List of Figures

1.1 Deposits of bauxite ore (a) world and (b) India. 5
1.2 Red mud generation in India- present scenario. 6
1.3 General framework molecular arrangement in geopolymer process. . . 12
1.4 Overview of some patents of metals recovery from red mud 13

2.1 Location of HINDALCO alumina plant 33
2.2 Grain size distribution of red mud. 34
2.3 Variation of pH of red mud with lime 35
2.4 Schematic representation of central composite experimental designs . 40
2.5 Schematic diagram of Box-Behnken experimental design 41
2.6 Volume-moisture content relationship 43
2.7 Universal hydraulic sample extruder 46
2.8 Automatic unconfined compression soil testing machine setup 47
2.9 Split tensile mold assembly 48
2.10 Scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy detector setup 49
2.11 Atomic absorption spectrophotometer setup 51
2.12 Biological model of a neuron 52
2.13 Basic artificial neural network architecture 53
2.14 Mathematical model of neuron 54
2.15 Different transfer function (a) stepped (b) linear (c) logistic sigmoid and (d) hyperbolic tangent sigmoid 58
2.16 Taylor's plot 63

3.1 Compaction curves of red mud, red mud-lime and molding points . . 66
3.2 Influence of lime content and dry unit weight on q_u of red mud at varying curing time (a) 7 days, (b) 28 days, and (c) 60 days 69
3.3 Variation of (q_u) of red mud –lime mix with (a) curing time and (b) molding moisture content 70
3.4 SEM images ($10kX$) of (a) red mud, (b) lime, (c) red mud + 3 % lime at density = 14 kN/m^3, (d) red mud + 3 % lime at density = 15.5 kN/m^3 (e) red mud + 9 % lime at density =14 kN/m^3, and (f) red mud + 9 % lime at density =15.5 kN/m^3 71

3.5 EDX of (a) red mud, (b) lime, (c) red mud + 3 % lime at density = 14 kN/m^3, and (d) red mud + 9 % lime at density = 14 kN/m^3 . . 72
3.6 Variation of Ca/Si, and Si/Al ratio of red mud with binders 73
3.7 Variation of unconfined compressive strength (q_u) with water/lime ratio (w/L). 75
3.8 Variation of unconfined compressive strength (q_u) with adjusted water/lime ratio (w/L) (a) 7 days, (b) 28 days, and (c) 60 days 76
3.9 Variation of $(q_u/(w/L)^{0.60})$ with (γ_d) for curing time (a) 7 days, (b) 28 days and (c) 60 days 78
3.10 unique correlations linking q_u, w, L, γ_d and t 79
3.11 Effect of porosity/volumetric lime content ratio (η/L_v) on unconfined compressive strength (q_u) of red mud –lime mix with varying curing periods (a) 7 days, (b) 28 days and (c) 60 days 80
3.12 Variation of η with q_u with varying curing periods (a) 7 days, (b) 28 days and (c) 60 days 81
3.13 Variation of inverse of L_v with q_u with varying curing periods (a) 7 days, (b) 28 days and (c) 60 days 82
3.14 Variation of adjusted adjusted porosity/volumetric lime content ratio ($\eta/L_v^{0.11}$) on unconfined compressive strength (q_u) with varying moisture content (a) 26 %, (b) 28 % and (c) 30 % 84
3.15 q_u vs $[\eta/L_v^{0.11}]^{-4.47}$ plots for different moisture content (a) 26 %, (b) 28 %, and (c) 30 % 85
3.16 $[\eta/L_v^{0.11}]^{-4.47}$ vs. $[t]^{0.29}$ plots for different curing time and different moisture content 87
3.17 Relationship linking q_u, η, L_v, t and w 88
3.18 Schematic diagram of various design of experiment (a) Inscribed central composite (ICC), (b) Circumscribed central composite (CCC), (c) Faced central composite (FCC) and (d)Box-Behnken (BB) design 89
3.19 Scatter plot between computed and predicted values of unconfined compressive strength of stabilized red mud. 96
3.20 Scaled percent error (SPE) vs.commulative frequency plot between computed and predicted values of q_u of stabilized red mud. 97
3.21 Taylor plot between computed and predicted q_u of stabilized red mud. 98
3.22 The 3D Response Surface Plots of the variation of the loss of mass of the Mix with (a)w/L and N and (b) γ_d and t 105
3.23 The 3D Response Surface Plots of the variation of the loss of mass of the Mix with (a) $\eta/L_v{}^{0.11}$ and N and (b) t and w 106
3.24 Scatter plot between computed and predicted loss of mass 107
3.25 Scaled percent error vs cumulative frequency plot between computed and predicted values of loss of mass 107

4.1 Scatter plot between measured and predicted values of unconfined compressive strength (q_u) based on conventional designed ANN model 117

4.2 Scatter plot between measured and predicted values of unconfined compressive strength (q_u) based on model (a) central composite design and(b) Box-Behnken design ANN model 119
4.3 Variation of predicted vs. measured unconfined compressive strength for stabilized red mud based on conventional, central composite and Box-Behnken designed ANN models 120
4.4 Neural interpretation diagrams based on (a) Conventional (b) Central composite and (c) Box-Behnken designed ANN model 124
4.5 Relative contribution of input parameters on response based on (a) Conventional (b) Central composite and (c) Box-Behnken designed ANN model 125

5.1 Fabricated brick mould along with assembly (a) front and(b) top view 132
5.2 Prepared brick (a) top and(b) front view 133
5.3 Failed brick (a) side and(b) front view 134
5.4 Flow chart of the sequence of construction and quality control for stabilized red mud for pavement applications (images are for representation purposes only) 136