List of Figures

1.1 Illustration of synthesis of bimetallic NPs of desired stoichiometry where A and B represents metal I and metal II, respectively. 9

1.2 Depiction of shape controlled synthesis of bimetallic NPs of desired stoichiometry where A represents metal I. The B, B^+ and B-R correspond to elemental, ionic and organometallic precursors of metal II, respectively. . 9

1.3 Schematic displaying coreduction strategy to synthesize bimetallic NPs. Metals precursor A^+ and B^+ are in cationic form. 10

1.4 Equilibrium phase diagram of Ag-Cu system [70]. 10

1.5 Illustration of Cu core-Ag shell NPs synthesis adopted from Grouchko et al. [79]. 11

1.6 Equilibrium phase diagram of Au-Cu system [80] 12

1.7 Plasmon oscillations for a sphere showing the displacement of conduction electron charge cloud relative to the nuclei. The transverse and longitudinal modes of oscillations from a nanorod and corresponding spectral manifestation as observed in UV-Vis absorbance spectrum [108]. 18

1.8 Hydrolysis of starch in acidic medium [140]. 26

2.1 Scheme of synthesis protocol adopted for Ag, Au and Cu NPs. 31

2.2 Schematic representation of synthesis method utilized for Ag-Cu and Au-Cu alloy NPs. 31

2.3 Illustration of synthesis steps used to synthesize faceted Au NPs. 32

2.4 Schematic diagram showing reaction prorocol for synthesis of Au-Cu NPs. . . . 33
2.5 Schematic diagram showing single pot three step synthesis of Au-Cu intermetallic NPs. . . . 33
2.6 (a) Profile of TEM column section, (b) schematic ray diagram of TEM [144]. . . . 36
2.7 Ray diagram of (a) image and (b) DP formation in the TEM [145]. . . . 37
2.8 Ray diagram of (a) bright field and (b) dark field image formation in the TEM [145]. . . . 38
2.9 Schematics showing phase contrast and analytical imaging modes in TEM [149]. . . . 39
2.10 HRTEM image and FFT as inset obtained from rice-starch stabilized Ag NP colse to Z.A. [011]. . . . 41
2.11 TEM BF image (a) and HAADF-STEM image (b) of Ag-Cu NPs 42
2.12 EDS spectrum and STEM-EDS elemental maps of Au-Cu alloy NPs. . . . 43
2.13 SAD and NBD patterns recorded from a single NPs of Au (a) and Cu (b), respectively. . . . 44
2.14 Tecnai G^2 T20 TEM system in Department of Metallurgical Engineering, IIT(BHU). . . . 45

3.1 UV-Vis absorbance spectra of rich-starch stabilized Ag NPs (pH $\sim$ 11.0) and various solutions used in the synthesis of Ag NPs 53
3.2 Temporal evolution of UV-Vis absorbance spectra and LSPR absorbance maxima (λ_{max}) of rice-starch stabilized Ag NPs with pH 9.0 (a, c) and pH 11.0 (b,d) respectively. . . . 55
3.3 Comparison of experimental and simulated LSPR curves of Ag NPs with pH 9.0 (a) and pH 11.0 (b), respectively.The choice of size for computation is based on TEM particle size. . . . 56

3.4 Representative TEM images (a,c) and corresponding SAD patterns (b,d) of Ag NPs synthesized with pH 9.0 and 11.0, respectively. 57
3.5 Particle size histograms (a,b) and high magnification BF TEM images showing growth twins (arrows) in (c,d) of Ag NPs with pH 9.0 and 11.0, respectively. 58
3.6 HRTEM image from a single particle from pH $\sim$ 11.0 sample. The lattice fringe spacing corresponding to d-spacing of {111} and grain boundary is depicted. 59
3.7 X-ray diffraction patterns of Ag NPs synthesized with pH 9.0 (red) and 11.0 (black). 60
3.8 Rice-starch stabilized Au NPs sols. 61
3.9 pH of the reaction mixtures before and after pouring Au ions. 64
3.10 UV-Vis spectra of 1RS, 2RS, 4RS and 6RS sols after 17 h. 65
3.11 Temporal evolution of UV-Vis spectra of 1 RS sol from 79 h to 12 months. 66
3.12 Temporal evolution of UV-Vis spectra of 4 RS sol from 79 h to 12 months. 67
3.13 Representative bright field TEM images of (a) 1RS, (b) 2RS, (c) 4RS and (d) 6RS. 69
3.14 Size distribution histograms of 1RS, 2RS, 4RS and 6RS. 70
3.15 Representative SAD pattern from 1RS sample. 71
3.16 HRTEM images of (a) 1RS, (b) 2RS, 4RS(c) and 6RS with a polyhedral particle as inset (d). 72
3.17 Rice-starch stabilized Cu NPs sol. 73
3.18 (a) UV-Vis absorbance spectra of S1,S2 and S3 sols and (b) temporal evolution of UV-Vis spectra of sol S3. 74
3.19 XRD patterns of Cu NPs with varying pH (S1,S2 and S3). 76
3.20 (a) TEM image, (b) corresponding SAD pattern, (c) HRTEM image from a particle and (d) size distribution histogram of Cu NPs synthesized keeping pH $\sim$ 11.0. 77

