

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Schematic representation of general ferroelectric materials landscape.	2
<b>Figure 1.2</b>	Schematic diagram of a ferroelectric hysteresis loop for (a) Single domain (b) Poly-domain sample [Jona et al. (1962)]	8
<b>Figure 1.3</b>	Types of Polarizations (a) electronic, (b) ionic, (c) dipole (d) interfacial [Dielectric Terminology Glossary (2016)]	12
<b>Figure 1.4</b>	Schematic diagram of BaTiO <sub>3</sub> perovskite structure [Popovici et al. (2011)]	16
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	A schematic flow chart of sample preparation and characterization	34
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	Schematic representation of reflection and transmission signals in SEM and TEM modes [West (2014)].	40
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	Principle of scanning electron microscope (SEM) [West (2014)].	41
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	Schematic diagram of complex impedance measurement [Novocontrol Manual (2016)].	42
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	Schematic diagram of a Sawyer-Tower circuit for P-E loop measurement [Stewart et al. (2014)].	44
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	(a) A parallel RC equivalent circuit model, (b) Normalized plot of $Z''/R$ vs. $Z'/R$ , (c) Normalized plot of $M''/(C_0/C)$ vs. $M'/(C_0/C)$ for parallel RC circuit model.	53
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	(a) Equivalent circuit model containing a parallel combination of resistance R and CPE (b) Plot of $Z''/R$ vs $Z'/R$ for various values of $\theta$ , where $\theta$ is the angle between the $Z'$ axis and the line joining the center of the arc to the origin. The centres for the arcs for $\theta = 10, 20, 30$ and $40^\circ$ in the figure are at (0.5,-0.009), (0.5,-0.182), (0.5,-0.289), (0.5,-0.419) respectively, (c) Plot of $Y''/(1/R)$ vs. $Y'/(1/R)$ (d) Plot of $M''/(C_0/A_0)$ vs. $M'/(C_0/A_0)$ and (e) Plot of $\epsilon''/(A_0/C_0)$ vs $\epsilon'/(A_0/C_0)$ . Values used for calculations are $R=5\text{ K}\Omega$ , $A_0=6.014*10^{-6}$ and $C_0=1.6*10^{-12}\text{ F}$ .	60

- Figure 4.3** (a) Equivalent Circuit Model containing a series combination of resistance  $R$  and CPE. (b)  $Z''/R$  vs.  $Z'/R$  plots (c)  $Y''/R$  vs.  $Y'/R$  plots (d)  $M''/(C_0/A_0)$  vs.  $M'/(C_0/A_0)$  plots and (e)  $\varepsilon''/(A_0/C_0)$  vs.  $\varepsilon'/(A_0/C_0)$  plots for various values of parameters. Values used for calculations are  $R=5 \text{ K}\Omega$ ,  $A_0=6.014*10^{-6}$  and  $C_0=1.6*10^{-12}\text{F}$ . 62
- Figure 4.4** (a) Equivalent circuit model containing series combination of parallel  $R_1 - \text{CPE}_1$  and parallel  $R_2 - \text{CPE}_2$ . (b-d) Plot of  $Z''/R$  vs.  $Z'/R$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $\tau_2/\tau_1$ ,  $k$  ( $\theta_2=k\theta_1$ ) and  $\theta_1$ , where  $\theta_1$  is the angle between  $Z'$  axis and the line joining the origin to the centre  $(\frac{R_1}{2}, -\frac{R_1}{2}\tan\theta_1)$  of the arc corresponding to the parallel combination  $R_1-\text{CPE}_1$ .  $\theta_2$  is the angle between  $Z'$  axis and the line joining the point  $(R_1,0)$  to the centre  $(\frac{R_2}{2}, -\frac{R_2}{2}\tan\theta_2)$  of the arc corresponding to the parallel combination  $R_2-\text{CPE}_2$  and (e) Plots of  $Y''(R_1+R_2)$  vs.  $Y'(R_1+R_2)$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $\tau_2/\tau_1$ ,  $k$  ( $\theta_2=k\theta_1$ ) and  $\theta_1$ . Values used for calculations are  $R=5 \text{ K}\Omega$ ,  $A_{01}=6.014*10^{-6}$  and  $C_0=1.6*10^{-12} \text{ F}$ . 64
- Figure 4.4 (contd.)** (f,g) Plots of  $Y''(R_1+R_2)$  vs.  $Y'(R_1+R_2)$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $\tau_2/\tau_1$ ,  $k$  ( $\theta_2=k\theta_1$ ) and  $\theta_1$ , (h-j) Plots of  $M''/(C_0/A_{01} + C_0/A_{02})$  vs.  $M'/(C_0/A_{01} + C_0/A_{02})$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $\tau_2/\tau_1$ ,  $k$  ( $\theta_2=k\theta_1$ ) and  $\theta_1$  and (k) Plot of  $\varepsilon''/[(1/C_0)\{C_1(R_1/(R_1+R_2))^2 + C_2(R_2/(R_1+R_2))^2\}]$  vs.  $\varepsilon'/[(1/C_0)\{C_1(R_1/(R_1+R_2))^2 + C_2(R_2/(R_1+R_2))^2\}]$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $\tau_2/\tau_1$ ,  $k$  ( $\theta_2=k\theta_1$ ) and  $\theta_1$ . Values used for calculations are  $R=5 \text{ K}\Omega$ ,  $A_{01}=6.014*10^{-6}$  and  $C_0=1.6*10^{-12} \text{ F}$ . 65
- Figure 4.5** (a) Equivalent circuit model containing series combination of parallel  $R_1C_1$ , parallel  $R_2C_2$  and CPE. Plots of (b)  $Z''/(R_1+R_2)$  vs.  $Z'/(R_1+R_2)$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $R_2C_2/R_1C_1$ ,  $A_0$  and  $\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $Z'$  axis and the line showing the low frequency linear part corresponding to CPE and passing through the point  $(R_1+R_2, 0)$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $R_2C_2/R_1C_1$ ,  $A_0$  and  $\theta$ . 66
- Figure 4.5 (contd.)** (c)  $Z''/(R_1+R_2)$  vs.  $Z'/(R_1+R_2)$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $R_2C_2/R_1C_1$ ,  $A_0$  and  $\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $Z'$  axis and the line showing the low frequency linear part corresponding to CPE and passing through the point  $(R_1+R_2, 0)$  (d)  $Y''(R_1+R_2)$  vs.  $Y'(R_1+R_2)$ , (e)  $M''/(C_0/C_1+C_0/C_2)$  vs.  $M'/(C_0/C_1+C_0/C_2)$  and (f)  $\varepsilon''/[(1/C_0)\{C_1(R_1/(R_1+R_2))^2+C_2(R_2/(R_1+R_2))^2\}]$  vs.  $\varepsilon'/[(1/C_0)\{C_1(R_1/(R_1+R_2))^2+C_2(R_2/(R_1+R_2))^2\}]$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $R_2C_2/R_1C_1$ ,  $A_0$  and  $\theta$ . 67
- Figure 4.6** (a) Equivalent circuit model having series combination of parallel  $R_1-\text{CPE}_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $\text{CPE}_2$ . (b,c) Plot of  $Z''/(R_1+R_2)$  vs.  $Z'/(R_1+R_2)$  for various values of  $R_2/R_1$ ,  $\tau_2/\tau_1$ ,  $\theta_2/\theta_1$  and  $\theta_1$  where  $\theta_1$  is the angle between  $Z'$  axis and the line joining the highest frequency intercept point  $(R_2,0)$  to the point  $(R_2+0.5R_1, -0.5R_1\tan\theta_1)$ , the center of the semi circular arc corresponding to parallel  $R_1-\text{CPE}_1$  69

	. (d-e) Plot of $Y''/(1/R_2)$ vs. $Y'/(1/R_2)$ .	
