List of Figures

Fig. No.	Figure Caption	Page No.
Fig. 1.1:	Hysteresis loop for ferroelectric material (Polla, 1998).	04
Fig. 1.2:	M-H hysteresis loop of a ferromagnetic material.	06
Fig. 1.3:	Different type of antiferromagnetic ordering [drawn using convention of Wollen et al. (1955)].	07
Fig. 1.4:	Temperature dependence of magnetization (M) and the inverse of the magnetic susceptibility (χ^{-1}) for (a) ferromagnetic and (b) antiferromagnetic material (schematic). AF = antiferromagnetic and P = paramagnetic [after Cullity (1972)].	08
Fig. 1.5:	The coefficient of RKKY versus the inter-atomic distance r [after Honer (2005)]	10
Fig. 1.6:	Double exchange interaction [after Zener et al. (1951)].	11
Fig. 1.7:	Effect of asymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (D-M) interaction [after Cheong et al. (2007)].	13
Fig. 1.8:	Crystal structure of bulk $BiFeO_3$ at room temperature. Two simple perovskite unit cell are shown to illustrate that the successive oxygen octahedral along the polar [111] axis rotate with opposite sense. Arrows on Fe atoms indicate the orientation of the magnetic moments in the (111) plane [after Lubk et al. (2009)].	19
Fig. 1.9:	Ferroelectric hysteresis loop measured at 15 kHz for thin film grown on (100) oriented substrat $SrTiO_3$ [after Wang et al. (2003)].	20
Fig. 1.10:	$BiFeO_3$ lattice with bismuth (large circles), iron (large circles with arrow) and oxygen ions (small circles) are shown in hexagonal settings [after Park et al. (2011)]. The arrow at the Fe sites indicates the magnetic moments. The magnetic cell (dashed lines) is shown for a G-type antiferromagnetic structure. The propagation wave vector of the incommensurate spiral spin structure k is along the [110]h direction and lies in the plane of spin rotation (1-10)h.	21
Fig. 1.11:	The propagation vector is along the direction [110] and the plane of spin-rotation is (1-10) [Lebeugle et al. (2007)].	22
Fig. 1.12:	Magnetization versus applied magnetic field curve of the powder sample measured at 300K [after Lebeugle et al. (2007)].	22

Fig. 1.13: Magnetization vs magnetic-field at 300K for different size of $BiFeO_3$ thin films. The inset depicts that the linear part which arising from antiferromagnetic contribution [Rana et al.(2007)]. 25

Fig. 1.14: (a) Longitudinal polarization verses the strength of the magnetic field at 10 K [Popov et al. (1993)]. (b) Magnetization vs pulsed magnetic field for H ≤25T for a $BiFeO_3$ at 10 K [after Catalan et al. (2009)]. 26

Fig. 1.15: M-H curves of $La_xBi_{1-x}FeO_3$ (x=0, 0.05, 0.2, and 0.4) at (a) 300K and at (b) 5K [after Suresh et al. (2007)]. 27

Fig. 1.16: Hysteresis loops for as prepared $(BiFeO_3)_x$-$(BaTiO_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions with indicated x values at room temperature[Park et al. (2010)]. 29

Fig. 1.17: Variation of remnant magnetization (Mr) of BF-xBT as a function of x at room temperature [Singh et al. (2014)]. 29

Fig. 1.18: Hysteresis loops at 300 K for $BiFeO_3$ nanoparticles with indicated sizes. The inset shows the magnetization behavior of as-prepared $BiFeO_3$ nanoparticles at 50 kOe as a function of size [Park et al. (2007)] 30

Fig. 1.19: Variation of lattice parameters with composition in BF-xPT system as reported by different workers.(a) Smith et al. (1968) (b) Zhu et al. (2008) (c) Correas et al. (2011). 33

Fig. 1.20: Magnetic phase diagram of BF-xPT as reported by Zhu et al [after Zhu et al (2008)]. 35

Fig. 1.21: The variation of magnetization as a function of temperature for (a) rhombohedral (b) tetragonal phases of BF-xPT, as reported by Zhu et al. [Zhu et al (2008)]. 35

Fig. 1.22: Powder neutron diffraction pattern of (a) BF-0.25PT and (b) BF-0.31PT in the paramagnetic (upper panel) and magnetic (lower panel) phases. (c) The magnetic phase diagram of BF-xPT in the vicinity of the MPB [Bhattacharjee et al. (2010)]. 36

