermetallic particles distribution with aluminum matrix during open die forging of the complex Al-Si alloy	121
Figure 5.5 Open die forged products under different working condition (a) room temperature, (b) 300°C	122
Figure 5.6 Photograph cold forged preform under interfacial frictional conditions with different aspect ratios (a) lubricated, and (b) unlubricated	123
Figure 5.7 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at lubricated and unlubricated conditions	124
Figure 5.8 Photograph of impression die forged products under lubricated condition at elevated processing temperature (a) 400°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	125
Figure 5.9 Photograph of impression die forged products under unlubricated condition at elevated processing temperature (a) 400°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	126
Figure 5.10 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at different working temperatures under lubricated condition	127
Figure 5.11 Variation of forging load with aspect ratio (h/d) at different working temperatures under unlubricated condition	127
Figure 5.12 Photographs of forged products at various elevated working temperatures (a) 300°C, (b) 400°C, and (c) 500°C	128

Figure 5.13 Forging load plotted against working temperatures for different reduction ratios	129
Figure 5.14 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 1.20 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	130
Figure 5.15 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 1.0 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	131
Figure 5.16 Optical microstructure of the forged samples at aspect ratio 0.80 under lubricated and unlubricated conditions	132
Figure 5.17 Optical micrographs of the forged billets (a) R=1.5, T=300°C, (b) R=2.0, T=300°C, (c) R=1.5, T=400°C, (d) R=2.0, T=400°C, (e) R=1.5, T=500°C, and (f) R=2.0, T=500°C	133
Figure 5.18 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (h/d=1.20)	136
Figure 5.19 Ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the forged samples shown against different h/d ratios and working temperatures (°C) under lubricated condition	137
Figure 5.20 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (R=1.5)	137
Figure 5.21 Stress-strain diagrams of the as-cast and forged samples (R=2.0)	138
Figure 5.22 Vicker's microhardness of the forged samples shown against different h/d ratios and working temperatures (°C)	140
Figure 5.23 Vicker's microhardness of the samples forged to different reduction ratios (R) of 1.5 and 2.0 at different working temperatures	141
Figure 5.24 SEM image of the as-cast tensile fractured surface	142
Figure 5.25 SEM images of the fractured surface of the samples forged at 400°C under (a) aspect ratio h/d=1.20, and (b) reduction ratio R=2.0	143
Figure 5.26 Cumulative weight loss of the as-cast and forged samples (R=1.5) under different conditions	144

Figure 5.27 Cumulative weight loss of the as-cast and forged samples (R=2.0) under different conditions	145
Figure 5.28 Wear rate of the as-cast and forged samples at R=1.5 and 10, 20, 30 N normal loads	146
Figure 5.29 Wear rate of the as-cast and forged samples at R=2.0 and 10, 20, 30 N normal loads	146
Figure 5.30 Worn surface morphology of the as-cast and sample forged at 400°C, at constant applied normal load of 20 N, sliding velocity of 1.3 m /s for different sliding distances	148
Figure 5.31 Worn surface morphology of the as-cast and samples forged at 400°C, at constant sliding distance of 2260 m, sliding velocity of 1.3 m /s for different applied normal loads	149
Figure 5.32 EDS analysis of the worn surface of the as-cast alloy at 30 N normal load and sliding distance 2260 m	152
Figure 5.33 EDS analysis of the worn surface of the sample forged at R=2.0 and 400°C at 30 N normal load and sliding distance 2260 m	152
Figure 5.34 AFM morphology of worn surfaces at sliding distance of 2260 m, sliding velocity of 1.3, and applied normal load of 20 N for the different samples (a) as-cast, (b) forged R=1.5 at 400°C, and (c) forged R=2.0 at 400°C	153
Figure 6.1 Ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the forged Alloy A, B and C through impression die at different aspect ratios (h/d) and working temperatures (°C)	162
Figure 6.2 Ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the forged Alloy A, B and C through converging die at different reduction (R) ratios and working temperatures (°C)	162
Figure 6.3 Figure 6.2(a) Hardness of the forged Alloy A, B and C through impression die at different aspect ratios (h/d) and working temperatures (°C)	163

Figure 6.4 Hardness of the forged Alloy A, B and C converging die at different reduction (R) ratios and working temperatures (°C)	163
Figure 6.5 Wear rate of the as-cast and forged Alloy A, B and C at R=1.5 and 10, 20, 30 N normal loads	164
Figure 6.6 Wear rate of the as-cast and forged Alloy A, B and C at R=2.0 and 10, 20, 30 N normal loads	165