<b>Figure 4.6 (contd.)</b>	(f)Plot of $Y''/(1/R_2)$ vs. $Y'/(1/R_2)$ .(g,h)Plot of $M''/(C_0/A_{01}+C_0/A_{02})$ vs. $M'/(C_0/A_{01}+C_0/A_{02})$ and (i) Plot of $\epsilon''/(A_{02}/C_0)$ vs $\epsilon'/(A_{02}/C_0)$ .	70
<b>Figure 4.7</b>	(a) Equivalent circuit model having a parallel combination $R_2C_2$ connected in series with parallel combination of and $R_1-C_1$ and $CPE_1$ . Plots of (b-d) $Z''/(R_1+R_2)$ vs. $Z'/(R_1+R_2)$ for various values of $R_2/R_1$ , $R_2C_2/R_1C_1$ , $A_0$ and $\theta$ for various values of $R_2/R_1$ , $R_2C_2/R_1C_1$ , $A_0$ and $\theta$ .	71
<b>Figure 4.7 (contd.)</b>	Plots of (e-f) $Y'' (R_1+R_2)$ vs. $Y' (R_1+R_2)$ , (g,h) $M''/(C_0/C_1+C_0/C_2)$ vs. $M'/(C_0/C_1+C_0/C_2)$ and (i-j) $\epsilon''/[(C_1/C_0)(R_1/(R_1+R_2))^2+(C_2/C_0)(R_2/(R_1+R_2))^2]$ vs. $\epsilon'/[(C_1/C_0)(R_1/(R_1+R_2))^2+(C_2/C_0)(R_2/(R_1+R_2))^2]$ for various values of $R_2/R_1$ , $R_2C_2/R_1C_1$ , $A_0$ and $\theta$ .	72
<b>Figure 4.8</b>	Experimental and fitted values of (a) $Z''$ , $Z'$ vs. $\log f$ , (b) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ , (c) $M''$ , $M'$ vs. $\log$ and (d) $M''$ vs. $M'$ for ceramic system $Ba_{1-x}Sr_xTiO_3$ ( $x=0.35$ ) by using the model shown in Figure 4.7(a).	76
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	(a) XRD patterns of $Ba_{1-x}Sr_xTiO_3$ ( $x = 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30$ and $0.35$ ), (b) Magnified peaks around 45.5 degree, Rietveld refinement for (c) $x=0.15$ , (d) $x=0.20$ , (e) $x=0.25$ , (f) $x=0.30$ and (g) $x=0.35$ .	83
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Tetragonality (c/a) versus Strontium content.	84
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	SEM micrographs for $Ba_{1-x}Sr_xTiO_3$ (a) $x = 0.15$ , (b) $x = 0.20$ , (c) $x = 0.25$ , (d) $x = 0.30$ and (e) $x=0.35$ .	85
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	$Ba_{1-x}Sr_xTiO_3$ ( $x = 0.15$ ) plots for (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature at various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ at various temperature (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature at various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ at various temperatures.	87
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	$Ba_{1-x}Sr_xTiO_3$ ( $x = 0.20$ ) plots for (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature at various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ at various temperature (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature at various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ at various temperatures.	88
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	$Ba_{1-x}Sr_xTiO_3$ ( $x = 0.25$ ) plots for (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature at various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ at various temperature (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature at various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ at various temperatures.	89
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	$Ba_{1-x}Sr_xTiO_3$ ( $x = 0.30$ ) plots for (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs.	90

	Temperature at various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ at various temperature (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature at various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ at various temperatures.	