Fig. 1.23: Temperature dependence of dc magnetization (M) of BF-xPT for (a) x = 0.25 and (b) x = 0.27 showing two transitions at T_N and T_{OPT} (C) the F and G components of the two magnetic structures of BF-0.25PT above and below T_{OPT}. [after Bhattacharjee et al.(2013)] 36

Fig. 1.24: Field cooled-zero field cooled (FC-ZFC) magnetization data for BF–xPT (=0.2,0.3) samples[after Katoch et al. (2016)]. 37

Fig. 1.25: (a) Variation of lattice parameters and cell volume of (a) $0.7PbTiO_3$–$0.3BiFeO_3$ and (b) $0.4PbTiO_3$–$0.6BiFeO_3$ [Chen et al. 38

(2006)].

Fig. 1.26: Variation of lattice parameters with temperature [Ranjan et al (2010)]. 39

Fig. 1.27: Variation of the lattice parameter, cell volume and volume fraction of T1 (tetragonal), T2 (tetragonal) and R (rhombohedral) phases obtained from Rietveld refinement [Kothai et al. (2013)]. 39

Fig. 2.1: Flow chart for the preparation of (1-x) BF-xPT samples using Sol-gel method. 45

Fig. 2.2: Differential thermal analysis and thermogravimetric analysis curve of dried gel powder of BF-xPT sample for x=0.50. 46

Fig. 2.3: X-ray diffraction pattern for BF-0.5PT samples using different chelating agent. 47

Fig. 2.4: X-ray diffraction pattern for BF-0.5PT calcined at different temperatures. 48

Fig. 2.5: X-ray diffraction pattern for BF -xPT (x=0.2 to 0.5) calcined at 550℃. 50

Fig. 2.6: Room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of BF-0.5PT calcined at 550℃ and sintered at different temperatures. 52

Fig. 2.7: Room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of BF0.40PT calcined at 550℃ and sintered at different temperatures. 53

Fig. 2.8: Room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of BF0.35PT calcined at 550℃ and sintered at different temperatures. 54

Fig. 2.9: Room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of BF0.25PT for optimization of sintering temperature. 56

Fig. 2.10: Room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of BF0.25PT sintered at different temperatures. 57

Fig. 2.11: Room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of BF0.20PT sintered at different temperatures. 58

Fig. 2.12: Room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of BF0.30PT sintered at different temperatures. 59

Fig. 2.13: Scanning electron micrographs and EDX spectra of BF -0.5PT powder sintered at (a) 550℃ (b) 750℃ (c) 850℃ (d) 950℃ (e) 1050℃. 61

Fig. 2.14: Scanning electron micrographs and EDX spectra of BF -0.4PT powder sintered at (a) 550℃ (b) 850℃ (c) 950℃ (d) 1050℃. 63

Fig. 2.15:	Scanning electron micrographs and EDX spectra of BF -0.35PT powder sintered at (a) 550℃ (b) 850℃ (c) 950℃ (d) 1050℃.	64
Fig. 2.16:	Scanning electron micrographs and EDX spectra of BF-0.30PT powder sintered at (a) 700℃ (b) 800℃ (c) 900℃ (d) 1000℃.	66
Fig. 2.17:	Scanning electron micrographs and EDX spectra of BF -0.25PT powder sintered at (a) 700℃ (b) 800℃ (c) 900℃ (d) 1000℃.	67
Fig. 2.18:	Scanning electron micrographs and EDX spectra of BF-0.20PT powder sintered at (a) 700℃ (b) 800℃ (c) 900℃ (d) 1000℃.	69
Fig. 2.19:	Scanning electron micrographs and EDX spectra of (a) BF-0.20PT, (b) BF-0.25PT and (c) BF-0.30PT powder calcined at 550℃.	70
Fig. 3.1:	Rietveld fit of synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction pattern of BF-0.50PT powder of 18 nm particle size using different structural models.	80
Fig. 3.2:	Synchrotron High resolution x-ray diffraction pattern of BF-0.5PT powder with varying sizes.	82
Fig. 3.3:	(a) Variation of lattice parameters and unit cell volume (inset) of tetragonal (T1and T2) with size obtained from Rietveld refinement (b) Variation of tetragonality and (c) phase fraction of tetragonal phase (T1and T2) with size.	84
Fig. 3.4:	Rietveld refinement profile for 200, 220, 222 and 400 psuedocubic reflections using Ic space group for BF-0.25PT.	87
Fig. 3.5:	Rietveld refinement profile for 200, 220, 221 and 311 psuedocubic reflections using R3c and Cc space group for (a) 2 μm (b) 150 nm of BF-0.25PT.	89
Fig. 3.6:	Comparison of Rietveld refinement profile for four different structural models for BF-0.25PT.	91
Fig. 3.7:	Room temperature x -ray powder diffraction profile of uncrushed and crushed powder of BF -0.20PT calcined at 550℃.	93
Fig. 3.8:	(a) Rietveld refinement profile of calcined BF-0.20PT powder (b) synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction pattern of bulk BF-0.20PT.	94
Fig. 3.9:	(a) Rietveld refinement profile of calcined BF-0.25PT powder (b) synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction pattern of bulk BF-0.25PT.	94
Fig. 3.10:	(a) Rietveld refinement profile of calcined BF-0.30PT powder (b) synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction pattern of bulk BF-0.30PT.	95