4.1 XRD patterns of rice-starch stabilized Ag-Cu alloy NPs 83
4.2 Representative TEM images of A1,A3 and A5 (a-c), corresponding SAD patterns (d-f) and size distribution histograms (g-i). 84
4.3 Representative TEM images (a), SAD pattern (b), magnified image (c), and HRTEM image of a particle showing domains with varied degree of solid solubilities (d) of Ag-Cu alloy NPs. 85
4.4 Representative HRTEM images from Ag-Cu alloy NPs of samples A1(a), A3(b), and A5 (c) respectively. The lattice fringe spacing corresponding to Ag-rich phases are depicted. 86
4.5 HAADF-STEM-EDS elemental line scan from a single particle from alloy A1. The HAADF image (a) and Ag, Cu line profiles (b) of a single particle. 86
4.6 HAADF-STEM-EDS elemental maps of Ag and Cu from alloy A3 from two different regions. 87
4.7 HAADF-STEM-EDS elemental line scans from two particles from alloy A5. The HAADF image (a) and Ag, Cu line profiles (b) of from particles. 88
4.8 UV-Vis absorbance spectra of Ag-Cu alloy sols displaying LSPR peaks corresponding to Ag-rich and Cu-rich NPs (a) and deconvoluted spectrum of alloy A1 showing absorbance corresponding to Ag NPs, (Ag) NPs, Cu NPs and copper oxide NPs respectively(b). 91
4.9 UV-Vis absorbance spectra corresponding to Cu, Au-Cu (1:3), Au-Cu (1:1) and Au-Cu (3:1) nanoparticles. 97
4.10 Representative BF TEM images (a-c), corresponding selected area electron DPs (d-f) and size distribution histograms (g-i) of Au-Cu (3:1), Au-Cu (1:1) and Au-Cu (1:3) samples. 98
4.11 HRTEM image from a section of nanowire (left) where twin boundary (TB) and stacking fault is depicted. The STEM-HAADF-EDS elemental maps of nanowire networks and a single particle from Au-Cu (3:1) (a-d) and Au-Cu (1:3) (b-h) samples. 100

4.12 Representative BF TEM micrograph (a) and NBD patterns acquired from three different regions (b-d) of Au-Cu (3:1) sample. Blue filled circles showing superlattice spots and unindexed spots respectively in Figures (b) and (c), indexed based on orthorhombic AuCu (oP8) along [132] and [$\bar{1}$04] zones. Figure (d) shows NBD and spots could be indexed as those of orthorhombic AuCu (oP8) phase along [$\bar{2}\bar{1}$4] zone axis. 102

4.13 Representative BF TEM micrograph (a) and NBD patterns acquired from three different regions (b-d) from Au-Cu (1:1) sample. Blue open and filled circles in Figures (b) and (c) showing superlattice spots along [210] and [201] directions of orthorhombic AuCu oriented along [$\bar{1}$20] and [$\bar{1}$32] zone axes respectively. Figure (d) shows NBD and spots could be indexed as those of orthorhombic AuCu (oP8) phase along [$\bar{2}$14] zone axis. 104

4.14 Representative BF TEM micrograph (a) and NBD patterns acquired from three different regions (b-d) from Au-Cu (1:3) sample. Figure 4.14 (b) and (d) could be indexed as orthorhombic AuCu with some of the extinct spots (shown as yellow open circles) in latter with zone axes [$\bar{2}$14] and [010] respectively. Figure 4.14(c) shows NBD and spots could be indexed as those of ordered cubic $AuCu_3$ along zone axis [1$\bar{1}$2]. 105

4.15 Computer generated DPs from orthorhombic AuCu (oP8) corresponding to experimentally acquired NBDs from Au-Cu samples. 106

4.16 Experimental NBD pattern (a) and computed diffraction pattern corresponding to special Wyckoff positions of orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12$ (S.G. no. 18) (b). 107