<b>Figure 5.8</b>	$\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ ( $x = 0.35$ ) plots for (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature at various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ at various temperature (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature at various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ at various temperatures.	91
<b>Figure 5.9</b>	Variation of $T_m$ with Sr content	91
<b>Figure 5.10</b>	Inverse permittivity vs. temperature curve fitted according to Curie-Weiss law and $\ln(1/\epsilon' - 1/\epsilon'_m)$ vs. $\ln(T-T_m)$ curve fitted according to Modified Curie-Weiss law shown in inset for $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ (a) $x = 0.15$ , (b) $x=0.20$ , (c) $x=0.25$ and (d) $x=0.30$ .	93
<b>Figure 5.11</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ (b) $Z', Z''$ vs. $\log f$ at 623 K for $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ ( $x = 0.15$ )	98
<b>Figure 5.12</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ (b) $Z', Z''$ vs. $\log f$ at 623 K for $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ ( $x = 0.20$ )	98
<b>Figure 5.13</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ (b) $Z', Z''$ vs. $\log f$ at 623 K for $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ ( $x = 0.25$ )	99
<b>Figure 5.14</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ (b) $Z', Z''$ vs. $\log f$ at 623 K for $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ ( $x = 0.30$ )	99
<b>Figure 5.15</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ (b) $Z', Z''$ vs. $\log f$ at 623 K for $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ ( $x = 0.35$ )	100
<b>Figure 5.16</b>	Plots of $\ln(R_1)$ , $\ln(R_2)$ and $\ln(R_3)$ vs. $1000/T$ for BST30.	104
<b>Figure 5.17</b>	Variation of slope and intercept (obtained in Figure 5.16) with $x$ (Sr content) for $R_1$ .	104
<b>Figure 5.18</b>	P-E Hysteresis loop for $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ (a) $x = 0.15$ , (b) $x = 0.20$ , (c) $x = 0.25$ , (d) $x = 0.30$ and (e) $x = 0.35$ .	106
<b>Figure 5.19</b>	(a) Permittivity vs. frequency and (b) loss vs. frequency plots for $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{TiO}_3$ for $x = 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30$ and $0.35$ in X-Band.	107
<b>Figure 6.1</b>	(a) XRD patterns of $\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.03, 0.05$ and $0.10$ ), (b) Magnified peaks near 31.5 degree, where the right hand side peak corresponds to tetragonal phase (c) Rietveld refinement for $x=0.03$ . Inset: magnified peaks around 31.5 degree. (d) Rietveld refinement for $x=0.05$ . Inset: magnified peaks around 31.5 degree.	113

<b>Figure 6.1 (contd.)</b>	(e) Rietveld refinement of $x=0.10$ . Inset: magnified peaks around 31.5 degree.	114
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	SEM micrographs and EDS spectra for $\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ (a,b) $x=0.03$ , (c,d) $x=0.05$ , and (e,f) $x=0.10$ samples.	116
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	$\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.03$ ) plots for (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature for various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ for various temperatures (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature for various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ for various temperatures.	120
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	$\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.05$ ) plots for (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature for various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ for various temperatures (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature for various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ for various temperatures.	121
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	$\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.10$ ) plots for (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature for various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ for various temperatures (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature for various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ for various temperatures.	122
<b>Figure. 6.6</b>	(a) Inverse permittivity vs. temperature curve fitted according to Curie-Weiss law for $\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.03$ ). Inset: $\ln(1/\epsilon' - 1/\epsilon'_m)$ vs. $\ln(T-T_m)$ curve fitted according to Modified Curie-Weiss law (b) Inverse permittivity vs. temperature curve fitted according to Curie-Weiss law for $\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.05$ ). Inset: $\ln(1/\epsilon' - 1/\epsilon'_m)$ vs. $\ln(T-T_m)$ curve fitted according to modified Curie-Weiss law.	124