Fig. 3.11:	(a) Rietveld refinement profile of calcined BF-0.30PT powder (b) synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction pattern of bulk BF-0.30PT.	96
Fig. 3.12:	(a) Rietveld refinement profile of calcined BF-0.40PT powder (b) synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction pattern of bulk BF-0.40PT.	97
Fig. 3.13:	Variation of lattice parameters with composition for calcined powder of BF-x PT (x= 0.2 to 0.5) solid solution.	99
Fig. 3.14:	Variation of phase fraction of tetragonal phase with composition for calcined powder of BF-x PT (x= 0.2 to 0.5) solid solution.	101
Fig. 3.15:	Variation of tetragonality (c/a-1) with composition calcined at 550℃.	101
Fig. 4.1:	The temperature dependence of the FC and ZFC magnetizations of BF-0.5PT: (a–d) 120, 45, 31 and 18 nm size powders under magnetic field of 5000 Oe, The insets of (a to d) depict magnified plot near T_N.	108
Fig. 4.2:	M-H plots for (a)18, 31, 45,120 nm size powders at 300K, (b) 18 nm particles at 300 and 5K and (c) 18, 31, 45, 120 nm size powders at 5K. Insets of (b) and (c) compare exchange bias at 5K and 300K for 18 nm, and at 5K for 120 and 31 nm powders.	109
Fig. 4.3:	The field-dependent magnetization hysteresis (M-H) loops of BF-0.5PT of size 18nm. Inset shows the shifting of curve with fields.	111
Fig. 4.4:	Temperature dependent powder neutron diffraction profile of bulk and nano BF-0.5PT samples. Corresponding temperatures are shown in figures.	112
Fig. 4.5:	(a) Variation in the integrated intensity of the magnetic peak with temperature for bulk and nano size powders. Dots represent measured data points, while the continuous line corresponds to the least-squares fitted Lorentzian(s). (b) Deconvolution of the magnetic peak of 18 nm size powder showing two different magnetic correlation lengths (ξ).	113
Fig. 4.6:	Fe-O-Fe exchange pathways (a) Bulk (b) 18 nm of $BiFeO_3$-$0.50PbTiO_3$.	115
Fig. 4.7:	Observed (dotted), calculated (continuous line) and difference profiles (bottom line) obtained from Rietveld refinement of BF-0.5PT using P4mm space group. The inset shows the magnetic peak of nano powder at temperature of 4K and 300K.	116
Fig. 4.8:	Temperature dependent variation of unit cell volume obtained by powder neutron diffraction profile of 18nm BF-0.5PT samples.	118