4.17 (a) Unit cell of standard orthorhombic AuCu (oP8) with shifted coordinates (for better illustration), (b) unit cell of transformed orthorhombic structure generated with space group $P2_12_12$ (S.G. no. 18) and (c) unit cell corresponding to transformed phase from orthorhombic Pbam to $P2_12_12$ with lattice parameters a = 4.46 Å, b = 2.83 Å, c = 4.56 Å. 109

4.18 Experimental NBD (a) and corresponding computed patterns (b) from Au-Cu (1:3) sample. Figure (a) displays NBD indexed with space group $P2_12_12$ (S.G. no. 18) with lattice parameters a = 4.46 Å, b = 2.83 Å and c = 4.56 Å along [001] zone axis. The computed pattern corresponding to this cell (b) reproduces all the observed spots of (a). 111

5.1 Temporal evolution of morphology (a) seed, (b) 10 min, (c) 20 min and (d) 30 min grown samples. Inset in (a) shows seed morphology at higher magnification. Population statistics of shapes is given in table. 121

5.2 Morphology evolution of Au NPs with respect to time upto 2 h. 122

5.3 Bright field TEM image (a), SAD pattern along $< 110 >$ (b), dark field image (c) and HRTEM image of tip of the pentagon Au nanoparticles (d). 124

5.4 Systematic α-tilt study of Au nanoparticles. 126

5.5 Bright field TEM micrograph of pseudospherical Au nanoparticles. 127

5.6 Bright field TEM image (a), SAD pattern along $< 111 >$ (b), dark field image (c) and HRTEM image of tilted trigonal Au nanoparticles (d). . . . 130

5.7 Bright field TEM image of truncated tetrahedral Au NPs showing a thin dark region of 3.0 nm indicating fault (a), HRTEM micrograph depicting edge of the particle after tilting 2-3 degree (b), BF micrograph with surface steps (shown by arrows) (c) and SAD pattern of another truncated tetrahedral Au NPs showing forbidden spots (d). 131

5.8 Observed color variation of sols including the seed. The UV-Vis spectra of samples grown from 10 min to 5 h are also shown. 132

6.1 Representative TEM images of Au-Cu nanostructures with varying HDA concentration. Figures (a), (b), (c), and (d) corresponds to HDA concentration of 45 mg, 90 mg, 135 mg and 180 mg, respectively. 139

6.2 Selected area diffraction patterns from Au-Cu (a) nanopods and (b) nanowires. 140

6.3 Representative HRTEM images of Au-Cu tripod (a) and tetrapod (b). . . . 141

6.4 STEM-EDS elemental maps of tripod (a- c) and tetrapod (d- f) Au-Cu nanostructutes. Figure (g) shows EDS spectrum of Au-Cu nanostructures. 142
6.5 Reperentative TEM image with HRTEM from a particle as inset (a) and corresponding diffraction pattern (b) respectively, of Au NPs. 143
6.6 Growth morphologies of Au-Cu nanostructures by changing the molar precursors ratio of Au and Cu as (a) 1: 0, (b) 2:1, (c) 3:1 and (d) 1:2, respectively. 144
6.7 STEM-EDS spectrum of Au-Cu nanowires grown with Au:Cu (1:1) and HDA concentration of 90 mg. 145
6.8 Growth morphology evolution of Au-Cu nanostructures after (a) 2 min, (b) 4 min, (c) 6 min and (d) 10 min respectively at fixed HDA proprtion of 90 mg with molar ratio of Au and Cu precursors as 1:1. 146
6.9 HRTEM images of Au-Cu nanostructures grown after 2 min. Figures (a) and (c) showing lattice matched attachment along {111} and {200} planes respectively. Figure (b) shows twinning attachment along {111} planes of two crystals. Figure (d) displays facet planes {111} and {100} where another crystal can attach through MA or TA. 147
6.10 UV-Vis-NIR spectra of nearly spherical Au NPs and Au-Cu multipods nanostructures. 148
6.11 UV-Vis spectra of Au-Cu nanostructures by varying HDA concentration (a) and Au:Cu precursor ratio(b) respectively. 149

7.1 Bright field TEM image (a), corresponding selected area diffraction pattern (b) and STEM-EDS line scan across two particle (c) of the Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles sample reacted at 180 ^{o}C for 1 h. 154
7.2 TEM image showing AuCu nanoparticles heat treated at 290 ^{o}C for 2 h and TEM-EDS spectrum corresponding to selected area encircled in the image. 155

7.3 BF TEM image (a), corresponding SAD pattern (b), and STEM-EDS line scan of a single nanoparticle for Au-Cu nanoparticles processed at 290^{o}C for 2 h. The schematic rings (white color) are inserted in SAD pattern to show the disposition of reflections. 157