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $M''$ vs. $M'$ at 350K (b) $M'$ , $M''$ vs. $\log f$ at 350 K (c) $M''$ vs. $M'$ at 550 K and (d) $M'$ , $M''$ vs. $\log f$ at 550 K for $\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ ( $x=0.03$ ).	126
<b>Figure 6.8</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $M''$ vs. $M'$ at 350K (b) $M'$ , $M''$ vs. $\log f$ at 350 K (c) $M''$ vs. $M'$ at 550 K and (d) $M'$ , $M''$ vs. $\log f$ at 550 K for $\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.05$ ).	127
<b>Figure 6.9</b>	(a) Permittivity vs. frequency and (b) loss vs. frequency plots for $\text{BaFe}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$ for $x = 0.03, 0.05$ and $0.10$ in X-Band.	133
<b>Figure 7.1</b>	XRD patterns for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.05, 0.10$ and $0.15$ ), (b) Magnified peaks around 56 degree, Rietveld refinement for (c) $x=0.05$ , (d) $x=0.10$ and (e) $x=0.15$	140
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	SEM micrographs for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ (a) $x = 0.05$ , (b) $x = 0.10$ , (c) $x = 0.15$	142
<b>Figure 7.3</b>	(a) SEM micrographs, EDS spectra at (b) Overall area, (c) grain	143

	and (d) grain boundary for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.05$ )	
<b>Figure 7.4</b>	(a) SEM micrographs, EDS spectra at (b) Overall area, (c) grain and (d) grain boundary for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.10$ )	143
<b>Figure 7.5</b>	(a) SEM micrographs, EDS spectra of (b) Overall area, (c) grain and (d) grain boundary for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.15$ )	144
<b>Figure 7.6</b>	$\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.05$ ) plots of (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature at Various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ at various temperature (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature at various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ at various temperatures.	145
<b>Figure 7.7</b>	$\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.10$ ) plots of (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature at Various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ at various temperature (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature at various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ at various temperatures.	146
<b>Figure 7.8</b>	$\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.15$ ) plots of (a) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. Temperature at various frequencies (b) Permittivity ( $\epsilon'$ ) vs. $\log f$ at various temperature (c) Dielectric loss vs. Temperature at various frequencies (d) Dielectric loss vs. $\log f$ at various temperatures.	147
<b>Figure 7.9</b>	Inverse permittivity vs. temperature curve fitted according to Curie-Weiss law and $\ln(1/\epsilon' - 1/\epsilon'_m)$ vs. $\ln(T-T_m)$ curve fitted according to Modified Curie-Weiss law shown in inset for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ (a) $x = 0.05$ , (b) $x=0.10$ .	149
<b>Figure 7.10</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ (b) $Z'$ , $Z''$ vs. $\log f$ at 573 K for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.05$ )	150
<b>Figure 7.11</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ (b) $Z'$ , $Z''$ vs. $\log f$ at 523 K for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.10$ )	150
<b>Figure 7.12</b>	Experimental and fitted plots of (a) $Z''$ vs. $Z'$ and (b) $Z'$ , $Z''$ vs. $\log f$ at 573 K for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x = 0.15$ )	151
<b>Figure 7.13</b>	P-E Hysteresis loop for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ (a) $x = 0.05$ and (b) $x=0.10$	155
<b>Figure 7.14</b>	Variation of (a) $\epsilon'$ as function of frequency and (b) loss as function of frequency for $\text{BaTi}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{O}_3$ ( $x= 0.15$ ) in X-Band (8 Ghz – 12 Ghz).	156
<b>Figure 8.1</b>	Schematic diagram of aperture coupled RDRA (a) 3-D view and (b) top view.	166
<b>Figure 8.2</b>	(a) Variation of reflection coefficient with frequency and (b) gain	167

for single RDRA.

- Figure 8.3** (a) Design, (b) Variation of reflection coefficient with frequency and (c) gain for aperture coupled array of three RDRA's with middle element parasitic. 169
- Figure 9.1** (a) Recipe for obtaining equivalent circuit model involving CPE. 174
- Figure 9.1 (contd.)** (b, c) Recipe for obtaining equivalent circuit model involving CPE 175