LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Figure Caption	Page No.
Figure 1	Types of the pesticides used in agricultural field	6
Figure 2	Soil incubation in Laboratory	30
Figure 3 (a)	Nutrient agar plating	31
Figure 3(b)	MSM agar and pesticide plating	31
Figure 4	Cubes of PUF used as a packing media	32
Figure 5	Pieces of Loofa sponge	33
Figure 6	Biochemical identification test kit	35
Figure 7(a)	Schematics of packed bed bioreactor system	41
Figure 7(b)	Laboratory experimental setup of packed bed bioreactor system	42
Figure 8	Gel images of 2D gel electrophoresis	44
Figure 9	Schematics of two stage integrated aerobic treatment plant	48
Figure 10	Schematic diagram of coupling method UV-Fenton-Bio-reactor in series	53
Figure 11	Circos visualization for <i>Bacillus</i> sp. S1, <i>Bacillus</i> sp. S2 and <i>Bacillus</i> sp. S4	59
Figure 12	S4 Average degradation rate (per day) of malathion by different isolated <i>Bacillus</i> species	60
Figure 13	Removal of malathion by <i>Bacillus</i> species in free and batch bioreactor	61
Figure 14	system SEM images of (a) Loofa (b) <i>Bacillus</i> sp. S4 immobilized on loofa (c) Biofilm formed by Bacillus sp. S4 on loofa	62
Figure 15	SEM images of <i>Bacillus Sp</i> .S ₄ immobilized on PUF (a) PUF before immobilization (0 days) (b) immobilization after startup time (15 th)	64
Figure 16	day) of the packed bed bioreactor Effect of flow rate and inlet feed rate on degradation efficiency and elimination capacity for the performance of continuous PBBR	66
Figure 17	Correlation of proposed operating zone: Comparison among mass transfer controlling and bio-reaction controlling for the continuous PBBR	68

Figure 18	FT-IR results of (a) control malathion sample and (b) after treatment	69
Figure 19	GC/MS analysis of (a) Malathion and metabolites (b) Malathion monocarboxylic acid, (c) Phosphorodithionicacid,O,O,S-trimethylester (d) Succinic acid.	71
Figure 20(a)	Monod model growth kinetics for malathion biodegradation	72
Figure 20(b)	Inhibition kinetics by Andrew-Haldane model for malathion biodegradation	74
Figure 21	Proposed degradation pathway for malathion degradation	76
Figure 22	Protein spot expressions of gel images	77
Figure 23	Phylogenic analysis and functional details(PFAM no) of identified characterized protein with similar or closely related reference species	82
Figure.24	3D Structure of hypothetical protein (NP_390682.1) by Discovery Studio and Swiss Model and malathion drug used for molecular docking	85
Figure 25	The 3D structures of identified protein	85
Figure 26	Shows (a) protein (NP_390682.1) docking with malathion and (b) major active sites of dock protein with malathion (c) 2D-residual interaction with malathion	88
Figure 27	Performance of IATP evaluated using operating parameter such as pH, DO, Redox and BOD ₅ /COD.	90
Figure 28	Overall Performance of Two Stage Integrated Aerobic Treatment Plant during the treatment of synthetic wastewater	93
Figure 29	HPLC analysis result of mixtures of pesticide before treatment	96
Figure 30	HPLC analysis result of mixtures of pesticide after the treatment	97
Figure 31	GC-MS analysis of effluent from Reactor-2 after the treatment of 30 th days	98
Figure 32	Kinetic study for (a) estimation of first order rate constant (K_{obs}) and(b) Cell Yield ($Y_{X/C}$) and Decay Coefficients (K_{dp}).	102
Figure 33	Parameter optimization in UV-Fenton process	104
Figure 34	Parameter optimization in packed bed bioreactor	105
Figure 35	Three stage coupling method for the removal of Atrazine	107
Figure 36	HPLC analysis of control (a) Atrazine and (b) effluent sample after treatment	108
Figure 37	GC-MS analysis of control (a) Atrazine and effluent sample after treatment shows intermediates (b) Biuret and (b) Urea	109