List of Figures

2.1 Illustration of the working of active and passive sensor nodes in WSNs. . . 12

2.2 Components of a sensor node in WSNs. 13

2.3 Sponsored coverage for redundancy check Tian and Georganas (2002). . . . 28

2.4 Perimeter coverage for redundancy check. 37

3.1 Illustration of a Target Tracking System (TTS). 47

3.2 Regular directional sensor node placement patterns in the FoI. 52

3.3 Illustration of a directional sensor node. 53

3.4 Regular sensor node placement patterns. 57

3.5 Illustration of Scenarios of 1-target tracking and 2-target tracking. 58

3.6 Tracking regions and sensor nodes in a square pattern. 59

3.7 Regular patterns with the FoI. 65

3.8 Impact of k-target tracking on number of sensor nodes, where k=$\{1,2,3,4\}$. 70

3.9 Relationship between k-target tracking and tracking range. 70

3.10 Impact of area of the FoI on number of directional sensor nodes for different values of k-target tracking. 71

3.11 Impact of area of the FoI on number of directional sensor nodes for different values of tracking range. 72

3.12 Experiment setup at IIT (BHU) Varanasi. 73

3.13 Placement of sensor nodes in Computer lab. 74

3.14 Placement of sensor nodes in corridor. 75

3.15 Placement of sensor nodes along the road. 75

4.1 Illustration of a fuzzy logic with features. 83
4.2 Illustration of the components of a Fuzzy Logic System (FLS). 84
4.3 A directional sensor node with its characteristics. 86
4.4 Regular directional node placement patterns in the FoI. 88
4.5 An example of the route selection technique. 92
4.6 Illustration of three inputs *i.e.,* hop count, neighbor energy consumption, and transmission energy to fuzzy system and its corresponding output. . . 93
4.7 Illustration of three inputs *i.e.,* power consumption, quality of tracking, and stability of path to fuzzy system and its corresponding output. 95
4.8 Devices used in MTS. 100
4.9 Illustration of MTS. 101
4.10 Experiment setup at IIT (BHU) Varanasi. 103
4.11 Relationship between network lifetime and traffic load. 107
4.12 Comparison of network lifetime by proposed work with existing works. . . 107
4.13 Relationship between time instances and energy consumption. 109
4.14 Comparison of energy consumption of the proposed work with existing work. 110
4.15 Relationship between time instances and standard deviation of the residual energy. 111
4.16 Comparison of standard deviation of the residual energy of the proposed work with existing works. 112

5.1 Illustration of random way point starting from coordinate (133,180). . . . 119
5.2 Relationship between the pause time (in percentage) and ratio of energy consumption (residual energy/initial energy). 122