Fig. 4.9:	Temperature dependence of ordered magnetic moment (half filled circle) obtained from the Rietveld refinement of neutron powder diffraction data fitted curve with $\mu(T) = \mu_0(1-T/T_N)^{\beta}$.	119
Fig. 5.1:	Rietveld refinement profile for different structural models (a) Cc (b) Cc+Cc (c) Cc+R3c (d) Cc+P4mm and (e) R3c+P4mm of neutron powder diffraction pattern taken at 750K for 150nm size BF-0.25PT.	127
Fig. 5.2:	Temperature dependence of field heating (FH) magnetization (M) for (a) 2μm (b) 500 nm (c) 300 nm and (d)150 nm BF-0.25PT sample.	129
Fig. 5.3:	(a) Temperature dependent neutron profile of BF-0.25PT in the low 2θ region (b) Integrated intensity of first magnetic peak of sample BF-0.25PT of size 150nm.	131
Fig. 5.4:	Rietveld refinement of the magnetic structure using the neutron powder diffraction data at a temperature 450K using all the possible representations.	133
Fig. 5.5:	Rietveld refinement of the magnetic structure using the neutron powder diffraction data at a temperature 300K using all the possible representations.	135
Fig. 5.6:	Magnetic moment (filled circles) obtained from the Rietveld refinements using the neutron powder diffraction data along with the fitted curve for $\mu = \mu_0 [(T_N - T)/T_N]^{\beta}$.	136
Fig. 5.7:	(a) The Fe-O bond lengths for the octahedral coordination in monoclinic BF-0.25PT and rhombohedral $BiFeO_3$. (b) Comparison of asymmetry of bond lengths for BF-0.25PT and $BiFeO_3$.	138
Fig. 5.8:	Variation of Neel temperature and percentage anisotropy with particle size of BF-0.25PT, highlighting their correlations.	140
Fig. 5.8:	Variation of Neel temperature and percentage anisotropy with particle size of BF-0.25PT, highlighting their correlations.	140
Fig. 5.9:	Fe-O-Fe exchange pathways (a) tetragonal $BiFeO_3$-0.50$PbTiO_3$, (b) monoclinic $BiFeO_3$-0.25$PbTiO_3$ and (c) rhombohedral $BiFeO_3$.	142
Fig. 6.1:	The temperature dependence, FH and FC curves of BF-0.25PT (a) 300 nm (b) bulk powder under magnetic field of 500 Oe.	149
Fig. 6.2:	M-H curve for bulk and 300 nm powder at different temperatures.	151
Fig. 6.3:	Arrott plots of isotherms at different temperatures around T_N for (a) 300 nm and (b) bulk powder.	152
Fig. 6.4:	Temperature variation in inverse dc susceptibility (χ_{dc}^{-1}) measured	153

in 100 Oe field are plotted for (a) 300 nm (b) bulk powder of BF-0.25PT.

Fig. 6.5: Temperature variation in inverse dc susceptibility (χ_{dc}^{-1}) measured in 0.1, 0.5, 1 and 5kOe field are plotted for (a) 300 nm (b) bulk powder of BF-0.25PT. 154

Fig. 6.6: Temperature variation with inverse dc susceptibility (χ_{dc}^{-1}) measured in 500 Oe field are plotted for (a) 300 nm (b) bulk powder of BF-0.25PT. 155

Fig. 7.1: Evolution of SXRD profile of (100)pc,(110)pc and (200)pc reflections of 31 nm BF-0.50PT with temperature showing structural phase transition. 162

Fig. 7.2: Evolution of SXRD profile of (100)pc,(110)pc and (200)pc reflections of 45 nm BF-0.50PT with temperature showing structural phase transition. 163

Fig. 7.3: Evolution of SXRD profile of (100)pc,(110)pc and (200)pc reflections of bulk BF-0.50PT with temperature showing structural phase transition. 164

Fig. 7.4: LeBail refinement plot of three different best possible structural models at 300K, 800K and 1000K. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile correspond to the positions of the Braggs reflections. 166

Fig. 7.5: LeBail refinement plot of three different best possible structural models at 300K, 925K and 1000K. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile correspond to the positions of the Braggs reflections. 167

Fig. 7.6: LeBail refinement plot of three different best possible structural models at 600K, 800K and 1000 K. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile correspond to the positions of the Braggs reflections. 168

Fig. 7.7: The variation of FWHM of the (200) pc reflection of 31 nm size of BF-0.5PT powder with temperature. 169

Fig. 7.8: The variation of FWHM of the (200) pc reflection of 45 nm size of BF-0.5PT powder with temperature. 170

Fig. 7.9: The variation of FWHM of the (200) pc reflection of 45 nm size of BF-0.5PT powder with temperature. 170

Fig. 7.10: The variation of lattice parameters of tetragonal (T1 and T2) and cubic (C) phases with temperature obtained from LeBail refinement of 31 nm BF-0.5PT powder. 171

Fig. 7.11: The variation of lattice parameters of tetragonal (T1 and T2) and cubic (C) phases with temperature obtained from LeBail refinement of 45 nm BF-0.5PT powder. 172

Fig. 7.12: The variation of lattice parameters of tetragonal (T1 and T2) and cubic (C) phases with temperature obtained from LeBail refinement of bulk BF-0.5PT powder. 172