7.4 STEM-HAADF-EDS elemental mapping of AuCu nanoparticles processed at 290 ^{o}C for 2 h. 158

7.5 Experimental nano beam diffraction from a single particle (a), computer generated electron diffraction pattern (b), stacked stereographic plots along [$1\bar{1}0$]and [$1\bar{1}1$] directions (c) maintaining orientation relationship in conformity with (a), and high resolution TEM micrograph with FFT (bottom right) and background corrected image (top left) as insets (d). . . 159

7.6 HRTEM micrograph showing transformed cubic AuCu crystals having orientation relationship AuCu [$1\bar{1}0$]//AuCu [$1\bar{1}1$]];AuCu(110)//AuCu(110) (a).The inset in Figure (a) shows FFT corresponding to selected region and is similar to NBD pattern. The magnified image depicts two domains of the crystals having $\{110\}$ planes making an angle of $\sim 35^0$ (b). 161

7.7 Experimental nano beam diffraction pattern (a), computer generated electron diffraction pattern (not to the scale) (b), stereographic projection plot projected along [$1\bar{2}1$]and [$1\bar{1}1$] zones (encircled poles from two zones) (c), and HRTEM micrograph with FFT (arrow displaying division of 111 reflection into 5 parts) and background corrected image (arrow showing real space distance $\sim$ 11 Å along [111] direction) as insets (d). 162

7.8 HRTEM micrograph depict coexisting cubic AuCu3 [I] and AuCu3 [II] phases having orientation relationship $AuCu_3$ [I] $[1\bar{2}1]$//$AuCu_3$ [II] [010];$AuCu_3$ [I](111)//$AuCu_3$ [II]($\bar{1}01$). The inset in Figure (a) shows FFT corresponding to selected region and is similar to NBD pattern. The two cubic phases are displayed in the magnified HRTEM image (b). The spacing $\sim$ 11 Å along [111] direction is also shown. The interfered region of the two phases is encircled. 163

8.1 Calculated single atom potentials of Ag, Au, and Cu. 169

8.2 Schematic of image simulation adopted for quantification of HRTEM images. 171

8.3 HRTEM image of Ag NPs acquired along [001] zone axis with FFT as inset (a) and high magnification image selected from (a) for quantification (b). 172

8.4 Simulated images with varying defocus and thickness as well as superimposed Ag atom columns. 173

8.5 HRTEM image of Au NPs acquired along [011] with FFT as inset (a) and area selected for quantification (b). 174

8.6 Simulated images with varying defocus and thickness as well as superimposed Au atom columns. 175

8.7 HRTEM image of Ag-Cu alloy NPs acquired along [011] with FFT as inset (a) and simulated images from pure Ag with varying thickness and defocus (b). 176

8.8 Experimental image (a) and projected Ag structure along [110] with trace of planes (111) and (200) (b). Lattice planes along with the measured d-spacings are overlaid on the experimental image. Traces of same lattice planes are shown on the projection in (b). 176

8.9 HRTEM image of Au-Cu alloy NPs acquired along [011] with FFT as inset (a) and simulated images from pure Au with varying thickness and defocus (b). 177

8.10 Experimental image (a) and projected Au structure along [011] with trace of planes 111 and (200) (b).Lattice planes along with the measured d-spacings are overlaid on the experimental image. Traces of same lattice planes are shown on the projection in (b). 178

8.11 Experimental HRTEM image of Cu_3Au intermetallic NPs along zone axis [001] (a) and thickness-defocus map of simulated images (b). Insets in (a) shows FFT pattern and area selected for image quantification. Projected unit cell along [001] is also displayed. Atom columns are superimposed in the simulated image. 179

8.12 Experimental HRTEM image along ordered cubic Cu_3Au along [001] zone axis (a) and corresponding projected structure with trace of planes (100), (010), and (110) (b). 180

8.13 Plot of exit plane wave function, projected potential,wave-phase and wave-amplitude with thickness of ordered cubic Cu_3Au along [001] zone axis. 184

8.14 Variation with thickness of the exit-plane wave amplitude and phase along the Au (a,b) and the Cu (c,d) atom columns in cubic Cu_3Au phase. 185

A.1 BF TEM micrographs of Ag NPs with pH 9 (a-c) and pH 11 (d-f) 191

A.2 BF TEM images of Cu NPs synthesized keeping pH 11 (a-c) and 1RS Au NPs (d-f). 192

A.3 BF TEM images with changing molar precursors ratio of Au and Cu as Au-Cu (3:1) (a-c) and Au-Cu (1:1) (d-f) 192